UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE

HISTORY OF KANNADA LANGUAGE

(READERSHIP LECTURES)

BY

Praktana Yimarsa Yichakshana Mahamahopadhyaya Rao Bahadur

R. NARASIMHACHARYA, M.A., M.R.A.S.,

Hony. Correspondent of the Government of India Archæological Department,
Director of Archæological Researches in Mysore (Retired)
Author of Karnataka-Kavi-Charite Volumes I—III,
Nitimanjari Pts. I and II, Sasanapadyamanjari,
Nagegadalu, Nitivakyamanjari, etc.



PRINTED AT MYSORE

BY THE ASST. SUPDT., GOVT. BRANCH PRESS

1934

DEDICATED

BY GRACIOUS PERMISSION

TO

HIS HIGHNESS

SRI KRISHNARAJA WADIYAR BAHADUR,

G.C.S.I., G.B.E.,

CHANCELLOR, UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE

PREFACE.

AT the request of the Mysore University 1 delivered ten Readership Lectures, five on the History of Kannada Language and five on the History of Kannada Literature. The lectures on the History of Kannada Language were delivered in Mysore at the close of November and the beginning of December 1926, and the same were repeated in Bangalore in January 1927. The lectures on the History of Kannada Literature were delivered in Mysore in March 1928 and were repeated in Bangalore in August of the same year.

In 1933 the University undertook the publication of the substance of the course on the History of the

Kannada Language in the present work.

The conditions under which the book has been issued permitted only a limited time for revision and editing, to which circumstance must be attributed certain short-comings of which I am conscious.

My thanks are due to Mr. M. Venkatesa Iyengar, M.A., for supplying certain figures regarding population speaking Dravidian Languages. I have also to acknowledge the assistance rendered by my son Mr. R. Tirunarana Iyengar, B.A., in preparing the Index.

I have in conclusion to express my indebtedness to the authorities of the Mysore University for having given me an opportunity to deliver these Readership

Lectures.

Malleswaram, 25th January 1934.

R. NARASIMHACHAR.

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.

Pagit

Languages, their classification. Dravidian languages. Their affiliation to Indo-European and Scythian families. Dravidian languages form an isolated group. The term Drāvida old. Drāvida, its different senses. Derivation of Dravida. Dravidians, their civilisation. Recent discoveries. Region of Dravidian languages. Enumeration of Dravidian languages. The Pancha-Drāvida. Where spoken. Number of speakers. General characteristics of Dravidian languages. Affinity of Behistun tablets to Dravidian languages. Dravidian group different from Sanskrit. Influence of Sanskrit and the Aryan vernaculars on the Dravidian group. Dravidian influence on Sanskrit and the Aryan vernaculars. Difference between Gaudian and Dravidian languages. Lexical affinities in Dravidian languages. Dravidian tongues of Southern India. Tamil. Malayalam. Telugu. Kannada. Antiquity of the name Kannada. Wrong use of the name Karnātaka. Derivation of Karņāţa or Kannada. Antiquity of the Kannada language. Kannada closely related to Tamil. Dialects of Kannada: Toda, Kota. Badaga, Tulu and Kodagu. Lexical affinities in Kannada and its dialects. Number of speakers of Kannada and its dialects

1

CHAPTER II.

History of the Kannada language. Scope of History of language. State of Dravidian philology in Southern India. Indian and European scholars. The range of Kannada about a thousand years ago. Its influence in the Telugu country. Kannadigas: their civilisation. Phonology. The alphabet. Derivation from the Ašöka alphabet. Points of agreement and difference between the Kannada and Tamil alphabets. Phonetic system. Help of Tamil in determining

| words containing r and l . Euphonic combination. | PAGE |
|--|------|
| Vocalic harmony. Dialectic interchange. Interchange of vowels. Interchange of consonants | 41 |
| CHAPTER III. | |
| GRAMMAR. | |
| Inflexion of nouns. Gender and number. Case. The nominative. Inflexional increments. The second case. The third and fifth cases. The fourth case. The sixth case. The seventh case. The vocative case. Adjectives. Numerals. Pronouns. Inclusive and exclusive. Verbs. Roots. Pronominal terminations. The past and future tenses. The present tense. The negative mood. Causative verbs. Appellative verbs. The imperative. The infinitive. The relative participle. The verbal participle. The passive voice. Indeclinables. Formation of words. Verbal nouns. Participial nouns. Abstract nouns. Derivative nouns. Compound nouns | 69 |
| - | u. |
| CHAPTER IV. Vocabulary. Dēšya. Tatsama and Tadbhava. Tadbhava from Prākrit and Tamil. Anyadēšya. Portuguese, Persian, Maharāthi, Arabic, Hindustāni, English. Sanskrit Avyayas. Northern and Southern Schools in Kannada. R. Raghunatha Rao's Plea of Telugu influence. Controversy with him on three suggested points. Stages of the Kannada language. Primitive Old Kannada. Halagannada or Old Kannada. Mediæval Kannada. Modern Kannada. Forms once condemned have become current. Explanation of Kēširāja's 60th Sūtra | 108 |
| • | |
| CHAPTER V. Changes in the language. Changes in form. Phonetic decay. Emphasis. Prosthesis. Compensation. Analogy. Assimilation. Metathesis. Changes in meaning. Extension. Contraction. Amelioration. Deterioration. Decency. Euphemism. Freemasonry in words. Extension by metaphor. Changes in form of Old Kannada words when becoming Modern Kannada. Mistakes committed by authors. Some general remarks on the language. Disappearance | |

| of old and ent classical litera | | | | | PAGE |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------|
| Some lost we | ords that | deserve r | estoration. | Some | |
| words which a | | | | | |
| Forms given in emphonic comb | | | | | |
| Tamil in the | | | | | |
| Words about | whose deriv | vation G | rammarian | s differ. | |
| Reform of the | language. | Advance | ement of | the lan- | |
| guage | | | | | 137 |
| Works consulted | ••• | | | | 157 |
| Index | | | | | 159 |

ERRATA.

| PAGE | | | | | |
|------|-----------------|-----|--|-----------|---------------------|
| 21 | 13 | for | participles | read | nouns |
| 28 | 19 | 27 | ni | ±1 | 71 ī |
| 24 | 34 | 22 | derivations | 7: | derivatives |
| 26 | last | ,, | participles | 93 | particles |
| 31 | 89.40 | 99 | ئ | 98 | A |
| 39 | 1 | 33 | Írula | 95 | Trula |
| 40 | 3 | ,, | Sidi | | Sidil |
| 55 | 22 | 99 | lri | ,,, ,, | lri |
| 57 | 20 | ,, | ta | 99 | ta |
| 58 | 18 | " | Tamil Kannada | 3) 33 | Tamil and |
| | | " | • | " | Kannada. |
| 62 | 33 | ,, | ಅಚ್ಚೈ ಅಚ್ಚೆ ; ಅ ಚ್ಚೆ ಆ ಟ್ಟ | ,, | ಅಚ್ಚೆ ಶಿ ಅಚ್ಚೆ ಅಟ್ಟ |
| 63 | 19 | " | ಜನುಗು " " " | | ಎನ್ಸುಗು " |
| 69 | 13 | " | Com-pound | " | Compound |
| 73 | 15 | " | ವಲವರ್ೞು | " | ಪಲವರ್ೞು |
| 81 | 15 | " | in | " " | on |
| 84 | last | 99 | in | " | on |
| 88 | 34 | ,, | roots | | some roots |
| | 35 | " | some or | ?? ?? | or |
| 89 | 23 | ,, | ಫೋಲ್ ಫೋಲು | 12 | ಪ್ರೇಲ್ ಪ್ರೇಲು |
| | 24 | " | ಅಲಮಗು | 1.7 33 | ಅಲವುರು |
| 93 | 14 | 55 | ⊕ J | | ఱ్ఱు |
| 0.4 | 3.0 | " | es •••→ | 27 | |
| 94 | $\frac{10}{c}$ | " | ಅ, ಅನ, ಆಡೇನಿ | 22 | ಅ ಅನ. |
| 98 | 6 | " | ಹೇಯಕ | " | ಆಡೇನಿ. |
| 109 | $\frac{20}{10}$ | " | • | " | ಚೇಯಕ, |
| 103 | 19 | " | ಕೊಟ್ಟುದುರು, | " | ಕೊಟ್ಟುದುರು |
| 107 | 22 | " | ಕೊಟ್ಟೆನು, ಸುಡಿನು | " | ಕೊಟ್ಟೆನು ; |
| 107 | 22 | " | ಗುಜ್ಞಿಮು ಆರುವಮು | 22 | ಗುಹ್ಷಮು |
| 110 | 24 | " | | ,, | ಅರುವಮು |
| 111 | 35 | " | cupid ຮວນນຸ ຮ້າວວາ | " | Cupid |
| 112 | 9 | " | | " | ಕೊಂಬು |
| 129 | 31 | " | 88, | " | 88. |

| PAGE | LIN | Е | | | |
|------|-----|-------|------------------|------|---------------------|
| 129 | 34 | for | 27, | read | |
| 130 | 3 | • • • | Jayasangraha, | ,, | Jayasangraha. |
| | 5 | 22 | Bādāmi, | 22 | Bādāmi. |
| 140 | 23 | ,, | బస్ | •• | <u> ఇణ్</u> |
| 141 | 18 | 22 | ಮರಕ್ಕಾರ್ | •• | ಮರಕ್ಕ್ರಾಲ್, ಹೊಗು |
| 145 | 5 | ,, | ಪ್ರೊಗು | •• | |
| | 29 | 22 | old | ,, | Old |
| | 34 | ,, | Also | •• | also |
| 151 | 8 | 21 | \mathbf{Timil} | ?: | Tamil |
| 152 | 33 | ,, | i, | •• | i, 7 |

HISTORY OF KANNADA LANGUAGE

CHAPTER I.

Languages, their classification. Dravidian languages. Their affiliation to Indo-European and Scythian families. Dravidian languages form an isolated group. The term Drāvida old. Drāvida, its different senses. Derivation of Drāvida. Dravidians, their civilisation. Recent discoveries. Region of Dravidian languages. Enumeration of Dravidian languages. The Pancha-Dravida. Where spoken. Number of speakers. General characteristics of Dravidian languages. Affinity of Behistun tablets to Dravidian languages. Dravidian group different from Sanskrit. Influence of Sanskrit and the Aryan vernaculars on the Dravidian group. Dravidian influence on Sanskrit and the Aryan vernaculars. Difference between Gaudian and Dravidian languages. Lexical affinities in Dravidian languages. Dravidian tongues of Southern India. Tamil. Malayalam. Telugu. Kannada. Antiquity of the name Kannada. Wrong use of the name Karnātaka. Derivation of Karnāta or Kannada. Antiquity of the Kannada language. Kannada closely related to Tamil. Dialects of Kannada: Tōda, Kōta, Badaga, Tulu and Kodagu. Lexical affinities in Kannada and its dialects. Number of speakers of Kannada and its dialects.

Languages were divided by the philologists of a previous generation into three families, namely, the Aryan or Indo-European, the Turanian or Scythian and the Semitic. This division has, however, been found to be not only incomplete, inasmuch as it does not take into account the Chinese language and the numerous languages of America and Africa, but also scientifically incorrect, as the distribution of languages by families is based on the supposition,

expressed or implied, of ethnical union at some very remote period. But race and language are not convertible terms. The science of language and the science of ethnology ought not to be mixed up together; they should be kept quite independent of each other. "Any attempt at squaring the classification of races and tongues must necessarily fail." Language is the test of social contact and not of racial kinsmanship. Tribes and races lose their own tongues and adopt those of The Aryan Brahmans of Southern India speak Dravidian languages. The Kelts of Cornwall speak English; the non-Aryan population of Wales and Ireland either Keltic or Saxon; and the Jews the manifold languages of the countries they inhabit. physiology and race in philology are two totally different things. Races physiologically as distinct as the Mongols and the Turks may be found speaking allied tongues, while races physiologically related, like the Jews of Europe and the Bedouins of Arabia, may be found speaking unallied ones. So far as ethnology is concerned, identity or relationship of language can do no more than raise a presumption in favour of a common racial origin. If ethnology demonstrates kinship of race, kinship of speech may be used to support the argument; but we cannot reverse the process and argue from language to race. Language, in fact, is not one of the characteristics of race, not one of those fixed and permanent features which distinguish the different ethnological types of man."† But it is satisfactory to note that the attempt made in the infancy of linguistic science to reduce groups of languages to a mystical triad has long since been abandoned by the scientific student.

The principle of the classification of languages now adopted by philologists is the morphological, *i.e.*, the one based on their grammatical structure. According to the morphological classification, languages differ from

^{*} Max Muller: Science of Language, 1, 458.

[†]Sayce: Introduction to the Science of Language, II, 315-18.

one another in the structure of the sentence and the grammatical relation of its parts. The forms of grammar are the expression of the mental life and history of a people; so the formative part of a language must ever be the surest differentia of linguistic kinsmanship.* "The life and soul of a language, that which constitutes its substantial individuality, and distinguishes it from all others, is its grammar. It is the only decisive evidence of the relationship between languages." Morphologically, then, languages have been divided into five groups, namely, isolating, agglutinative, inflectional, polysynthetic and incorporating. These names well express the peculiarities characterising the groups. Thus, in the isolating group like the Chinese, each root preserves its independence and is distinctly significant. Here the position of the word alone denotes the meaning of a sentence without the assistance of any signs of relationship. Every word remains a separate and distinct sentence. In the agglutinative group like the Finnish and the Dravidian languages, two roots coalesce to form a word, the one retaining its radical independence, the other sinking down to a mere termination. These languages show grammatical relations by prefixing, suffixing or infixing sounds and syllables which are no longer independent words, and yet are clearly distinguishable from the full words they modify and not inextricably blended with them. In the inflectional languages like Sanskrit, two roots coalesce so that neither the one nor the other retains its substantive independence. root and the suffix become so inextricably blended as to make the history of the word quite obscure. difference between an Aryan and a Turanian language, i.c., between an inflectional and an agglutinative language, is aptly compared by Max Muller to the difference between good and bad mosaic. The Aryan words, he says, seem made of one piece, whereas the Turanian words show clearly the sutures and fissures where the

^{*} Sayce: Principles of Comparative Philology.

[†] Max Muller: Survey of Languages, 7.

small stones were cemented together. In the polysynthetic group like the North American languages, the words that make up a sentence are stripped of their grammatical terminations, and then fused into a single word of monstrous length. And lastly, in the incorporating languages like the Basque, a few words are loosely attached to the verbal root, unimpaired and independent. "If inflexion is 'agglutination run mad,' incorporation is inflexion run madder still: it is the result of attempting to develop the verb into a complete sentence."*

The relationship of the Dravidian languages to the great divisions of human The Dravidian lanspeech is thus stated by Dr. Caldguages. well .---

Affiliation of the Dravidian group of languages.

"The Dravidian languages occupy a position of their own, between the languages of the Indo-European family and those of the Turanian or Seythian group-not quite amidway position, but one considerably nearer the latter than the former.

particulars in which they accord with the Indo-European languages are numerous and remarkable, and some of them are of such a nature that it is impossible to suppose that they have been accidental; but the relationship to which they testify—in so far as they do testify to any relationship—appears to me very indefinite as well as very remote. On the other hand, the particulars in which they seem to me to accord with most of the so-called Scythian languages are not only so numerous but are so distinctive and of so essential a nature that they appear to me to amount to what is called a family likeness and therefore naturally to suggest the idea of a common descent."

On the other hand, the Indo-European relationship of the Dravidian languages has been advocated by Dr. Pope on the ground of "deep-seated and radical affinities between them and the Celtic and Tentonic languages." But Dr. Caldwell observes in reply that " of all the members of the Indo-European family, the Celtic is that which appears to have most in common with the

^{*} Sweet: The History of Language.

Scythian group, and especially with the languages of the Finnish family—languages which may possibly have been widely spoken in Europe previously to the arrival of the Celts." Dr. Pope has also expressed the opinion that the more the Dravidian languages are studied, the closer their affinity to Sanskrit will appear. Gover, Seshagiri Sastri and R. Svaminatha Iyer have also advocated the Indo-Europern relationship.

The affiliation of the Dravidian languages to the Scythian family advocated by Dr. Caldwell appears to have received, more or less, the approval of scholars such as Max Muller, Whitney, Cust, and a few others, though other scholars have condemned it.

Max Muller, who has included the Dravidian group in the Turanian (Scythian) languages, describes the latter as follows:—

"The most characteristic feature of the Turanian languages is what has been called agglutination or 'gluing together.' This means not only that, in their grammar, pronouns are glued to the verbs in order to form the conjugation, or prepositions to substantives in order to form declension, . . . but that in them the conjugation and declension can still be taken to pieces; and, although the terminations have by no means always retained their significative power as independent words, they are felt as modificatory syllables and as distinct from the roots to which they are appended."

Whitney has the following remarks on the subject:—

"The Dravidian tongues have some peculiar phonetic elements, are richly polysyllabic, of general agglutinative structure, with prefixes only, and very soft and harmonious in their utterance; they are of a very high type of agglutination like the Finnish and Hungarian. . . . Excepting that they show no trace of the harmonic sequence of vowels, these languages are not in their structure so different from the Scythian that they might not belong to one family with them, if only sufficient correspondences of material were found between the two groups. And some have been ready, though on grounds not to be accepted as sufficient, to declare them related."

Cust says that the Dravidian family may be considered to represent the agglutinative method, not-

withstanding that Pope, up to this day, maintains that the Dravidian family belongs to the same morphological order as the Aryan.

It will thus be seen that scholars are generally agreed that the Dravidian languages are agglutinative. The harmonic sequence of vowels, of which, according to Whitney, the Dravidian languages show no trace, is, however, found to exist to an appreciable extent in Telugu, though not to the same extent in the other Dravidian tongues also. Agglutination and vocalic harmony are two characteristics common to the Dravidian group and some of the languages of the so-called Seythian family. Added to these, there are a few coincidences in grammar. These similarities are, according to some scholars, quite inadequate to warrant the affiliation advocated by Caldwell. The same is the case with the forms and words given by him under Aryan and Semitic affinities to prove an ultimate connection of the Dravidian group with these families of languages. Further, the name Scythian is not at all satisfactory, as under that heading various languages of the world belonging to different morphological groups are lumped together. Other names used for Scythian are Allophylian and Ural-Altaic.

Sir George Grierson and Dr. Sten Konow think that the name Scythian is very unsatisfactory and that the Dravidian languages are related neither to the so-called Scythian family nor

to the Indo-European family. They say:—

"The denomination Seythian is a very unhappy one. The Seythian words which have been handed down by Greek writers are distinctly Eranian, i.e., they belong to the Indo-European family. But, nevertheless, the word has been used as a common designation of all those languages of Asia and Europe which do not belong to the Indo-European or Semitic families. Moreover, those languages cannot by any means be brought together into one linguistic family. The monosyllabic languages of China and neighbouring countries are just as different from the dialects spoken in the Gaucasus or from the speech of the Finns and

Magyārs as is the Indo-European family. The points in which they agree are such features as recur in almost all languages and they are by no means sufficient to outweigh the great and fundamental characteristics in which they differ from each other. With regard to the Dravidian languages, the attempt to connect them with other linguistic families outside India is now generally recognised as a failure, and we must still consider them as an isolated family. The attempts made to show a closer connection with the Indo-European family have proved just as futile."

The Committee of Publication of The Journal of American Oriental Society (Vol. VII, 271), express the same opinion in the matter. They say:—

"The dialects of the Scythian family are remarkable for their great discordance with one another, for the slenderness of the ties which connect them, and the immense variety of elements and forms which they exhibit; hence the facility of going astray in an incantious ramble through such a wilderness of lexical and grammatical materials is extreme, and a careful comparative study of the different idioms, and a wary determination and selection of features among them, which can be pronounced of general occurrence and genuinely ancient, ought to precede any detailed comparison with another family of languages. . . His (Caldwell's) whole parallelism of Dravidian words and forms with Indo-European and Semitic for the purpose of proving an ultimate connection of the former with the two latter also contains the merest assonances and chance coincidences of no account as historical evidence.

The most cogent arguments in favour of the relationship of the Dravidian and Seythian languages which the comparison instituted between them brings to light are, in our view, the correspondences of general form and spirit, apprehension of grammatical relations and treatment of linguistic materials, which they undeniably present. And if the Science of Comparative Philology is strong enough to pronounce with confidence that such correspondences as are here displayed cannot be the result of analogous qualities of race, equal grade of capacity and culture, then the whole question is settled. But we are not certain that she has yet so far mastered the immense field of human speech as to be able to do this, and certainly there are few men living who are entitled to be accepted as her mouthpieces in making the decision. We shall prefer, then, to consider the question of Dravidian affiliation as one not yet authoritatively settled."

We are thus led to the conclusion that the Dravidian languages form an isolated family.

The term Drāvida is very old, being found in such ancient works as the Mahābhārata and those of Parāsara, Manu, Varāhamihira and Kumārila-

bhaṭṭa.

It appears to be used in three senses—territorial, ethnological and linguistic. Drāvida, its different cording to the Mahābhārata it senses. denoted a tract of country in It is also loosely applied to the south Southern India. Ethnologically it denotes Peninsula. οť Dravidian race. It had also the special meaning of a Tamil speaking Brāhman, which was subsequently extended to other Brāhman communities of the Peninsula, namely, the Andhras, the Karnātas, the Mahrāttas, and Gujarātis, as evidenced by the term Pancha-Drāvida as used by Indian Pandits. These communities were grouped together, because they all observed more or less the same customs, practices and rituals known as Drāvidāchāra which differed from those of the Brāhman communities of the north known as the Pancha-Linguistically the word has both a general and a special signification. When used in a general sense, it designates all the Dravidian languages, and when used in a special sense, it designates Tamil alone. Similarly, Gauda, too, is the name of country, people and language. The Pancha-Gauda include the five dialects derived

The name Dravidian is derived from the Sanskrit

Derivation of Drāviḍa.

Drāviḍa, which is derived from an older Dramiḷa, Damiḷa, and is identical with the name of Tamil.

In the old Pāli of the Mahāvamsa, the Tamils are called Damiḷa. The same is the case in the Canon of the Svētāmbara Jainas. Daviḷa and Daviḍa in the Prākṛit literature of the Jainas and of the Sanskrit plays

seem to be a later stage, due to the Prakrit change of

from Sanskrit of the north, at the head of which stands the Bengāli, just as the Pancha-Drāvida include the five

The oldest texts have Damila. Damila and Davida were Sanskritised to Dramila, Dramida, and Dravida, respectively. Varāhamihira of the sixth century probably used Dramida, though printed editions of his Brihatsamhitā read Dravida. Kern says some manuscripts read Dramida. Tārānātha in his History of Buddhism in India mentions the Dramilas. also occurs in old Malayalam versions of the Puranas, and in inscriptions such as the pillar inscription of king Mangaļēša (597-608) from Mahākūţa near Bādāmi. Classical authors know the word under forms such as Damirice, Dimirica. A commentator on the Brahmasūtras referred to by Rāmānuja is known as Dramida; and a Sanskrit metrical abstract of the Tamil Tiruvāymoli of Sathakopa is styled Dramidopanishatsangati. Among the Jainas, Dravila-gana or Tivula-gana is the designation of a section of the community. The occurrence of the archaic letter l in these words as in the word Tamil deserves notice. Dramida was borrowed by Tamil under the form Tiramida.

The Dravidian race is not found outside India. It is commonly considered to be that of the aborigines of India, or at least, of Southern India. Accord-

ing to some statements made in early Tamil literature, the original home of the Dravidians was Lemuria, a continent now submerged in the Indian Ocean. Another theory is that the original home of the Dravidians was Asia Minor where they lived with the ancient Accadians and migrated to India from the north-west entering Sindh, probably by the Bolan Pass, as testified to by the affinities of the Behistun tablets of Persia and the Brāhūī of Balūchistān to their own languages. They then spread over nearly all India.

Dr. Caldwell gives the following account of the pre-Aryan civilisation of the Dravidians as indicated by the words in use amongst the early Tamilians:—

"They had 'kings' who dwelt in 'strong houses,' and ruled over small 'districts of country.' They had 'minstrels' who

recited 'songs' at 'festivals,' and they seem to have had alphabetical 'characters' written with a style on palmyra leaves. A bundle of those leaves was called a 'book.' They acknowledged the existence of God, whom they styled kō, or king—a realistic title, little known to orthodox Hinduism. They erected to his honour a 'temple' which they called $k\bar{o}il$, God's-house. They had 'laws' and 'customs,' but no lawyers or judges. Marriage existed among them. They were acquainted with the ordinary metals, with the exception of 'tin,' 'lead' and 'zine,' with the planets which were ordinarily known to the ancients, with the exception of 'Mercury' and 'Saturn.' They had 'medicines,' hamlets' and 'towns,' 'canoes,' 'boats' and even 'ships' (small 'decked' coasting vessels), no acquaintance with any people beyond sea, except Ceylon, which was then, perhaps, accessible on foot at low water; and no word expressive of the geographical idea of 'island' or 'continent.' They were well acquainted with 'agriculture,' and delighted in 'war.' They were armed with bows' and 'arrows,' with 'spears' and 'swords.' All the ordinary or necessary arts of life, including 'spinning,' 'weaving' and 'dyeing' existed amongst them. They excelled in 'pottery' as their places of sepulture show."

This account affords sufficient proof that the elements of civilisation already existed amongst the Dravidians before they came into contact with the Aryans.

Long before the Christian era, the Dravidian South had developed a considerable culture of its own, and its inhabitants had consolidated themselves into powerful kingdoms, some of which carried on a thriving trade with Western Asia, Egypt, and later with the Greek and Roman empires. The occurrence of the word for peacock, tuki or $t\bar{u}ki$, which is none other than the ancient Tamil-Malayālam word $t\bar{o}kai$, in the Hebrew text of The Books of Kings and Chronicles in the list of the articles of merchandise brought from Ophir in Solomon's ships, about 1000 B.C., also affords important confirmation of the antiquity of the Dravidian languages spoken in India before the advent of the Aryan tribes.

Recent discoveries tend not only to confirm the connection of the Dravidians with the Accadians or Sumerians but also to change entirely our present conceptions with

regard to the nature and antiquity of Dravidian civilisation. In the north-west of India, first at Harappa on the old bed of the Ravi or Iravati which under the name Parushni played a prominent part in the history of the Rigvedic period, in the south of the Punjab, on a dry bed of the Indus, and then at Mohanjo-Daro near Dokri in the Larkhana District, some 400 miles away in Sindh, excavations had laid bare two cities which displayed evidences of a very considerable culture going back to a period long before the establishment of Buddhism. In those cities had been found, amongst other things, certain engraved and inscribed seals, bearing inscriptions in a hitherto unknown pictographic script, which were practically identical with the proto-Elamite tablets discovered at Sūsa. The graphs were in many cases the same, indicating that the same language must have been spoken in Elim in the west and in North-West India. Dr. Sayce, on the occasion of the presentation to him of the Triennial Gold Medal by the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland on 12th May 1925, observed :--

"Some of the discoveries now being made were of a revolutionary character and were tending to change entirely our conception based on many of the assumptions and beliefs which had been held with regard to the origin of civilisations. Among these later discoveries, was one which was to him of especial interest as bringing India into contact with the canciform world.

They were coming to date the approximate time of the Sūsan placques (see above) as belonging to what was known as the three dynasty period, viz., about 2300 B.C., and it would seem that at that period there was close intercourse between North-West India and Elim. This was an extraordinary result of exploration, and brought India within the domain of our cuneiform studies in the most unexpected way."*

Dr. Hall of the British Museum bases the following remarks of his on the similarity of the finds of Harappa, Mohanjo-Daro, as also those at Adittanallur in the Tinnevelly District, to those in Balūchistān, Babylon, Ur (Chaldea), Sumer and Crete:—

^{*} Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1925, 593-94.

"With our present evidences, the Dravidians look like being a Mediterranean people, coming out of Crete, and passing through Asia Minor and Mesopotamia, where they were in close touch with the Sumerians and the Elamites: and possibly these latter were related to them and the Cretans. Then they came by the southern part of the Iranian plateau into Sindh, whence they spread into the interior of India. This must have happened long before 3000 B.C. Even if the Cretan connection cannot be satisfactorily demonstrated, Sumerian affinities are certain. India is thus linked more closely than ever to the western world, through both the Aryans and the Dravidians."

Further discoveries might even demonstrate that Dr. Hall's theory, noted above, is true, and that the Sumerians were but Dravidians from India. In that case it would be established that civilisation first arose in India, and was associated probably with the primitive Dravidians. Then it was taken to Mesopotamia, to become the source of the Babylonian and other ancient cultures which form the basis of modern civilisation.*

According to Sir John Marshall, we have by these sensational discoveries taken back at a single bound, our knowledge of Indian civilisation some 3,000 years earlier and have established the fact that in the third millennium before Christ and even before that, the peoples of the Punjab and Sindh were living in well-built cities and were in possession of a relatively mature culture with a high standard of art and craftsmanship and a developed system of pictographic writing. There can now no longer be any doubt that the Punjab and Sindh antiquities are closely connected and roughly contemporary with the Sumerian antiquities of Mesopotamia dating from the third or fourth millennium before Christ. Though the civilisation of the Indus will probably be found to have had its own distinctive characteristics, it will also be found to have formed part and parcel of a much wider sphere of culture which embraced not only Southern Mesopotamia and India, but

^{*} Modern Review, December 1924.

[†]Annual Report of the Archæological Survey of India, 1923-24.

probably Persia, and a large part of Central Asia as well, and which may even have extended as far west as the early Ægean civilisation Mediterranean, where the presents certain somewhat similar features. Whatever the history of the Sumerians in Mesopotamia may have been, a culture closely akin to theirs must have been widely disseminated in the valley of the Indus, and have undergone a development reaching back incalculable centuries on Indian soil. If, therefore, those scholars are right who consider the Sumerians to have been an intrusive element in Mesopotamia then the possibility is clearly suggested of India proving ultimately to be the cradle of their civilisation, which in its turn lay at the root of Babylonian, Assyrian and Western Asiatic, culture generally.

With the revolutionary discoveries in Sindh and the Punjab the history of Indian culture has now suddenly been taken back an extra 3,000 years, and the last mists of the legend that art began with the Greeks and religion with the Jews have been finally scattered.*

The Sumerian or Accadian language which was non-Semitic and earlier than the Assyrian or Babylonian, was beginning to be a dead language as early as 2000 B.C. The Sumerian civilisation must have been an oldestablished one long before 3800 B.C., the date of the reign of Sargon I (a Semite) who united Sumer and Accad into one kingdom. The Sumerians must have been in possession of writing before 8000 B.C. which is about the date of the earliest of their written documents that have yet been discovered.†

The language has, like the Dravidian group, the characteristics of agglutination and vowel harmony.

The region where the Dravidian languages are spoken is the whole of Peninsular India from the Vindhya mountains and the river Narmada in the north to Cape Comorin in the south, excluding Orissa

^{*} Times Literary Supplement, 1926.

[†] Sweet: The History of Language.

in the east and the Gujarāti and the Mahrāthi districts in the west, and the northern half of Ceylon. Further to the north, Dravidian dialects are spoken by small tribes in the Central Provinces and Chōta Nāgpur, and even up the banks of the Ganges at Rājamahāl. Finally there is a Dravidian dialect in the far north-west in Balūchistān.

The best known Dravidian languages are Tamil, Malavalam, Kannada, and Telugu. Enumeration of lan-They have all been used for a long guages. time as literary languages with alphabets, grammars and literatures of their own. Each of the languages consists of two forms of speech-the literary, the one found in literature, and the colloquial, the one used in every-day speech-which differ considerably from each other. There are, besides, in Southern India, Tulu, Kodagu, Toda, Kota and Badaga which may be looked upon as dialects of Kannada, as they are more closely related to that language than to any other of the Dravidian group. Further, the languages in the north belonging to the Dravidian family are Kurukh or Orāyon, Mālto or Rājamahāli, Kūī or Khond, Göndi, and Brähūi. Barring the four literary languages mentioned above, all the others are uncultivated. They have not even an alphabet of their own. A few minor dialects of the north belonging to the same family are Kōlāmi, Nāiki and Bhīli. There are likewise a few minor dialects of the south such as Kurumba and Irula.

Tamil, Malayāļam, Kannada and Telugu, together with the uncultivated Tuļu, are now known as the Pancha-Drāvida. Indian Pandits, however, include Mahrāṭhi and Gujarāti in the Pancha-Drāvida in place of Malayāḷam and Tuḷu, but philologists, recognising that Mahrāṭhi and Gujarāti, like other Indo-Aryan vernaculars of the north such as Bengāli and Sindhi, are mainly derived from Sanskrit, place them in the Gauda group of languages. The reasons for the Indian Pandits including

Mahrāthi and Gujarāti in the Pancha-Drāvida may be the existence of a few special points of similarity between these languages and the Dravidian group as indicated below:—

1. "In northern vernaculars the masculine and neuter genders have been confounded, and in some, such as Bengāli and Oriya, the difference between masculine and feminine nouns is also blotted out. In Mahrāṭhi and Gujarāṭi, on the other hand, three genders are distinguished and the neuter is a living gender just as in Dravidian tongues.

Northern Indo-Aryan vernaculars have developed in a country where the home speech was Kolārian, while the substratum over which Gujarāti and Mahrāthi have spread was Dravidian." The Aryan Gods of the Mitāni People, by Dr. Sten

Konow.

2. Another reason seems to be that the palatals in Mahrāthi are pronounced as in Telugu, *i.e.*, they retain the pronunciation as real palatals before i, \bar{i} , e, \bar{e} , ai and y while in other cases eh is pronounced as ts and j as dz.

3. It may also be stated that in the opinion of some scholars the waves of Aryan immigration gradully submerged Dravidian blood and speech in Gujarāt and the same influences

obliterated the language in Mahārāshtra.

4. One more reason is the existence in Mahrāthi and Gujarāti of the inclusive and exclusive forms of the plural of the personal pronoun of the first person as in the Dravidian languages.

From the above it may perhaps be inferred that Mahrāthi and Gujarāti were once Dravidian.

Tamil is spoken throughout the country below the
Ghāts from Pulicāt to Cape Comowhere spoken rin and from the Ghāts to the Bay
of Bengal, as also in the south of Tranvancore and the
northern and the north-western parts of Ceylon.

Malayālam is spoken along the Malabar coast on the western side of the Ghāts from about Chandragiri

near Mangalore to Trivandrum.

Telugu is the language spoken all along the eastern coast of the Peninsula from about Pulicāt to Chicācōle, and inland it prevails as far as the eastern boundary of the Mahrātta country and Mysore including within its range the Ceded Districts and Karnūl, a considerable

part of Hyderābād, a portion of the Nāgpur country and Gondvāna.

The region in which the Kannada language is now spoken comprises the west of the Nizam's Dominious, parts of Central Provinces and Berar, the southern districts of the Bombay Presidency, the whole of Mysore and Coorg, and the Madras districts bordering these countries on the north, west and south.

In the Linguistic Survey of India the region is thus defined:—

"Kanarese is the principal language of Mysore and the adjoining parts of Coimbatore, Salem, Anantapur and Bellary. The frontier line thence goes northwards through the Dominions of His Exalted Highness the Nizam as far as Bidar, where it turns almost due west on to about the 78th degree and further southwards so as to include the south-eastern portion of Jat and Daphlapur. Kanarese is also spoken in the extreme south-east of Satāra in Taluqa Tāsgaon; to some extent in the Aundh State in the Satāra Agency; and in the south of Belgaum, and further to the west, in Kolhāpur in almost so far west as the town of The line thence turns southwards, following the Kolhāpur. Ghāts to about Honāwar where it goes down to the sea. In North Kanara, Kanarese is the official language all over the district. It is the principal language of South Kanara with the exception of the southernmost corner. The frontier line thence coincides with the southern frontier of Mysore. Kanarese dialects are also spoken in the Nilgiris and the language has lastly been brought by immigrants to Madura and to Central Provinces."

Tuļu is spoken in a very limited area, the Chandragiri and Kalyāṇapuri rivers in the Canara District being regarded as its ancient boundaries, and it does not appear ever to have extended much beyond them. Kodagu is the language of Coorg; and Tōda, Kōta and Baḍaga, as also Kurumba and Iruļa, are the languages of certain tribes inhabiting the Nilgiris.

Kurukh or Orāyon is spoken in the western portion of the Bengal Presidency and the adjoining parts of the Central Provinces; Mālto or Rājamahāli in the Rājamahāl Hills in the north-east of the Sonthal Parganas; Kūī or Khond in the hills of Orissa and the neighbouring districts; Gōndi in the plateau of the Central Provinces from

Wārdha in the west and south to Bālāghāt and Manḍla in the east and north; Kōlāmi in East Berar and the Wārdha district of the Central Provinces; Bhīli in the Pusad Taluqa of the Bāsim district; and Nāiki, the dialect of the Darwe Gōṇḍs, in Chanda.

Brāhūī is spoken in the Sarawān and Jhalawār Provinces of Balūchistān and also in parts of Sindh.*

The total number of speakers of these languages is, in round numbers, about seventy-two millions.

We shall now proceed to say a few words about the

General characteristics of the Dravidian languages.

general characteristics of the Dravidian languages. As Tamil has developed the common Dravidian principles with great consistency,

it may be taken as a good representative of the Dravidian languages.

Pronunciation.—There is a tendency to pronounce a short vowel after every final consonant. \dagger There are a short and a long e and o.

Harmonic sequence of vowels.—This requires the vowel of the suffix to be so changed as to harmonise with the radical vowel; and conversely the vowel of the suffix also reacts on the vowel of the root so that the latter is altered into harmony with the former. This rule is particularly observed in Telugu and Tulu, though there are traces of it in Tamil and Kannada also. In Telugu *i* is used when a neighbouring syllable contains *i*, *ī* or *ai*, and *u* in other cases: kattiki, kattulaku, kattulu; ā-manishinni, mīrunnu; kalugudunu, kaligitini. This law of vocalic harmony is a characteristic feature

† Kumārilabhatta of the 7th century quotes in his Tantravārtika, chōr (śōru), pāmp (pāmbu) and vair (vayiru) without final u. This is perhaps due to his insufficient acquaintance

with the Tamil language.

^{*}I may add here that two more Dravidian tribes of Balūchistān, namely, the Oritæ and the Arabitæ, are mentioned by classical writers. One is tempted to recognise a connection between Arabitæ and Arava, the name applied to Tamil by the Telugus and the Kannadigas.

of many of the Ural-Altaic forms of speech.* Distinct traces of it are also found in the Munda languages. occurrence in Telugu is supposed to be due to Munda influence.

Convertibility of surds and sonants.—Hard and soft consonants are interchanged, especially in Tamil and Malayalam. A consonant when initial or double is

hard, but when medial soft.

Phonetical changes of vowels and consonants.— Ai in Tamil becomes e in Kannada and a in Telugu and Malayālam: talai, tale, tala. Gutturals in Kannada change into palatals in Tamil and Telugu: kivi, ševi, chevi; key, sey, chēyi; v in Tamil changes to g in Telugu: aruvar, aruguru; y in Tamil changes to s in Kannada: peyar, pesar; vayiru, basiru; etc., etc.

Inflection of nouns.—Gender: Nouns are divided into high-caste and casteless or rational and irrational. Gender is denoted by pronominal suffixes in high-caste nouns—an, al, ar (epicene plural). Brāhūī does not distinguish the genders even in the case of rational beings. Most other languages of the family—Kurukh, Malto, Kūī, Gōndi, Kōlāmi, and Telugu—have no feminine singular, but use the neuter instead. The same is the case in Kurumba, a dialect of Kannada. Kūī and Gōndi use the neuter even for the feminine plural.

Number: There are only the singular and plural numbers, but no dual. Demonstrative pronouns are added to denote number, as also the suffixes kal, gal

and lu.

Case: Cases are formed by the addition of postpositions which are the same in the singular and plural. These post-positions are not independent words. They are added to the simple base in the nominative and to the oblique base formed by the addition of ad or at, marad or marat, in the other cases. The accusative and dative cases are generally distinguished, but not in some

^{*} It is found in Finnish, Turkish, Hungarian, etc., but not in the language of the Lapps.

languages of the group, which is due to the influence of the Aryan vernaculars.

Adjectives.—Adjectives are not capable of inflection. The genitive of ordinary nouns is an adjective. Many adjectives are in the form of relative participles—siriya, periya, uyarnda.

Numerals.—Nine is formed from the numeral ten by prefixing one—ombadu, ombattu; but tommidi in Telugu. Numerals are used partly as nouns and partly

as adjectives.

Pronouns.—Generally in pronouns n denotes the singular and m the plural; but in the second person plural r is likewise used: Tamil nīr, Tuļu īr, Kūī īru, Telugu mīru (old form īru). Reflexive pronouns are used in the beginning of words to form terms of relationship: tandai, tangai, tande, tange. There are two plurals of the first person, one inclusive and the other exclusive of the person addressed*:—

| | | Exclusive | Inclusive |
|--------------------|---------|--------------|-----------|
| Tamil | ••• | nāngaļ | yām, nām |
| Malayāļam | | ñāṅṅaḷ | nām |
| Kurukh | • • • • | em | nām |
| Kūī | | āmu | āju |
| \mathbf{T} elugu | ••• | ēmu, mēmu | manamu |
| Kannada | | ${f nar am}$ | ām. |

There are no relative pronouns but only relative participles. The plural is used as an honorific form in

the singular.

Verbs.—Many bases are both verbs and nouns: kuttu, tappu, malar, talir, pali. There is a negative conjugation. There are two negatives illa and alla, the one denying existence and the other denying quality or nature.

There are appellative verbs, i.e., verbs formed from nouns: $\tilde{s}\bar{e}$ vaganen, $s\bar{e}$ vakanem, $s\bar{e}$ vakudanu. There is no passive voice.

^{*}These two forms occur in the Munda languages also. They likewise occur in other families which have nothing to do with the Mundas and the Drāvidas, e.g., in the Nūba languages, the Algonquin languages, etc.

According to Cust the distinctive features of the Dravidian family of languages briefly are, that in its gender it distinguishes between rational and irrational objects; it has an oblique form for many of its nouns; it specialises the meaning of a root by the use of formatives; it modifies the root itself to convey different meanings, and relations; it has a negative voice.

The important points of resemblance between the

Affinity of Behistun tablets to Dravidian languages.

Dravidian languages and the Behistun tablets* are: the occurrence of cerebral letters; agreement with Tamil in regarding the same con-

sonant as a surd in the beginning of a word and as a sonant in the middle, and in pronouncing the same consonant as a sonant when single and as a surd when doubled; formation of the genitive case by the suffixes na, nina and inna, cp. ni in Telugu and in in Tamil; the dative suffix ikki, ikka, cp. Malayālam ninakku; formation of the accusative by suffixing iin, iin or iin ii

The general characteristics of the Dravidian languages given above distinguish these

Dravidian group forms of speech from Sanskrit.

A few more distinguishing features

are :---

In the Dravidian languages all nouns denoting inanimate substances and irrational beings are of the neuter gender. The distinction of male and female appears only in the pronouns of the third person, in adjectives formed by suffixing the pronominal terminations, and in the third person of the verb. In all other cases, the distinction of gender is marked by separate words signifying "male" and "female." Dravidian

^{*}Behistun in Western Media. The inscriptions discovered here which are in the old Persian, in the Babylonian and in the language of the Scythians of the Medo-Persian empire, record the political autobiography of Darius Hystaspes.

nouns are inflected, not by means of case terminations, but by means of suffixed post-positions and separate Dravidian neuter nouns are rarely pluralized. particles. Dravidian languages use post-positions instead of prepositions. It is characteristic of these languages in contradistinction to Indo-European, that wherever practicable, they use as adjectives the relative participles of verbs, in preference to nouns of quality or adjectives properly so Unlike the Indo-European, they prefer the use of continuative participles to conjunctions. It is a marked peculiarity of the Dravidian languages that they make use of relative participial nouns instead of phrases introduced by relative pronouns. These participles are formed from the various participles of the verb by the addition of a formative suffix. Thus "the person who came" is in these languages literally "the who came." Finally, in the Dravidian languages the governing word is invariably placed after the word governed, in consequence of which the nominative always occupies the first place in the sentence, and the one finite verb the last.

Further, Tamil rules of prosody are mostly different from those of Sanskrit, and the possession of peculiar sounds like l, r, n (final) and h (aydam) is an indication of the physiological characteristics of the Tamil people differentiating their language from the Aryan tongues. Moreover, in the Aryan languages the plural has a. different set of case-terminations from the singular, by the use of which the complex idea of plurality and caserelation is indicated. There is no inflection of any case as such, irrespective of number, nor for number as such, irrespective of case. In the Dravidian languages plurality is expressed by a sign common to all the cases which is affixed directly to the singular, or crude form of the To this sign of plurality are added the case terminations, which are fixed and unalterable, expressing the idea of case, and nothing more, and are the same in Again, in the Aryan languages, caseboth numbers. relations of the singular are expressed by one set of forms and those of the plural by another; but in the

Dravidian tongues the same case signs are employed, without alteration both in the singular and in the plural. There is only one declension properly so called. The conjunctive case is not found in the Aryan languages. In the Dravidian languages the pronominal signs are annexed, not directly to the root as in the Aryan but to the tense particles. The Dravidian languages are destitute of any common term for brother, sister, uncle, aunt, etc., and use instead a set of terms which combine the idea of relationship with that of age; e.g., anna, (elder brother), tamma (younger brother), akka (elder sister), tange (younger sister), chikkappa (younger paternal uncle), doddamma (elder maternal aunt), etc.

With regard to the cultivated Dravidian languages

Influence of Sanskirt and the Aryan vernaculars on the Dravidian group. of Southern India, the influence of Sanskrit has extended only to the vocabulary and not to the grammar. It has been said that the accession of culture from a

superior race to an inferior is sometimes dangerous to the purity of a language; and accordingly the Dravidian languages have suffered in this way by the large infiltration of Sanskrit. The amount of Sanskrit in Tamil is much less than in the other languages. Sanskrit has no doubt supplied these tongues with words for expressing abstract ideas of religion, philosophy and science; but in many cases Sanskrit words have been unnecessarily introduced with the notion of adding elegance and refinement to the speech. Caldwell says that the following remarks of Archbishop Trench respecting the character of the contributions which English has received from Anglo-Saxon and from Latin respectively, are exactly applicable to the relation and proportion which the native Dravidian element bears to the Sanskrit contained in these languages:-

[&]quot;All its joints, its whole articulation, its sinews, and its ligaments, the great body of articles, pronouns, conjunctions, prepositions, numerals, auxiliary verbs, all smaller words which serve to knit together and bind the larger into sentences, these,

not to speak of the grammatical structure of the language, are exclusively Anglo-Saxon (Dravidian). The Latin (Sanskrit) may contribute its tale of bricks, yea, of goodly and polished hewn stones, to the spiritual buildings, but the mortar, with all that holds and binds these together, and constitutes them into a house, is Anglo-Saxon (Dravidian) throughout."

But the Dravidian languages of Northern India have been much influenced by the neighbouring Aryan vernaculars, and in some cases such as the Brāhūī, the influence has been very great owing to the segregation of these languages for centuries from the other Dravidian tongues. It is, however, remarkable that these languages have still retained so many grammatical affinities to the other languages of the group. The Brāhūī has freely absorbed the alien vocabulary of Persian, Balūchi, Sindhi and other neighbouring languages and is no more an unmixed form of speech. its character as a Dravidian language can easily be recognised. It has a short and a long e and o; ni for thou and num for you; a negative conjugation and a passive voice; aspirated letters and f. The first three numerals are Dravidian, the higher ones being Aryan Musit, three, may be compared with the loan-words. Tulu mūji. The plural suffixes of the first and second person correspond to forms used in the other Dravidian languages, but the singular suffixes are different. Kurukh and Malto very closely agree with each other. They have no separate feminine singular, but use the neuter instead, just as is the case in Kūī, Gondi and Telugu. Their nouns have no separate oblique base, as is also the case in Brāhūī and often in Telugu. Their personal pronouns are most closely related to those used in the old dialects of Tamil and Kannada. Their present tense is formed as in Kannada. Only the first few numerals are Dravidian, the rest being Aryan. and Gondi differ from other connected languages in using the neuter instead of the feminine in both numbers. They follow the same principles as Tamil and Kannada in the formation of the plural, and mainly agree with Kannada in the formation of the present and past tenses. With regard to numerals, they resemble the other languages mentioned above. The minor dialects of the north, namely, Kōlāmi, Nāiki and Bhīli, use the neuter singular as a feminine like Telugu, Gōnḍi, Kūī, etc., but the oblique base is formed as in Tamil and Kannaḍa. The plural suffixes agree with Kannaḍa dialects and Telugu, and the numerals are mainly the same as in Telugu. These three dialects very much resemble one another and show some interesting points of analogy with the Tōda dialect of the Nilgiris.

Some scholars are of opinion that the Dravidian tongues have not only borrowed

Dravidian influence on Sanskrit and the Aryan vernaculars: tongues have not only borrowed much from Sanskrit but have also lent much to it. It is even supposed that these languages have also

influenced to some extent the grammar of Sanskrit and the Aryan vernaculars. The Dravidian family once extended over nearly the whole of India. The geographical names in most parts of India, in spite of Sanskritised forms and fanciful derivations, attest this fact to this day. Where peoples speaking different languages are in constant intercommunication with each other, they naturally borrow much from each other without examination or consideration. It may therefore be taken for granted that as the Aryans penetrated to the south and became acquainted with new objects bearing Dravidian names, they have, as a matter of course, adopted the names of those things together with the things themselves. Caldwell gives the following as the conditions on which any word contained in the Sanskrit lexicons may be concluded to be of Dravidian origin:-

- 1. When it is an isolated word in Sanskrit without a root and without derivations, but is surrounded in the Dravidian languages with collateral, related or derivative words;
- when Sanskrit possesses other words expressing the same idea, whilst the Dravidian tongues have the one in question alone;
- 3. when the word is not found in any of the Indo-European tongues allied to Sanskrit, but is found in every Dravidian dialect;

4. when the derivation which the Sanskrit lexicographers give is fanciful, whilst Dravidian lexicographers deduce it from some Dravidian theme of the same or a similar signification from which a variety of words are found to be derived;

5. when the signification of the word in the Dravidian languages is evidently radical and physiological, whilst the Sanskrit signification is metaphorical or only collateral; and

6. when Indian Dravidian scholars, notwithstanding their high estimation of Sanskrit as the mother of all literature, classify the word as a purely Dravidian one.

When any or several or all of these grounds exist, we may, according to Caldwell, safely conclude the word to be Dravidian, not a Sanskrit derivative. As judged by these conditions, the following among others are given as Dravidian loan-words:—

Akkā (a mother), attā (a mother, an elder sister), kuti (a house), kōta (a fort), nīra (water), palli (a village), mîna (a fish), ēda (a sheep or goat) cp. ādu, marutta (a medicine man) cp. marundu, hēramba (a buffalo) cp. erumai, atta (boiled rice, food) from adu, ām (yes) cp. ām, valli (a creeper) cp. valli, balli; mukula (a bud) cp. mugil, mugul; kuntala (hair) cp. kūndal, pāli (tip of the ear) cp. pāle (lobe of the ear), manda (the head) cp. mande, kāka (a crow) cp. kāge, māchala (a crocodile) cp. mosale, mēka (a goat) cp. mēke, šīra (a large snake) cp. šērai, kēre; tāļa (palmyra) cp. tāļ, varuka (a species of inferior grain) cp. baragu, varagu; ulkā (a firebrand) cp. ulku, tatit, tadit (lightning) cp. sidil, tedil (Tulu); Malaya from male, āli (a woman's female friend) cp. ālu (Telugu) a woman, a wife; kali, ganda (a hero); sundi (a procuress, a bawd) cp. sondi, khalina (the bit of a bridle) cp. kadiyana, talpa (a float) cp. teppa, kalya (spirituous liquor) cp. kal, kharju (itch) cp. kajji, psā (hunger) cp. pasi.

Sanskrit has borrowed words of even Greek or Roman

origin such as dīnāra dramma, hōra, etc.

So much for the Dravidian element in the vocabulary of Sanskrit. With regard to phonology, it is supposed that the cerebral letters in Sanskrit were taken from the Dravidian tongues. It is a general rule that a people

which invades a foreign country, to some degree adopts the pronunciation of its new home, partly owing to climate and partly owing to intermixture with the old The cerebral letters form an essential feature of Dravidian phonology and they are found in Sanskrit alone and not in any of the primitive lan-Further, the use of l in guages related to Sanskrit. Sanskrit and the Aryan vernaculars where other Indo-European languages use r and vice versa, is probably due to Dravidian influence, since in these languages the change of r to l is very common. Further features in the phonology of the Arvan vernaculars which may be attributed to Dravidian influence are the softening of hard consonants after vowels in the Prākrits, not only in single words but also in compounds; the double pronunciation of the palatals in Mahrāthi as in Telugu; and the change of ch to s and of s to h in many of these languages.

We observe Dravidian influence of much greater importance in the inflectional system of the Aryan vernaculars. In the inflection of nouns post-positions are used as case-suffixes, which are the same in both numbers, not after the base but after an oblique form, the latter being also used as a genitive and this again as an adjective. The use of a separate oblique form is most extensive in languages such as Mahrāthi and Bīhāri, where the Dravidian influence must have been very strong. The use of two different forms of the objective case is distinctly Dravidian. It cannot, from an Aryan point of view, be explained why a post-position should, in this case, be added to a noun denoting a rational being, and not to other nouns as well. Dravidian languages nouns are divided into two broad classes-rational beings and irrational beings, whether animate or inanimate. The Hindi rule for the use of a post-position in the objective case agrees with that prevailing in Tamil and Malayalam. The inclusive and exclusive plurals of the pronoun of the first person are also found in several of the Aryan vernaculars.

The Dravidian tendency of using the participles without any addition as conjunctive participles in

subordinate sentences, also with pronominal suffixes to indicate the person of the subject, has also pervaded the Arvan vernaculars. Verbal tenses have been replaced by participles. Forms like kritavan, one who has done, are peculiar to later Sanskrit, cp. seydavan. The suffix vat is Aryan, but it is not clear how an active form can be arrived at by adding the suffix to a passive participle. In the Dravidian languages, on the other hand, the past participle is active as well as passive, and a noun of agency is formed from it in all dialectsseydavan. There cannot be any reasonable doubt about kritavān having been influenced by seydavan. Sanskrit kritavān is an anomalous form without analogy in connected languages, while Tamil seydavan is quite regular. The order of words in the Aryan vernaculars, with the governed before the governing word and the verb invariably at the end of the sentence, is also in agreement with Dravidian principles.

In the Gaudian languages, i.e., the Aryan verna-

Difference between Gaudian and Dravidian languages.

culars, the non-Sanskritic portion of the vocabulary is very small and even words of every day use are taken, either pure or

corrupt, from Sanskrit, as also many grammatical features; whilst in the Dravidian languages, though a small proportion of the words, either pure or corrupt, has been borrowed from Sanskrit, the major portion being non-Sanskritic, the words for ordinary use are not derived from Sanskrit, nor do the grammatical processes resemble those of Sanskrit. Further, the Aryan vernaculars have no relative participles, no negative voice, not one of the Dravidian pronouns or numerals and no common words of primary importance. The non-Sanskritic words in them have no manner of analogy to the non-Sanskritic words in the Dravidian languages.

Lexical affinities in the Dravidian languages.

We have briefly shown the agreement in grammar and structure of the Dravidian tongues. We may now proceed to a comparison of their lexicons. In comparing words together it

is desirable to take pronouns and numerals, which constitute a link between grammar and vocabulary and have acquired a fixed and arbitrary meaning, and terms of relationship and every day use. A list of twelve such words in nine Dravidian languages, namely, Tamil, Malayāļam, Kannada, Telugu, Kurukh, Mālto, Kūī, Gōṇḍi and Brāhūī, is appended. It will be seen from the list that there is some dissimilarity in a few words, especially of Mālto and Brāhūī; but this is accounted for by the influence exercised on these languages by the neighbouring Aryan vernaculars.

| | nglish | Tamil | Mala- yāļam | Kannaqa | Telugn | Kurukh | Mālto | Kūī | Gōṇḍi | Brāhūī |
|----------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------|--------|----------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------|
| Ή- | One | 011713 | Į, i | ondin | Oka+i | 00+40 | 1 | vo (ndi) | ากฝ้า | asit |
| । द्य | Two | irandu | randu | eradu | rendu | eņd e | iwr | ri (ndi) | rand | irat |
| က | Three | Mungu | mūnn | mūra | mūďu | punu | tīn | mūñji | mūnd | musit |
| 4 | Н | าลัก | ñān | nānn | něnu | ēn | ēn | ลิกน | nannä | |
| ಸ | We | (Excl.) nāṅgal | ñāṅṅaḷ | nāvugaļu | mēmu | ēm | ēm | āmn | mammät | nan |
| | | (Incl.) nām | nām | nāvn | manamn | ກລົເກ | nām | | | |
| 9 | Thou | nī | nī | nīna | nīvu | nīn | nīn | i nu | immā | nî |
| ~ | You | nîngaļ | ninnal | nivu | miru | uīm | nim | īru | immat | nun |
| ∞ | Eye | kan | kaņņu | kannu | kannu | khann | qanuth | kānu | kan | khan |
| G | Ear | kādu, ševi | chevi | kivi | chevi | khebdā | qethwuth | kriu | kavi | khaf |
| 10 | Nose | mūkku | műkku | mūgn | mukku | muī | musoth, | muńgeli | mussor | bāmus |
| 11 | Tooth | pal | pallu | hallu | pallu | pall | paluth | pālkā | pal | dandān |
| 12 | Come | va | vā. | bā | រៈខ្ល | barā | bara | bāmu | varā | bar |
| | | | | | | | | • | _ | |

We may now proceed to consider in some detail

Dravidian tongues of Southern

of Southern India.

The Dravidian tongues of Southern

India. As stated before, four of these, viz., Tamil, Malayāļam,

Kannada and Telugu, are cultivated, while the rest, namely, Tulu, Kodagu, Tōda, Kōta and Badaga, which are all dialects of Kannada, are uncultivated, inasmuch as they have not even a written character of their own.

To these have to be added the minor dialects Kurumba and Irula.

Of these languages, Tamil is supposed to be the oldest and most highly organised, Tamil. exceedingly rich in vocabulary and cultivated from a very remote period. Some scholars, however, are of opinion that Tamil is not the oldest Dravidian language, as Telugu and other languages have preserved older forms and represent a more ancient state of development. They think that the use of conjunctive participles instead of the ordinary verbal tenses in Malayālam also affords evidence of a more ancient stage of development of that language than is the case with It has been stated that the name Tamil has been Sanskritised into Dramida or Dravida, though it is not easy to account for the presence of r in the first syllable. Tamil Pandits say that the word Tamil means "sweetness" and that it has appropriately become the name of their sweet language.* They consider that the theory that Tamil is derived from the Sanskrit Dravida is not at all tenable, since a language which was in existence before the advent of the Aryans could not have got its name from the new comers. The Telugus and the Kannadigas call the language Aravam, un-sonorous, probably because it is totally destitute of aspirates. Another name applied to it by the Kannadigas is

^{*} In the Tamil lexicon called *Pinyalandai* sweetness and mercy are given as the meanings of the word *tamil—inimaiyum nīrmaiyum tamil enal āyum*. The word appears to occur only once in Tamil literature in the sense of sweetness—in the *Sintāmaņi* of Tiruttakkadēvar.

Tigula, meaning probably rude or rough. The Portuguese called Tamil and Malayalam, Malabar, and Tamil, too, was known by that name long in Europe. very first book ever printed in Tamil characters at Ambalakkādu on the Malabar coast in 1577-9, the language of the book is styled Malavar or Tamul. Tradition refers the commencement of literary activity in Tamil to the sage Agastya, who is said to have been taught Tamil by Siva or Subrahmanya, to have brought the alphabet from the north, to have composed the first grammar of the language known as Pēragattiyam, and to have caused another grammar named Tolkāppiyam to be written by one of his pupils. It is stated that from the sound of the right side of Siva's drum arose Sanskrit and from the sound of the left side, Tamil, and that Śiva gave Sanskrit to Pānini and Tamil to Agastya. a Sanskrit work called Sambhurahasya, which is in the form of a dialogue between Siva and Subrahmanya, Siva says: "Endowed by me with knowledge, the sage Agastya wrote Tamil grammar; so Tamil being ārsha, i.e., derived from a rishi author, does not fall under the head of apabhramsa (corrupt language) just as is the case with Andhra, Karnāta, Ghaurjara and other languages which are not ārsha."* Another tradition says that Agastya, having had a quarrel with the Sanskrit Pandits of Kāsi, came to the south and established the Tamil language. Invention of age by an individual is, however, opposed to the principles of philology. Language is social and national, not individual. It is a social product, springing up with the first community and developing with the increasing needs of culture and civilisation. A grammar pre-supposes previous literature.† Tolkāppiyam

^{*} ಮಾಮುದ್ದಿ ಶ್ಯ ತಪಶ್ಚ ಕ್ರೇ ಪುರಾಗಸ್ಸ್ಬೋ ಮಹಾಮುನೀ | ಮಯಾ ಪ್ರದತ್ತವಿಜ್ಞಾನೋ ದ್ರಾಮಿಡೀಂ ವ್ಯಾಕೃತಿಂ ವ್ಯಧಾತ್ ॥ ನಾಪಭ್ರಂಶತ್ವದೋಷೋಸ್ತಿ ದ್ರಾಮಿಡೀನಾಂ ಗಿರಾಂ ತತಃ | ಅನಾರ್ಪಮಾಂಧ್ರಕಾರ್ಣಾಟ ಘೌರ್ಜರಾದ್ಯಂ ಪಿ ಭಾಷಿತಂ ॥ ಅಪಭ್ರಂಶಂ ವಿಜಾನೀಯಾತ್ ॥ † ಇಲಕ್ಕಿಯಮಿಸ್ತಿ ಯಲಕ್ಕಣಮಿನ್ರೇ ! ಇಲಕ್ಕಣಮಿನ್ರಿ ಯಲಕ್ಕ್ಕಿಯಮಿನ್ನೇ | ಎಳ್ಳಿನ್ರೆಣ್ಣೆ ಯೆಡು ಪ್ರದು ಪೋಲ | ವಿಲಕ್ಕಿಯ ತ್ರಿನಿನ್ರೆದು ಪಡು ಮಲಕ್ಕಣಂ ॥ —ಪೇರಗತ್ತಿ

quotations from older authors. If Agastya wrote a grammar at all, he must have based it on the works of previous authors. The indegenous words eluttu (a letter) and suvadi (a book) in Tamil prove that Agastya did not bring the alphabet. The story of Agastya has to be taken to mean that he was an early sympathetic cultivator of the language. Tamil has a copious vocabulary, relatively independent of Sanskrit, and a wide range of literature. It is, as will be seen further on, more closely related to Kannada than to any other member of the Dravidian group.

Malayāļam is composed of the two words mala, a mountain, and $\bar{a}lam$, a verbal Malayāļam. derivative from the root, $\bar{a}l$, to possess, to rule, which likewise

occurs in this connection in the forms $\bar{a}lma$ or $\bar{a}yma$, a softened form of ālma corresponding to the Tamil ānmai. The whole word means "the mountain region," and then the language of that region. Caldwell considers Malayālam to be a very ancient offshoot of Tamil, differing from it chiefly at present by its disuse of the personal terminations of the verbs, and the larger amount of Sanskrit words it has incorporated into its vocabulary. Personal terminations began to be dropped after the 13th century, and by the end of the 15th they had gone completely out of use. Tuñiattu Eluttachchan, a noted writer of the 16th century, seems to have introduced the present alphabet, which appears to have been derived from the Grantha, the character in which Sanskrit is written in the Tamil country. The proportion of Sanskrit words adopted by the Dravidian languages is least in Tamil, greatest in Malayalam. Malayalam has a close relationship to Tamil.

In point of euphonic sweetness Telugu is said to occupy the first place among Telugu.

Dravidian idioms. It was called by the Europeans gentoo, a corruption of the Portuguese gentio, a heathen. The term was originally, i.e., in 1648, applied to

all Hindus as opposed to the Moors or Muhammadans. and came in time to mean the Telugus Telugu is called Andhra by Sanskrit writers. term Andhra occurs as the name of an Indian people in the Aitareya Brāhmana, the Rāmāvana, the Mahābhārata and in the Asōka inscriptions of the third century B.C. Pliny calls them Andarae. The Andhra language is mentioned by the Chinese pilgrim, Hwen Thsang, about the middle of the seventh century, who says that it differed from that of Central India, whilst the forms of the written characters were for the most part the same. The name Telugu, which also occurs in the forms Telungu, Telinga, Tailinga, Tenugu and Tenungu, is said to be derived from Trilinga, "the language of the three lingas," i.e., of the country of which three famous linga temples formed the boundaries. According to tradition Siva as a linga descended on three mountains, namely, Kālēšvara, Śrīšaila and Bhīmēšvara, which marked the boundaries of the Telugu country. Prākrit form of Trilinga is Telinga. The Telugu country was called Telingana by the Muhammadans. Besides Trilinga the Telugu country had likewise the name Trikalinga given to it. Telugu Pandits derive the name Tenugu from tene, honey, and say that the name indicates the mellifluous character of the language. In the Tamil country Telugu is known as Vadugu, and the Telugus as Vadugar from vada, north, the Telugu country lying to the north of the Tamil. According to tradition the first Telugu author was the sage Kanva who lived at the court of Andhraraya and wrote Telugu Atharvaņāchārva, who lived at the begingrammar. ning of the thirteenth century, names, besides Kanva, Brihaspati, Hēmachandra, Pushpadanta, Rāvaṇa, Gautama and Dharmaraja as writers on Telugu grammar in his Trilingašabdānušāsana. None of these grammars has come down to us. In Tamil we have only one sage Agastya as the formulator of the rules of grammar, but here we have a good number of them besides Rāyana, the demon king of Lanka.

Unlike Tamil and Telugu, Kannada has no sage's name associated with its literary origin. But the name Karṇāṭa or

Kannada is very old.

It occurs as early as the sixth century in Varāhamihira's $Brihatsamhit\bar{a}$, and its
name.

hira's $Brihatsamhit\bar{a}$, and its
mention in Sōmadēva's $Kath\bar{a}$ saritsāgara, probably due to its

being mentioned in his source, viz., the Paišāchi Bṛihatkathā of Guṇāḍhya, carries it further back to the
earliest centuries of the Christian era. It also occurs in
the form Karunāḍar in the Tamil poem named Śilappadikāram which is supposed to go back to the second
century A.D. and in the form Karunāḍagan in the
Vēļvikuḍi plates (c. 770) of the Pāṇḍya king Śaḍaiyan
Parāntaka. The Sanskrit poet Rājašēkhara (c. 900)
refers in his Kāvyamāmāmsā to the mannerisms of the
Karṇāṭas in reading their books.

According to Caldwell, the term Karnāṭaka was at first a generic denomination of the plateau of the

Southern Dekhan. He goes on to remark:—

"Karṇāṭaka has now got into the hands of foreigners who have given it a new and entirely Wrong use of the erroneous application. When the Muhammadans arrived in Southern India. name Karņātaka. they found that part of it with which they first became acquainted—the country above the Ghāts, including Mysore and part of Telingana—called the Karnataka country. In course of time, by a misapplication of terms, they applied the same name, the Karnātak or Carnātic, to designate the country below the Ghāts, as well as that which was above. The English have carried the misapplication a step further, and restricted the name to the country below the Ghāts, which never had any right to it whatever. Hence the Mysore country, which is properly the Carnatic, is no longer called by that name by the English, and what is now geographically termed "the Carnatic" is exclusively the country below the Ghats on the Coromandel Coast, including the whole of the Tamil country and the District of Nellore only in the Telugu country."

The derivation of Karnāṭa or Kannaḍa is uncertain. Dr. Gundert proposed Kar-nāḍu, "the black country,"

as the original form of Karnāṭa, in allusion to the black

Derivation of Karnaṭa, in allusion to the black
cotton soil which characterises the
plateau of the Southern Dekhan in

ņāta or Kannada. which the language is spoken. Other scholars have suggested that Karnāta is derived from Karu-nādu "the elevated country," with reference to the height of the plateau above the sea level. Indian grammarians have expressed the opinion that Kannada is a tadbhava or corrupt form of Karnāta, though it is more likely that the latter is a Sanskritised form of the original Kannada. We may compare with this Punnāta, the Sanskritised form of Punnādu, a kingdom which existed in the south of Mysore in the early centuries of the Christian era. Ptolemy names it Paunnata in the second century. The original form of Kannada was most probably Kannādu (compare Punnādu) which was subsequently changed into Kannadu and lastly into Kannada. The form Kannadu as the name of the language is even now heard in some parts of the Kannada country. The suggested derivation from Kar-nādu, the black country, may seem appropriate to outsiders, but the Kannadigas themselves are not likely to designate their language" the black country language." The Tamils and Telugus derive their respective languages from words which bear the signification of sweetness, though outsiders may suppose that it is an afterthought. It is not therefore too much to presume that the Kannadigas also must have applied some good attribute to their language, and this attribute, I think, is fragrance as indicated by the derivation from Kammitu (fragrant)+ nādu (country), which words when compounded become Kannādu. Kannādu, i.e., Kannada, thus means the language of the fragrant country, and the epithet fragrant may be considered appropriate to the Kannada country abounding as it does in sandal forests and lotus ponds, the latter being invariably described by Kannada poets as one of its most characteristic features.

With regard to the antiquity of the Kannada language, one or two points may be mentioned.

According to Jaina tradition, Brāhmi, the daughter of Rishabhadēva, the first Tirthan-Antiquity of Kankara, invented eighteen alphabets nada language. including, among others, Kan-Recently a curious inscription of about the ninth nada. century was found in a Jaina temple in the Deoghar Fort containing specimens of different alphabets mostly Dravidian.* Among the papyri belonging to the second century A.D., discovered at Oxyrhyncus in Lower Egypt, there is one which contains a Greek play or farce, based upon the story of a Greek girl carried off to the coast of India and rescued by her brother, in which there occur some Indian words which, according to Dr. Hultzsch, are Kannada.†

Kannada is closely related to Tamil. They alone have a regular feminine gender. The various suffixes of the plural of rational and irrational nouns are essentially the same in both and they are more consistently distinguished than in most other connected forms of speech. Both languages agree in the principles for the formation of the oblique base. There are also a few points of difference between the two languages. Kannada agrees with Telugu in the formation of the oblique cases of the singular of the pronouns I and thou and in possessing a present participle. But it differs more widely from Telugu than it does from Tamil.

A word or two may now be said about the uncultivated Dravidian languages of the Dialects of Kannada. South, namely, Tulu, Kodagu, Tōda, Kōta and Badaga, which may be looked upon as dialects of Kannada by reason of their closer relationship to that language than to any other of the Dravidian tongues, as indicated in the following statements: "Tulu does not differ widely from Kanarese;" "Kodagu may be regarded as standing about midway between Old

^{*} Report on the Hindu and Buddhist Monuments, Northern Circle, 1918, p. 19.

[†] Mysore Archæological Report for 1904.

Kanarese and Tulu"; "Kōta may be considered as a very rude dialect of Kanarese"; "Baḍaga is an ancient dialect of Kanarese"; "the language (Tōda) seems to have been originally Old Kanarese, and not a distinct dialect."

Toda, the language of the Todas or Todavar of the Nilgiris, is peculiar in having the sound f; e.g., puf, insect. It has very few case-signs, there being no difference in it between the nominative, genitive and accusative. The earliest mention of the Todavar has been met with in an inscription, No. 83 of the Chāmarājanagar Taluk of the Mysore District, dated 1117, which states that Punisa, the general of the Hoysala king Vishnuvardhana, frightened them during his conquest of the Nilgiris. Kōta, the language of the Kōtas of the Nilgiris, is said to have been carried thither by a persecuted low caste tribe at some very remote period. In this language the sign of the genitive case is a, of the dative ke and of the locative olge; and the infinitive is formed by adding alik to the root; e.g., tin, eat, tinalik, to eat. All this shows how closely it is allied to Kannada. Badaga, the language of the Badagas, who form the most numerous class of people inhabiting the Nilgiris, is a more ancient form than ordinary Kannada and agrees in several particulars with the language of old literature. The sounds r and l are still found in the language, though in several cases l is used in the place of l; e.g., ari, to know; ēru, to ascend; kuri, a sheep; kere, a tank; irlu for irulu, night; tinglu for tingalu, the moon; avla for avalu, she; makkla for makkalu, children. A peculiarity about the use of l is that it occurs generally as a subscript of the first or second syllable of a word instead of forming the next syllable as in Kannada and other allied forms of speech; e.g., klee, for kilu, to pull out; blu for bīlu, to fall; mlūgu for mulugu, to sink; hogļu for hogalu, to praise; hļā for hāļu, ruin; hļayē for haļe, old: hlove for hole, a river; gluyi for guli, a pit. The personal pronoun of the first person singular is nam, I; the dative suffix is ga, holaga (holakke), to the field; the past tense of the verbs agrees with Old Kannada: mādidem, I did. The Badagas are mentioned in ancient Tamil literature in verse 253, attributed to the old poet Nakkīrar, of the anthology known as Aga-nānūru in connection with the Mysore king (Erumaiyūrān—he of Mahishapura or Mysore) who is stated to be related to them. Tulu, though destitute of a literature, is one of the most highly developed languages of the Dravidian family. The name Tulu which means mild, meek, humble, refers to the people rather than to The Tulus have the reputation of being the language. the most conservative portion of the Dravidian race. Tulu does not differ much from Kodagu. The dialect from which it differs most is Tamil. Kodagu is the language of the Coorgs, the people of Coorg or Kodagu. The name is derived from kuda, west, and means the western country. The earliest mention of the Coorgs or Kodagas is found in an iscription, No. 20 of the Hunsur Taluk of the Mysore District, dated 1174, which records that the Changalva ruler Pemma Vīrappa, aided by the Kodagas of all the Nadus, attacked Bettarasa, the general of the Hoysala king Ballala II, sent to put down the Changalva king Mahadeva whom he killed in battle, but was defeated. Kodagu has preserved its form comparatively free from change owing to the retired position of the people who speak it.

Two lists of words are given below, one to show the glossarial affinities of Kannada and its dialects.

Lexical affinities in Kannada and its dialects.

Nilgiris noticed above, namely, Tōda, Kōta and Baḍaga, as also the two minor dialects of the same place Kurumba and Irula; and the other to show the glossarial affinities of Kannada and the two dialects Tulu and Koḍagu. It will be seen that there is scarcely any dissimilarity in the words compared barring that due to a few dialectic interchanges.

LIST

| | Kannaḍa | ${f T}$ õda | Kōta | Badaga | Kurumba | Irula | English |
|---|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------------|
| | Ondu | odd | odde | ondu | ondu | ավո | Опе |
| | Eradu | þа | eģe | eradu | eradu | irņdu | Two |
| | Mūra | mūdu | munde | műru | mūra | mura | Three |
| | Nānn | an, ann | ane | na, nanu | nā, nanu | nānu, nā | H |
| | Nāvu | em, am | enge | engla | enga | navu | W_{e} |
| | Ninu | in | ni, niye | ii | ini | ni | Thou |
| | Nivu | nīv | nīnge | ningla | nīnga | nīv | \mathbf{X} on |
| | Irumpe | erb | irbē | irūpu | irūpu | irūmbu | Ant |
| | Kappu | kann | kaņnn | kannu | kann | kanna | Eye |
| _ | Minn | min | mīnē | minn | minu | minu | Fish |
| | Pāvu Hāvu | pāb | pābe | pāmbu | hāvu | pāmbu | Snake |
| | Bā | vā | vāge | ba | ba | barave | Come |
| | | | | | | | |

LIST II.

| Kannada | Tuļu | Koḍagu | English |
|--------------|---------|----------|---|
| Kuri | kuri | kori | Sheep Thunderbolt Tongue Orange Three Small Cotton Nine |
| Sidi | tedil | chedil | |
| Nālage | nālayi | nālayi | |
| Kittile | kittili | kittuli | |
| Mūru | mūji | mūrd | |
| Kiridu | kird | cheriya | |
| Palti, hatti | parti | parati | |
| Ombattu | ormba | oyimbadu | |

The numbers of the races speaking Kannada and its dialects are estimated at about eleven and a half millions.

CHAPTER II.

History of the Kannada language. Scope of History of language. State of Dravidian philology in Southern India. Indian and European scholars. The range of Kannada about a thousand years ago. Its influence in the Telugu country. Kannadigas: their civilisation. Phonology. The alphabet. Derivation from the Ašōka alphabet. Points of agreement and difference between the Kannada and Tamil alphabets. Phonetic system. Help of Tamil in determining words containing r and l. Euphonic combination. Vocalic harmony. Dialectic interchange. Interchange of vowels Interchange of consonants.

After these introductory and preparatory remarks, we may proceed to examine the History of the Kannada language in some detail Kannada language. and try to give a brief account of its history. We have already said something in a general way about the antiquity of the language, its inclusion as an important member in the Dravidian group of languages and its affinities to the other main members of the group in grammar. We shall now proceed to make a detailed examination of the language, and since the study of a language without reference to any other serves no useful purpose, we shall, as far as possible, compare and contrast the language with its sister languages in respect of the points that are brought under examination.

Language has been defined as the expression of thought by means of speech Scope of History of sounds. As language is constantly undergoing change, we can speak of a history of it; and it is the business of an historical investigation to trace the changes in the language. These changes take place gradually so that the speakers of the language are unconscious of them; and this accounts for the uniformity of the language.

which otherwise would become unintelligible. The history of a language deals mainly with its grammar and vocabulary together with the changes that have taken place in it. The grammar of every language is full of irregularities, exceptions, anomalies and inconsistencies owing to imperfect correspondence between grammatical form and grammatical function. In a perfect language every grammatical category would correspond exactly to some logical category, and there would be no room for a history or science. The peculiar charm of the study of languages lies precisely, as has been rightly said, in the mixture of the rational and the irrational, the arbitrary and exceptional with the symmetrical and regular, which they all present.

Before dealing with the history of the Kannada

State of Dravidian philology in Southern India. language, a few remarks may be made on the state of Dravidian philology in Southern India. It may generally be stated, with due

deference, that Indian Pandits can scarcely be of much help to us in this department of research. Some of them believe that Sanskrit, the language of the gods, is the primeval form of speech from which all other languages, no matter in what part of the world they are spoken, are derived. There are others who do not, however, go so far, but believe that all the languages— Gaudian, Dravidian and Kolarian of India, at any rate, are derived from Sanskrit. They are, of course, right in their derivation of the Gaudian languages from The presence of Sanskrit words more or less in the vocabularies of several of the Dravidian languages has led them to believe that this group of tongues is also derived from Sanskrit, though a slight examination into the vocabulary of any one of these languages would have clearly shown that the non-Sanskritic portion is very greatly in excess of the Sanskrit. The fact is that comparative study of languages is a thing with which the Indian Pandit is not familiar. A Pandit may have devoted his whole life-time to mastering the intricacies of the grammar of one language without giving so much as a thought to any striking resemblance that may present itself to him in the grammar of some other language. There is no lack of Pandits in all the Dravidian languages. There are even some who have made two or three of them the subject of their special study and possess equal proficiency in them. Their high proficiency in these languages, which would otherwise have proved of inestimable value, is not of much service to philologists owing to their unfamiliarity with the principles of comparative philology. An exception that I have come across is Pandit S. Krishnamacharya, the author of a Kannada grammar styled Hosagannadanudigannadi, which he wrote in about 1830. notices in this work the relationship between Tamil and Kannada and formulates some rules according to which, in his opinion, Tamil words undergo alteration in Kannada.

Indian graduates well trained in the Western modes of thought, who may be supposed to possess a critical scholarly habit of mind, may rightly be expected to do something for the advancement of Dravidian philology. But with one or two exceptions, no Indian scholar has as yet produced a work of any importance tending to promote an intelligent and critical study of the Dravidian forms of speech. The late Professor M. Seshagiri Sastri, M.A., of the Madras Presidency College, did some notable work in this line, but he believed, like Dr. Pope, that the Dravidian tongues were derived from Sanskrit. It was, however, reserved for Europeans to do much useful work for us in the field of Dravidian languages. scholars, though European tolerably well versed in one of the languages of the Dravidian group, are likely to go wrong in making generalisations, owing to their imperfect acquaintance with the others. But they have done a world of good by writing valuable works on the languages which they had made their special study. Thus Rice and Kittel have earned the gratitude of the Kannadigas; Beschi, Pope, Ellis

and Percival, of the Tamils; Campbell and Brown, of the Telugus; and Gundert, of the Malayalis. Caldwell and Sten Konow have done very useful work for the Dravidian group as a whole. By writing his Comparative Grammar, Caldwell has done very valuable service to the Dravidians. His work marks an era in the history of the Dravidian languages and has given an impetus to the critical and comparative study of Sten Konow's articles on the Dravidian those tongues. languages in the Linguistic Survey of India are of considerable value for the critical study of this group. Similarly, we are indebted to Europeans for works on the dialects of Kannada; to Brigel, Hodgson, Major Cole and Pope for their grammars of the Tulu, Badaga, Kodagu and Toda languages respectively. The same is likewise the case, with one or two exceptions, with regard to the Dravidian dialects of Northern India.

Regarding the range and principal seats of the

The range of Kannada about a thousand years ago.

Kannada language a thousand years ago, i.e., at about the beginning of the 9th century, we have the following interesting particu-

lars given in the Kavirājamārga of the Rāshṭrakūṭa king Nṛipatunga or Amōghavarsha I (815-877):--

"The region in which Kannada was spoken extended from the Käveri as far as the Gōdāvari. The Kannada spoken in the district lying within Kisuvolal, Kopana, Puligere and Onkunda was the pure well of Kannada undefiled."*

The range given above includes a considerable part of what is now regarded as a purely Mahrāṭṭa country.

^{*} ಕಾವೇರಿಯುಂದಮಾ ಗೋ

ಹಾವರಿವರಮಿರ್ದನಾಡದಾಕನ್ನ ಡದೊಳ್:

ಭಾವಿಸಿದ ಜನಪದಂ ವಸು

ಧಾವಳಯವಿಲೀನವಿಶದವಿಷಯವಿಶೇಷಂ ॥

ಅದಿಯೊಳಗಂ ಕಿಸುವೊಡಲಾ

ವಿದಿತಮಹಾಕೊಪಣನಗರದಾಪುಲಗೆಯೆಯಾ ।

ಸದಭಿಸ್ತು ತಮಪ್ಪೊಂಕುಂ

ದದ ನಡುವಣ ನಾಡೆ ನಾಡೆ ಕನ್ನಡಡ ತಿರುಳ್ ॥

But this ought not to incline us to doubt the accuracy of Nripatunga's statement concerning the northern limit. In the 9th century Kannada was spoken over a considerable part of the Mahratta country, but subsequently it has had to yield its place to the encroaching Mahrāthi idiom since the rise of the Mahrātta empire. Kolhāpūr, now considered a purely Mahratta District, was not so in ancient times, as is abundantly proved by the Kannada inscriptions in the Mahālakshmi temple situated in the heart of the Kolhāpūr town, by the large number of Kannada manuscripts in the Jaina Matha at Kolhāpūr, by the Kannada local names in the Kolhāpūr territory, as, for instance, Sirol (Siri-volal), and by the fact that the head of the Lakshmisena-matha at Kolhāpūr issues his circulars to this day in Kannada to his numerous disciples in the Karahataka-pranta, which is identical with the Satāra District, the name Karahātaka or Karhāda being now restricted to the town of that name. Further, the Political Agent at Kolhāpūr is, to the present day, always addressed as the Political Agent not of the "Dakshina-Mahārāshtra" or "Southern Mahrāṭṭa Country," but of the "Karavīra Ilākha and the Karnātaka-prānta." The official designation, Southern Mahratta Country, which includes the three Kannada Districts of Belgaum, Bijapur, and Dharwar, together with the Kolhāpūr, Miraj and other Indian States, is a misnomer. It is true that in one of the earliest inscriptions of Pulakési II (609-642) this part of the country is included in Mahärashtra; but this term, meaning literally "the great country," did not imply any of the racial and linguistic peculiarities which are now attached to the terms Mahrātta and Mahrāthi, derived from it. In the whole area of the so-called Southern Mahratta Country, not a single Mahrāthi inscription has been discovered, of a greater age than two or three centuries, while all the old inscriptions hitherto found are either in Sanskrit or Kannada, or in the two languages combined. This fact speaks of itself as to what the vernacular of the country.

was in early times. At present, the people and the language of the British Districts are essentially Kannada, and the Kannada people and language have been displaced, to a certain extent, by the Mahrāthi people and language in the Indian States, only because those States were established by the aggressions of Mahrāttas from the north, whose local influence proved to be greater than that of the rulers whom they The town and District of Sholapur dispossessed. contain numerous Kannada inscriptions, though the officially as a Mahrāthi one. district now counts Names such as Vappuvanna and Lasthiyavva among the Silāhāras of Thāna betray their Kannada origin. The influence of Kannada in ancient times in what is now known as the Telugu country is evidenced by the Kannada titles applied in old Telugu inscriptions to Telugu kings and chiefs; e.g., in a Telugu Vaidumba inscription of about the 9th century, the name of a general is given as Manujaradēva;* the Eastern Chālukya king Gunaga-Vijayāditya (844-888) had the title Gunake-nalla; and some of the Reddi chiefs bore the titles Jagad-obba-ganda and Jagada-Gōpāla.† It may also be incidentally noted that in the Indrakīlaparvata Telugu inscription of about the 9th century at Bezwada occurs the form samvatsaradul with the archaic Kannada locative suffix ul instead of the corresponding Telugu suffix $l\bar{o}$.

Adi-Pampa (941) says that he wrote his Vikramā-rajuna-vijaya or Bhārata in the pithy Kannada of Puligere, which is one of the four seats of pure Kannada named by Nripatunga. Ranna (993) also states that the Kannada of the two (which make together a sixhundred) was pithy Kannada‡ and that he wrote in it. The two referred to by Ranna are the Belvola three-hundred and the Puligere three-hundred, § so that

^{*} Madras Epigraphical Report, 1923, p. 98.

[†] Ibid, p. 124.

[‡] ಎರಡಲುನೂಅಅ ಕನ್ನಡಮಾತಿರುಳ ಕನ್ನಡಂ.

Š ಬೆಳ್ಬೊಲ ಮೂನೂಕುಮಂ ಪುಲಗೆಕ್ಕೆ ಮೂನೂಕುಮಂತೆರಡಕುನೂ ಕುಮಂ.—Epigraphia Indica, XV, p. 339.

Puligere again appears as the region of pure Kannada. The expression Erad-aru-nūru, as the name of a province, which was an ornament of the Kuntala country, occurs in the Kalās inscription of 930,* and the same appears as Dvi-trisatam, the two three-hundreds, in the Nīlgunda inscription of 982.†

Nṛipatunga also makes the following interesting remarks about the intelligence and culture of the Kannadigas inhabiting the region of pure Kannada already mentioned as lying within Kisuvolal, Kopana, Puligere and Onkunda:—

"Skilled are the people of that region in making speeches with apt words and also in understanding and pondering over (others') speeches. Naturally intelligent, they are, even without special study, versed in the usages of poetry. All are skilful in their speech. Even young children and the dumb learn wisdom and words respectively at a hint."

We learn from the Ceylonese Buddhist Chronicle

Kannadigas: their description in the Manadigas and that the Maurya description in the 3rd century B.C. a Buddhist mission-

ary named Rakkhita to Banavāsi, situated on the northwest border of the Mysore State, and another named Mahādēva, to Mahiṣha-Maṇḍala, probably Mysore. The late Dr. Fleet was of opinion that Mahiṣha-Maṇḍala did

^{*} ಕುಂತಳಧರಾತಳಂ; ತದ್ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ರಡಣುನೂಣು ಲಲಾಮಂ.— Epigraphia Indica, XIII, p. 326.

[†] Ibid, IV, p. 207. The term works has been taken in the sense of 1,200 and wrongly applied to Kopana by some scholars without any literary or ephigraphical evidence for doing so.

[‡] ಪದನಹುದು ನುಡಿಯಲುಂ ನುಡಿ ದುದನಹುದಾರಯಲುಮಾರ್ಪರಾ ನಾಡವರ್ಗಳ್! ಚದುರರ್ ನಿಜದಿಂ ಕುಳುತಿತ್ತೋ ದದೆಯುಂ ಕಾವೃಪ್ತಯೋಗಪರಿಣತಮತಿಗಳ್ ॥ ಕುಳುತಿಪರಲ್ಲದೆ ಮತ್ತಂ ಪೆಹರುಂ ತಂತಮ್ಮ ನುಡಿಯೊಳೆಲ್ಲಂ ಜಾಣರ್! ಕಿಹುವಕ್ಕಳುಮಾಮೂಗರು ಮುಹುಪಲ್ಲ ಹುವರ್ ವಿವೇಕಮಂ ಮಾತುಗಳಂ ॥

not refer to Mysore but to a province on the banks of the Narbadā. But Mahisha-rāshtra or Maisa-nādu occurs as the name of the Mysore country in inscrip-Maisa is only a tadbhava or tions and literature. corrupt form of Mahisha, a buffalo. In the Tamil Anthology called Aganānūru, verse 115 composed by the ancient poet Māmūlanār, who has been assigned by some scholars to about the 2nd century A.D., names Erumai-nādu (erumai = a buffalo), which may be compared with Maisa-nadu mentioned above, and that it was situated in the west. Verse 36 attributed to Nakkīrar, another ancient poet, tells us that in a battle that was fought at Talaiyalanganam the Pandya king Nedunjeliyan defeated the combined forces of these seven chiefs, namely, the Chēra, the Chōla. Tidiyan, Elini, Irungovenman, Porunan and Erumaiyūran (he of Mahishapura or Mysore). And verse 253 of the same poet states that Erumaiyūrān was related to the Badagas. In another old Tamil work called Silappadikāram it is stated that the Chēra king Senguttuvan, who, according to some scholars, ruled in the 2nd century A.D., while on an expedition to the north, stayed on the Nilgiris and witnessed with great pleasure the dance of the Kannadigas. We gather from the Cevlonese chronicles that a Chola king named Elala conquered Ceylon in 205 B.C. with the help of a Mysore army.* An inscription of the Rāshtrakūta king Dantidurga, of about the middle of the 8th century, states that the Karnātaka army was capable of defeating the Pallava king of Kanchi, and the Kērala, Pāndya and Chōla kings.† The Kalabhras, mentioned in the Velvikudi plates (c. 770) of the Pāndya king Sadaiyan Parāntakan as having gained possession of the Pandya country in about the 7th century, are believed to be Karnātas. The Vadugar (Badagas) of Erumai-nādu (Mysore) formed the advance guard of the Moriyar of Tamil Sangam literature or

^{*} The Lost Cities of Ceylon, p. 26.

[†] Indian Antiquary, XI, p. 114.

Mauryas of Konkan who ruled in the 5th, 6th and 7th centuries and went to help the Kosar against Mohūr, the general of the Pandya king Nedunjeliyan.* Almost all the dynasties of rulers in the Dekhan and the South, such as the Ganga, the Kadamba, the Chāļukya, the Rāshtrakūta, the Hoysala, the Sēvuna, the Kalachurya, the Ratta, the Silāhāra, the Kongalva, the Changalva, the Vaidumba, the Sinda, the Bana, the Nolamba, the Sāluva, the Sāntara, the Sēnavāra, the Punnād and those of Vijayanagar, Mysore and Coorg, have been Karņāṭa, as also the lines of Pālegārs or minor chiefs, such as those of Keladi, Ummattür, Nuggehalli, Belür, Sugatür, Hadinādu, Mudigere, Chitaldrug, Nidugal, Chennapattana, Māgadi, Elahanka, Sante-Bennūr, Piriyapattana, Chikkanāyakanahalli, Maddagiri, Bijjavara, Kōte and Kalale. There were, moreover, Karņātaka dynasties of rulers even in Gujarāt and Nëpal.

The civilisation of the Kannadigas, as indicated by the indigenous words of their language, was by no means negligible, though not of a very high standard. The Kannadigas were well acquainted with agriculture; and possessed some knowledge of the ordinary arts of life, such as spinning, weaving, house building, ‡ etc. They knew some of the ordinary metals § such as gold, silver, iron and copper; and some of the planets || which were ordinarily known to the ancients such as the sun, the moon, the crescent, venus and the ascending node. They delighted in war and were armed with severa

^{*} Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1924, p. 666.

[†] ಉಟುವುದು, ಕುಳ, ಕುಂಚೆ, ಕೂರಿಗೆ, ಏರು, ಎಟ್ತು, ಏತ, ಸಯುರು, ಕಳೆ, ಕದಿರು, ತೆನೆ, ಬಯಲು, ಕೊಳ, ಕಾಲುವೆ, ಕೆಂಟೆ, ಏರಿ, ತೂಂಬು, ಅರಿ, ಕೊಯಲು, ಕುಡುಗೋಲು, ಒಕ್ಕಣಿ

[‡] ನೂಲುವುದು, ಅಾಜೆ, ಪಟ್ತಿ, ತುಬಟ್ಟ ನೇಯುವುದು, ಹಾಸು, ಹೊಕ್ಕು, ಪಟಿ; ಮನೆ, ಬಾಗಿಲು, ನೂರು, ಹಿತ್ತಿಲು, ಓವರಿ, ಕಡೆ, ಕದ, ಜಗಲಿ, ಕೇರು, ಮಣ್ಣು, ಮರ, ಗಾರೆ, ಗಚ್ಚು, ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ.

[§] ಪೊ೯, ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ, ಕರ್ಬ್ಲೊ (ಕೆಬ್ಬಿಣ), ಕಿನು (ತಾಮ್ರ). ∥ ನೇನಱು, ತಿಂಗಳ್, ಪೆಱು, ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ, ಚಾಡ್ (ರಾಹು).

weapons* such as the bow, arrow, spear, shield and sword. They had marriage, medicines, hamlets, rafts, ships, festivals, numerals up to one thousand, measures of length, weight and capacity, and fractions.† They had all the necessary words for everyday use. The Tamilians acknowledged the existence of God and built temples to His honour. But the Kannadigas, like the Telugus, have no words expressive of the ideas of God and temple. Telugu has no indigenous words to denote even the sun and moon. The Kannadigas do not seem to have had alphabetical characters,‡ nor words to denote heaven and hell. The same was the case with the Telugus also. It may, however, be said that the Dravidians as a whole did not know hell.

Of the Dravidian alphabets, the Kannada and the Telugu constitute but one alphabet, Phonology. the differences between them being The same is the case with very few and unimportant. the Tamil and the Old Malayalam. The alphabet. The Kannada and Telugu scripts, being adaptations of the Sanskrit alphabet, are tolerably perfect expressions of the sounds found in those languages. But the Tamil alphabet is a very imperfect system for expressing the Tamil sounds, and this is due, according to some scholars, to its not being adapted from a Sanskrit prototype. In old Telugu inscriptions the characters are almost identical with the Kannada ones, and consequently this common script was known as the Kannada-Telugu alphabet. Up to 1300 A.D., this alphabet presented scarcely any varieties or differences of form of the letters sufficient to justify a distinction being made; but from 1300 onwards, a

^{*} ಪ್ರೋರ್, ಕೊಳುಗುಳ; ಬಿರ್, ಕ್ಯೋರ್, ಇಟ್ಟಿ, ಅಡ್ಡಣ, ಕತ್ತಿ, ಕಡಿತರೆ. † ಮದುವೆ, ಮದ್ದು, ಬಾಡ, ತೆಪ್ಪ, ಹಡಗು, ಚೆಱಪು, ಒಂದರಿಂದ ಒಂಬ ಯ್ನೂ ರ ತೊಂಬತ್ತೊಂಬತ್ತು; ಚೋಟು, ಗೇಣು, ಅಡಿ, ಮೊಳ, ಮಾರು; ಮಣ, ಖೀಸ, ಕೊಳಗ, ಬಳ್ಳ; ಆರೆ, ಕಾಲು, ಬೇಳೆ, ವೀಸ.

[‡] The words wd, wdæ, however, show that they were acquainted with writing.

marked divergence has arisen between the alphabets used by the Telugus of the coast and the Kannadigas, and this divergence has been much increased since the introduction of printing. The Tamil and Malayalam characters closely resemble the Grantha script which is used for writing Sanskrit in Southern India. generally agreed that all the Dravidian alphabets, as also the Devanagari, are derived, through the Cave characters, from the Brāhmi variety of the Asōka alphabet. Another South Indian script, known as Vatteluttu on account of the round or cursive character of its letters, which was once used in the Madura and Tinnevelly Districts and also on the Malabar Coast, is supposed by some scholars to be the original of the Tamil alphabet. The earliest known records written in this script are those of the early Pandya king Jatilavarman Parantaka I who reigned at the close of the 8th century. It was gradually supplanted by the modern Tamil character after the conquest of the Pāndya kingdom by the Cholas in the 11th century; and it appears to have gone entirely out of use in the Tamil country by the 15th century, though it dragged on its existence till the end of the 18th century on the West Coast, giving way eventually to Malayalam. Some of the peculiarities of Vatteluttu are the writing of consonants which follow one another without the intervention of a vowel on the same line, not perpendicularly as is done in the other Indian alphabets, and the system of marking the secondary vowels. The first peculiarity has been adopted by the Tamil alphabet also. The Kannada and Telugu alphabets have no such peculiarities in them. According to Burnell, the Tamil alphabet is a Brahmanical adaptation of the Grantha letters corresponding to the old Vatteluttu, from which, however, the last four signs, namely $l(\omega)$, $l(\nabla)$, $r(\Xi)$ and n (a), have been retained, the Grantha not possessing their equivalents. Unlike the other Dravidian alphabets, the Tamil uses n (∞) as a distinct letter as was done in the Vatteluttu. Some scholars are of opinion that the Vaṭṭeluttu script is independent of the South Ašōka or Cave character, that both of them are independent adaptations of some foreign character, the first to a Dravidian, the second to a Sanskritic language, and that the resemblances between the two point to a common Semitic origin. But there are others who think that the Vaṭṭeluttu is derived from the Brāhmi variety of the Ašōka alphabet, through the Cave character, just like all other alphabets of India—the Dēvanāgari, the Tamil-Grantha and the Kannaḍa-Telugu groups—though it had had a separate line of evolution. The Dravidian numerals, too, are more or less cursive modifications of the Cave numerals.

Some instances may now be given to illustrate the derivation of the letters of the various alphabets mentioned above from the Asōka and Cave charac-

ters. The Asōka k was a simple Latin cross +. From its modified Cave form + are derived the Vatteluttu +, the Tamil +, the Dēvanāgari +, the Grantha + and the Kannada and Telugu forms + and +. The Asōka symbol for + of was +. It was modified into + in the Cave character. From this arose the Tamil +, the Vatteluttu +, the Dēvanāgari +, the Kannada and Telugu forms +, +, +, and the Grantha forms +, + was represented by + in the Asōka alphabet. We can easily see how the Tamil +, the Grantha + of the Vatteluttu + and the Dēvanāgari + are derived from it. The Kannada and Telugu forms were derived thus +, +, +. The Asōka + was written +. The Cave symbol was +. There

can scarcely be any difficulty in deriving from these the Tamil and Grantha ∠∠ , the Vaṭṭeluttu , the Dēvanāgari , and the Kannaḍa and Telugu ∞, ∞.

The Asōka a was . The Tamil A, the Vatteluttu \(\frac{1}{2}, \) the Dēvanāgari \(\frac{1}{2} \) and the Grantha \(\frac{1}{2} \) can be easily derived from it. The Kannada-Telugu \(\text{v} \) was got through the modified forms \(\frac{1}{2} \), \(\frac{1}{2} \). The Asōka symbol for \(i \) was \(\frac{1}{2} \). The Tamil \(\text{D} \) is got by welding all the three parts of the letter together. The same is more or less the case with the Dēvanāgari \(\frac{1}{2} \). The Grantha \(\frac{1}{2} \) and the Kannada-Telugu \(\frac{1}{2} \) are derived through the intermediate forms \(\frac{1}{2} \). To was represented in the Asōka alphabet by the sign \(\frac{1}{2} \). From this the Tamil, the Vatteluttu and the Grantha \(\frac{1}{2} \) are easily got. So also the Dēvanāgari \(\frac{1}{2} \). The Kannada \(\frac{1}{2} \) and the Telugu \(\frac{1}{2} \) are got through the forms \(\frac{1}{2} \).

With regard to the secondary vowels, the long \bar{a} sound of a consonant was denoted in the Asōka alphabet by a short horizontal line added to the right of the letter thus— f . In the Cave character the line was curved down thus— f . This form occurs in Kannada, Telugu and Dēvanāgari. The bent symbol became a separate part of the letter in Tamil and Grantha thus— f 7 . In the Vaṭṭeluttu the horizontal line was not curved but remained straight as in the Asōka script, e.g., f .

The secondary ē was formed in the Asōka alphabet by the addition of a similar line to the left of the

letter at the top thus— 7. Later on, the line assumed a curved form thus— 7. This is the form found in Vaṭṭeluttu. In Tamil and Grantha the sign was detached from the letter and placed immediately to the left thus— 7. But in Kannada and Telugu the sign shifted its position more and more to the right of the letter and we have now the forms #, \(\frac{1}{3}\).

To represent the secondary ai the Asoka alphabet added two horizontal strokes to the left of the letter thus— $\frac{1}{3}$. As in the case of \tilde{e} , these strokes assumed a curved form, were detached from the letter and placed to the left in old Tamil and Grantha thus— $\frac{1}{3}$; and in Dēvanāgari over the letter thus— $\frac{1}{3}$. In modern Tamil and Vaṭṭeluttu the two strokes are welded into one by being placed side by side thus— ∞ : only in Tamil it is detached from the letter— ∞ , and in Vaṭṭeluttu attached to it— ∞ . But in Kannada and Telugu the lower curved sign which was added to the lower part of the letter was separated from it and placed below it thus— ∞ 3, ∞ 5.

The secondary o was formed in the Asōka script by adding the symbol for the secondary \bar{a} to the symbol for the secondary e thus— \bar{a} . Later on, as stated before, the symbols assumed a curved form and were detached in Tamil and Grantha thus— \bar{a} , and in Dēvanāgari thus— \bar{a} . The form in Vaṭṭeluttu was \bar{a} where, as stated before, the stroke representing \bar{a} has not assumed a curved form. The Kannada and Telugu \bar{a} , \bar{a} were got through forms like \bar{a} .

We have thus traced the evolution of some letters of various alphabets including Kannada from the Ašōka The marked difference that is now observed in the formation of the Devanagari characters and those of the Dravidian languages is attributed to local influences and to the custom, universal in the Dekhan and the South, of writing on the palmira leaf with an iron style. Again, though the differences between the Tamil and Kannada characters, as they now exist, are so great as to lead one to doubt their common derivation from the Asoka script, still the evidence of old inscriptions written in the two characters is quite conclusive as regards, at any rate, the close resemblance, if not perfect identity, of the two alphabets The two alphabets which resembled at one time. each other so closely at one time have now become very much altered and disguised by natural and local influences.

Both Kannada and Tamil alphabets agree (1) in

Points of agreement and difference between the Kannada and Tamil alphabets. having a short e and o; (2) in not using the liquid semi-vowels ri and lri: Tamil has no letters corresponding to these, while Kannada does not use them; (3) in having

the three peculiar consonants r (\mathfrak{E}), l (\mathfrak{E}) and l (\mathfrak{E}); (4) in rarely using aspirated letters and sibilants, except in pronouncing and writing Sanskrit derivatives: even in pure Kannada s occurs as s does in Tamil; and (5) in not having the letter h: in Old Kannada h is very rarely used, though it occurs very frequently in Modern Kannada. The Tamil alphabet differs from the Kannada (1) in not having aspirated letters: Kannada, though possessing these letters, makes a sparing use of them, which is due, to some extent, to Sanskrit influence; (2) in using the same symbol for representing both surds and sonants; (3) in having two letters s and s to represent the s sound: the difference in the use of these letters is— (i) that s mostly occurs as a final, and s very rarely; (ii) that s is always used in com-

bination with p(r) to represent the peculiar Tamil sound nra; and (iii) that "is invariably used as the nasal of t; and (4) in having a peculiar symbol ∞ (similar to the Ašōka i) formed of three cyphers in the form of a triangle, called $\bar{a}ydam$, which occurs in the middle of only a few words and corresponds in a manner to the Sanskrit visarga: this letter is very seldom used in Modern Tamil and Kannada does not use the visarga except in some indeclinables. chief reason for the differences between the Tamil and Kannada alphabets is to be found in the fact that the grammar of the Tamil language was, to a great extent, systematised independently of Sanskrit influences, and that Sanskrit modes of pronunciation being almost unknown to the Tamilians, their alphabet, though originally derived from the same source, was greatly altered so as to suit their peculiar phonetic system. The same independence of Sanskrit influences cannot be claimed for the alphabet of the Kannada language, which has mostly adhered to the alphabetical system of Sanskrit. The same is the case with its grammar. Two of the three standard works on Kannada grammar, namely, the Bhāshābhūshana and the Sabdānusāsana are composed not in the Kannada language, but in Sanskrit. It may further be added that in the whole terminology of Kannada grammar there does not occur a single term of purely Kannada origin, all the terms without any exception having been borrowed from Sanskrit, whereas in Tamil the case is exactly the reverse.* Nevertheless, when due allowance is made for this and other causes which gradually made each of them an individual language, the grammatical structure of the two languages will be found to be essentially similar. The same remarks apply more or less to the Telugu language also.

^{*} To give one or two instances: "Tamil grammarians designate vowels by a beautiful metaphor, as uyir or the life of a word; consonants as mey or the body; and the junction of a vowel and consonant as uyir-mey or an animated body".

As stated before, the Kannada alphabet, as well as the Telugu, is a tolerably perfect Phonetic system. expression of the sounds found in the respective languages. In Telugu the palatals ch and j are pronounced ts and dz respectively before all vowels except $i, \bar{i}, e, \bar{e}, ai$. This peculiarity is not found in Kannada, nor in any other Dravidian language. Tamil only one sign stands for either a surd or a sonant according to its position. Kannada has separate signs for surds and sonants, as also Telugu. Again, in Tamil nasals combine with sonants only, but in Kannada and Telugu they combine with surds also. In Kannada and Telugu bindu or anusvāra is optionally used for the nasal: but in Tamil the nasal is invariably used as there is no bindu. In Tamil the letters r, l, do not begin a word unless one of the vowels a, i or u is prefixed to them, e.g., ಅರಶಕ್, ಇರಾಮಕ್, ಉರೋಕಂ. Such is not the case in Kannada and Telugu. Tamil has the peculiar sound $\dot{p}p$ (ttra) formed by the doubling of p (r) and this is represented by tta in Kannada and ta in Telugu: ಮಾಲ್ಲಂ, ಮಾತು, ಮಾಟ್ರ Another peculiar Tamil sound ಹಾಶ $(n\bar{d}ra)$ formed by the combination of ϖ (n) and p (r)becomes nda in Kannada and Telugu and nja in Tulu: ಕ್ಷಾ, ಕಂದು ಕಂಜಿ. S is not used in literary Kannada and Telugu, though very common in Tamil. In Tamil and Kannada, as also sometimes in Telugu, initial ai and au are optionally changed into ay and av respectively: ಐಯಕ್ ಅಯ್ಯಕ್: ಕೈವಾರಂ, ಕಯ್ಯಾರಂ; ಕ್ ವರ್, ಕವ್ವರ್; ಕ್ ಂಗು, ಕವುಂಗು; The letters $\varpi(r)$ and $\omega(l)$, now obsolete in Kannada, are in use in Tamil, Malayalam and Badaga, and & (r) in Telugu. ω (!) however occurs in Telugu inscriptions of the 9th and 10th centuries.

The letters \mathfrak{S} and \mathfrak{S} are of intrinsic value for Dravidian philology. The Old Kannada alphabet had four letters, namely, $\mathfrak{S}(r)$, $\mathfrak{S}(l)$, $\mathfrak{S}(r)$ and $\mathfrak{S}(l)$ corresponding to the four letters of

the Tamil alphabet σ , ϖ , p and φ respectively. Of these four letters of the Kannada alphabet, the two letters, ϖ

and w, corresponding to p and y of Tamil, have, as stated above, become obsolete, and vand vare substituted for them in Modern Kannada. This is no doubt a matter for regret from a philological point of view. But the peculiarly close relationship of Tamil to Kannada comes to our help in this matter, inasmuch as even if ω and ω are banished from the Kannada alphabet a tolerably good knowledge of Tamil will enable us, in the majority of cases, to determine the words which contain these letters. A number of words containing o, v, & and & corresponding to #, of, p and # respectively of Tamil is given below. To find out which words ought to contain d and which e, we have simply to examine the words in Tamil; and similarly with v and w. Kannada words which have no corresponding words in Tamil, in this particular, are very few indeed.

EXAMPLES.

| Tamil | Kannada. | Tamil | Kannada |
|--------------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| r | ŗ | Ļ | . <u>Į</u> |
| ಅಮರ್ | ම්සා | ಅಳವು | ಆಗಬ್ |
| ಅಲರ್ | ఆఱు | ಉರುಳ್ | ಆಬಲ್ |
| ಇರು | వఱు | ಕಳ್ | ಅಚಿ |
| ಇರುಳ್ | . ಕುೞು | ಕುಳಿರ್ | ಆ ಲು |
| ಉದಿರ್ | ෂ සා | ಕೊಳ್ | ಆೞ್ |
| ಕದಿರ್ | ನಾಱು | ತ ಳಿರ್ | ಇಚಿ |
| ಕರಡಿ | ನೂಱು | ತಾರ್_ | ಉೞು |
| ತೇರ <u>್</u> | ಪಾಱು | ನಳ್ಳಿ | ಕ್ರೋಡಿ |
| ನರಿ | ಮುಱು | సి ్ళ్ | ಪಟಿ |
| ಪ್ರೋರ್ | ಮಾಱು | ಪುಳಿ | ಫುಲು |

The examples given above consist of words which are exactly the same in both the languages; they do not include any words that have undergone dialectic change. Words of the latter class are very numerous and the following are a few examples of them:—

| Tamil | Kannada | Tamil | Kannaḍa | |
|-------|---------|-------|---------|--|
| r | | ŗ | | |
| | | | | |
| ಅರುವಿ | ಆರ್ಬಿ | ಉಱಂಗು | ಒಱಗು | |

| Tamil | Kannaḍa r | Tamil <u>r</u> | Kannaḍa |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------------|---------|
| ಇರೆರೆ | ಎರರೆ | ಕೆಯೆ ನಿ | ಕೞು |
| ಇರ ೆ ಶ್ಟ | ಕರೆ | ಶಿ ಱು | ಕಿಣು |
| ಪೆರಿ ದು | ಪಿರಿದು | ತು ಜ ುೆ ಸ್ಥ | ತೊಱುೆ |
| ವರೆ _{ತ್ರಿ} | ಬರೆ | ඨා ස | ಪೆಟ |
| ವಾರ್ | ಬಾರ' | ಪಿಱುೆ _{ತ್ರಿ} | ಪೆಱುೆ |
| | Ļ | Ļ | |
| প্রত | ಎಳ | ಶುಬಿ | ಸುಚಿ |
| ಉಳದು | ಒಳದು | నిటలో | ನೇುಲ್ |
| ಕಳೆತ್ತಿ | ಕಳೆ | ಮಜೆ _{ಶ್ರಿ} | ಮೞ |
| ವಳ _ಿ | ಬಳಿ | ಮುಬಂಗು | ಮೊೞಗು |
| ವಾಳ್ | ಬಾಳ್ | ವಟಿ | ಬಟಿ |
| ವೆಳ್ಳಿ | ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ | ವೀಟ್ | ಬೀಲ್ |

In Kannada, as in Tamil, words convey different meanings according as c or ∞ and ♥ or ∞ occur in them. Both the pairs of letters being in use in Tamil, there is not the slightest difficulty in determining the meaning of Unfortunately, such is not the case in Kannada. The letters ω and ω having disappeared, their places have been taken by the other letters o and o, so that we are now deprived of the invaluable help which the difference in spelling would otherwise have afforded us in the determination of the meaning of words. good knowledge of Kannada by itself will not be of much use in finding out the meaning of the words in question, in consequence of the deceptive similarity in A knowledge of Tamil, however, will the spelling. afford immense help in the matter, inasmuch as it will give a clue to the meaning of the word by giving its proper spelling. The following examples will illustrate what is meant:—

| Tamil | Kannada | Meaning | Tamil | Kannada | Meaning |
|-----------------|---------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|
| ಕರೈ | ಕರೆ | shore | ಬ ರ್ಡ್ಡ | ಎರೆ | \mathbf{food} |
| ಕಱು | ್ಡ ಕಱು | stain | ಇ ಱ್ಸ್ಗೆ | ಎಱು | master |
| ප රි | _ ಅರಿ | to cut | ಅರೈ | ಅರೆ | . half |
| පසා | ಅಱು | to know | ఆఱు | ಅಱು | rock |

| Tamil | Kannad | a Meaning | Tamil | Kannaḍa | Meaning |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------------|
| ತಳ್ _{ತಿ} ತದೆ _{ತಿ} ವಾಳ್ | ತ ೆ | tie | ಪಾಳ್ವೆ | ಬಾಳೆ | a kind of fish |
| ತದೆ _{ನೆ} | ತಥೆ | umbrella | ವಾದೈ | ಬಾದೆ | plantain |
| ವಾಳ್ | ಬಾಳ್ | sword | ಆಳ್ | 6 ಫ್ | servant |
| ವಾೞ್ | ಬಾೞ್ | to prosper | ಆಬ್ | ಆಚ' | to sink |

Each pair of words given here is now spelt exactly alike in Kannada. It is the corresponding Tamil words

that give the proper spelling.

Badaga and Telugu may naturally be expected to give us some help in determining words with ω and ω respectively. But these languages cannot be taken as a safe guide in this matter since they show ω and ω respectively in several cases where we would expect φ and φ , e.g., ex for exp, xg. for xv, xw. for no, which for details is set of xvi, set of these languages may be availed of to a limited extent as indicated by the following examples:—who for wear, when for xie, we for exp, even for xie, we for xie, when for xie, we stain; and a plough with draught oxen, we river.

In Kannada, as also in Tamil and Telugu, as a general rule, hiatus between contiguous vowels is prevented by the insertion of y or v.

ಮನ + ಒಳ್ = ಮನೆಯೊಳ್; ಮನೈ + ಉಳ್ = ಮನೈಯುಳ್; ಪೂ + ಇಲ್ಲ = ಪೂವಿಲ್ಲ; ಪೂ + ಇಲ್ಲ = ಪೂವಿಲ್ಲ; ವಾರಿ + ಇಲ್ಲ = ವಾರಿಯಿಲ್ಲ); ತರು + ಉ = ತರುವು.

Final vowels of words are generally elided before vowels.

ಬೀಡು+ಇಲ್ಲ = ಬೀಡಿಲ್ಲ; ವೀಡು+ಇರ್ಡೈ = ವೀಡಿರ್ಲ್ಲೈ; ರಾಮುಂಡು+ ಏಗೆನು= ರಾಮುಂಡೇಗೆನು.

In combination, initial surds of the second word are generally changed into sonants.

ಕೆಂ — ತಳರ್ = ಕೆಂದಳಿರ್ ; ಶೆಂ + ತಳಿರ್ = ಶೆಂದಳಿರ್ ; ಕೆಂಪು + ತಾಮರ <u>=</u> ಕೆಂದಾಮರ

In tatpurusha compounds Tamil doubles the surd and hardens it.

ಪುಲತ್ತೋರ್, ಪುಲದೋಲ್; ಮರಕ್ಕೊಂಬು, ಮರಗೊಂಬು.

In Telugu the change of a surd into a sonant takes place even in *dvandva* compounds.

ಅನ್ನ ದಮ್ಮು ಲು, ತಲ್ಲದಂಡ್ರುಲು.

Such is not the case in Kannada and Tamil.

The final consonants of monosyllabic nominal and verbal themes with a short initial are doubled before vowels.

 $+ \alpha \% = + \alpha \% = + \alpha \% = + \alpha \% \% = + \alpha \% \% = + \alpha \% =$

When n follows such themes ending in n, it is changed into n.

ತಣ್+ನೆಬಲ್=ತಣ್ಣಿಬಲ್; ತಣ್+ನಿಬಲ್=ತಣ್ಣಿಬಲ್. ಕಣ್+ನೀರ್=ಕಣ್ಣೀರ್; ಜಾಣ್+ನುಡಿ=ಜಾಣ್ಣುಡಿ.

When the words $\exists t$ and t are followed by words with an initial vowel, \underline{r} becomes double t in Kannada, double t in Telugu and is doubled in Tamil.

ಕುಱು+ಎಸಪ್=ಕುತ್ತೆಸಪ್; ಕಿಱು+ಅಡಿ=ಕಿತ್ತಡಿ. ಕುಱು+ಉಸುರು=ಕುಟ್ಟುಸುರು; ಚಿಱು+ಎಲುಕ=ಚಿಟ್ಟೆಲುಕ. ಕುಱು+ಉಯಿರ್=ಕುಱ್ಱುಯರ್ (kutṭruyir); ಶಿಱು+ಎಲ=ಶಿಱ್ಟೆಲ (ŝiṭṭreli). The d of ಸಮ, ಕಡು and ನಿಡು is changed into double t in all the three languages.

ನಡು+ಆಱು=ನಟ್ಟಾ ಱು; ನಡು+ಆಱು=ನಟ್ಟಾ ಱು; ನಡು+ ಏ ಕು=ನಟ್ಟೇ ಅು.

There are some changes in combination which are peculiar to Tamil and Telugu.

According to the law of Vocalic Harmony or Harmonic Sequence of vowels, as stated before (p. 17), a given vowel, in one syllable of a word, or in the root, requires an analogous vowel in the following syllables of the same word, or in the particles appended to it, which therefore alter their vowels accordingly. The prevalence of this law in Telugu has already been exemplified. Traces of this law appear to occur in Kannada also in such forms as addict, and second the such as addict, and also, etc. They are clearly seen in words such as addict, and second.

where the i of the second syllable has been altered into u so as to harmonise with the vowel of the suffix or last syllable.

A consideration of the dialectic interchange of letters is very important as it enables us to identify many words which, though appearing unconnected at first sight, are in reality the same. This interchange may be classed under two heads: interchange of vowels and interchange of consonants.

INTERCHANGE OF VOWELS.

(1) Initial i, either by itself or in combination with a consonant, of Tamil words, is generally changed into e in Kannada and Telugu.

ಇಳ ಎಳ ಎಲ; ಶಿದಱು ಕೆದಱು ಚೆದಱು; ವಿಳಂಗು ಬೆಳಗು ವೆಲು:ಗು; ಶಿದಲ್ ಗೆದಲ್ ಚೆದಲು; ಶಿಱು ಕ್ಷ ಚೆಱ; ಹಿಱ ಪೆಱ; ನಿಟಲ್ ನೆಟಲ್; ಇಡು ಎಡು ಕಟವರ್ತ ಕೆಟವಂ; ಹಿಣಂ ಪೆಣಂ; ನಿಣಂ ನೆಣಂ; ಶಿಱಪ್ಪು ಚೆಱಪು For further examples see under the next rule.

Exceptions: ಇಡು, ಇರುಳ್, ಇನಿದು, ಇಡಿ, ತಿಂಗಳ್, ಇರು, ಇಡಿ, etc.

In ಕಡು ಚೆಡು ಕಿಡು; ಎದಿರ್ ಎದುರು ಇದಿರ್; ತೆಳವು ತೆಲವಿ ತಿಳಿವು; ಶೆಮಿ ಚೆಪಿ ಕಿಪಿ; ನೆಡು ನಿಡು; ಎಱು ಇಱು; ಎಲ ಇಲ, etc., we see a converse change. But in Modern Kannada in some cases the same forms—ಕಡು, ಎದಿರ್ occur.

(2) Final ai of Tamil words is changed into e in Kannada and a in Telugu and Malayāļam.

ಇರೈ ಎರೆ ಎರ; ತಲೈ ತಲೆ ತಲ; ಕೋರಿಕ್ಕೈ ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಕೋರಿಕ; ನಡತ್ತೈ ನಡತೆ ನಡತ; ಅಣ್ಯು ಅಣಿ ಅನ; ಪಿಳೈ ಪಿಲ್ಗೆ ಪಿಲ್ಲ; ಕೊಳ್ಳೆ ಕೊಳ್ಳೆ ಕೊಲ್ಲ; ಅರೈ ಅರೆ ಅರ; ತಂದೈ ತಂದೆ ತಂದ; ತಂಗೈ ತಂಗೆ ತಂಗ; ನಡತ್ತೈ ನಡತೆ ನಡತ್ತ; ಇಣ್ಯು ಎಣಿ; ಇಡೈ ಎಡೆ; ಇರಲೈ ಎರಲೆ; ಪಿಟ್ಯು ಪೆಟ್; ಇಲೈ ಎರೆ; ಇದ್ಯೆ ಎದೆ; ನನ್ನೆ ನನೆ; ನನ್ನೆ ನನೆ; ಪೆಟೆ; ಇಲೈ ಪಿಡೆ; ಮುಂಟ್ಯೆ ಕೊಡೆ; ಮುಂಟ್ಯಿ ಕಡಲೆ; ಕುಡೈ ಕೊಡೆ; ಮುಣಿಯಿ ಮಣಿ; ಪಡೈ ಪಡೆ; ಅಟ್ಟೆ ಅಟ್ಟ.

(3) Initial u, either by itself or in combination with a consonant, of Tamil words, is generally changed into o in Kannada and Telugu.

ಕುಂಡು ಕೊಂಡು ಕೊಂಡು; ತುರೈ ದೊರೆ ದೊರ; ಮುಳ್ಯ ಮೊಳೆ

ಹೊಲ; ಪುಗಡ್ ಪೊಗಡ್ ಪೊಗಡು; ಕುಳಂ ಕೊಳಂ ಕೊಲನು; ಉರರ್ ಒರಲ್ ರೋಲು; ಕುರಲ್ ಕೊರಲ್; ಮುದಲ್ ಮೊದಲ್; ಉದವು ಒದವು; ಉದ್ಯೆ ಒದೆ; ಉಲೈ ಒಲೆ; ಉಳದು ಒಳದು; ಪುನಲ್ ಪೊನಲ್; ಮುಱಿತಿ ಮೊಱು; ತುಱಿತಿತಿ ತೊಂದು; ಪುಡ್ವೆ ಪೊಡೆ; ನುಜೈ ನೊಡೆ; ಕುಡಂ ಕೊಡಂ.

Exceptions: ಉಡು, ಉಪ್ಪು, ಉಣ್, ಉದಿರ್, ಉಟು; ಶುಡು ಸುಡು; ಉಯಿರ್ ಉಸಿರ್; ಪುಗ್ಗೆ ಪುಗ, etc.

In ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಕುಟ್ಟು; ಕೊಡು ಕುಡು; ತೊಡು ತುಡು, etc., there is a converse change. But in Modern Kannada the same forms—ಕೊಡು, ತೊಡು often occur.

(4) Final a of Tamil roots is changed into e in Kannada.

ಇರ ಎರೆ; ಕಡ ಕೆಡೆ; ನಡ ನಡೆ; ಕು ಕು ಕು ; ಮು ಮು ಮು ಪು ; ಪುರ ಪೊರೆ; ಆಳ ಅಳ; ತೀ ತೇ ; ಪರ ಪರೆ; ತು ಹಿ ತೊಂಚು; ಮುಗ ಮೊಗೆ; ಕರ ಕರೆ.

(5) \hat{A} of some Tamil words is changed into \bar{e} in Kannada and Telugu.

ನಾಣ್ ನೇಣ್; ನಾಯಿತು ನೇಸಕು; ಶಾಣ್ ಗೇಣ್; ಮಾಡಂ ಮೇಡ; ಆರ್ ಏರು; ಆಕು ಏಕು; ನಾರ್ಷ ನೇನು; ಆರ್ ಏಲು; ಯಾನೈ ಏನುಗು; ಆಂಡು ಏಂಡು; ಶಾಣ್ ಜೇನ.

In ఆటు పడు a short a, too, is changed into \bar{e} .

(6) A long vowel in Tamil is sometimes shortened in Kannada and Telugu.

ಮೂಪ್ಪು ಮುಪ್ಪು ಮುಪ್ಪು; ಆರಾಯ್ ಆರಯ್ ಆರಯು; ತಪ್ಪಾದೇ ತಪ್ಪದೆ ತಪ್ಪಕ; ಆರ್ವಂ ಆರ್ವಂ ಅರುವಮು; ಅಂಟಿಯಾಮ್ಯೆ ಅಂಟಿಯಮೆ; ಇಲ್ಲಾಮ್ಯೆ ಇಲ್ಲಮೆ.

INTERCHANGE OF CONSONANTS.

(1) Uncombined medial and final k of Tamil words is changed into g in Kannada and Telugu.

ಪುಕಡ್ ಪೊಗಡ್ ಪೊಗಡು; ಪುಕ್ಕೈ ಪೊಗೆ ಪುಗ; ಪಕ್ಕೈ ಪಗೆ ಪಗ; ಮುಜುಕು ಮುಜುಗು ಮುಣುಂಗು. ಅಕರ್ ಅಗರ್; ಅಕಡ್ ಅಗಡ್; ನಿಕಡ್ ನೆಗಡ್; ನಕ್ಕೆ ನಗೆ; ಮುಕ್ಕೆ ಮೊಗೆ; ಅರುಕು ಅರುಗು

It has, however, to be stated that k in the above Tamil words is pronounced somewhat like g.

Initial k, too, is sometimes changed into g.

ಕೂ೯ ಗೂ೯ ಗೂನು; ಕುಂಡು ಗುಂಡು ಗುಂಡು; ಕೂಡೈ ಗೂಡೆ ಗೂಡ; ಕಿಳಿ ಗಿಳಿ; ಕೂಂಡು ಗೂಡು. In some cases final double k, too, is changed into g. Acts, Acts, acts, soes, sons socts; as g, acts, acts,

(2) Uncombined s of Tamil is generally changed

into s in Kannada and ch in Telugu.

ಶೆಂಡು ಸೆಂಡು ಚೆಂಡು (ಚೆಂಡು also in Modern Kannada); ಶೀಲೈ ಸೇಲೆ ಚೇಲ; ಶಿಖ್ಯು ಸೆಟು ಚೆಹ; ಶಾ ಸಾ ಚಚ್ಚು; ಶೊಲ್ ಸೊಲ್; ಶುಡರ್ ಸೊಡರ್; ಶುಡು ಸುಡು; ಶುಂಡು ಸುಂಡು; ಶಿಲಂದಿ ಸೆಲಂದಿ; ಪಶಲೈ ಪಸಲೆ; ಕುಡಿಶೈ ಗುಡಿಸೆ; ಅರಶು ಅರಸು.

In some cases initial \hat{s} is also changed into ch and j in Kannada.

ಶೇಗು ಚೇಗು; ಶೆಂಬು ಚೆಂಬು; ಶೆಪ್ಪೇಡು ಚೆಪ್ಪೇಡು; ಶಕ್ಕೈ ಸೆಕ್ಕೆ (Old Kannada) ಚಕ್ಕೆ (Modern Kannada); ಶೋಜಂ ಜೋಅಂ; ಶಲ್ಲಡ್ಡೆ ಜಲ್ಲಡೆ; ಶೋಡು ಜೋಡು; ಶಿಣುಂಗು ಜಿನುಂಗು.

Besides the changes mentioned above, the letter \hat{s} , when an initial, followed by the vowels i, $\bar{\imath}$, e, \bar{e} , undergoes a further change into k in Kannada, and ch in Telugu as before.

ಶಿಕು ಕಿಕು ಚಿಕು; ಶಿದಕು ಕೆದಕು ಚೆದಕು; ಶೆವಿ ಕಿವಿ ಚೆವಿ; ಶೆಯ್ ಕೆಯ್ ಚೇನು; ಶಿದರ್ ಗೆದರ್ ಚೆದರು (here s is changed into g). ಶಿರಿ ಕಿರ; ಶಿರೈ ಕೆರೆ; ಶಿಲದಿ ಕೆಳದಿ; ಶಿಲರ್ ಕೆಲರ್; ಶಿಲೈ ಕೆರೆ; ಶಿಕುಯರ್ ಕಿಕುಯರ್; ಶೀ ಕೀ; ಶೆಂ ಕೆಂ; ಶೆರುಪ್ಪು ಕೆರ್ಮ; ಶೆವಿಡು ಕಿವಿಡು; ಶೇರಿ ಕೆರಿ; ಶೇಕು ಕೆಸಕು; ಶೇಂಬು ಕೆಸವು; ಶಿನಂ ಕಿನಿಸು.

Exceptions: ಶಲಂದಿ ಸೆಲಂದಿ; ಶೇಗು ಚೇಗು; ಶೇರ್ ಸೇರ್; ಶಲ್ ಸರ್; ಶಿಅಪು, ಚೆಱಪು, ${
m etc.}$

In তাল নিংহাণ খাংস, \hat{s} though followed by the vowel \bar{a} is changed into g in Kannada and j in Telugu instead of into k and ch respectively as usual.

(3) Uncombined medial and final t of Tamil is

generally changed into d in Kannada and Telugu.

ಮುತರ್ ಮೊದರ್ ಮೊದರು; ಅತು ಅದು ಅದಿ; ಇತು ಇದು ಇದಿ; ಕತಿರ್ ಕದಿರ್ ಕದುರು; ಕಾತರ್ಲ ಕಾದಲಂ; ಅತಿರ್ ಅದಿರ್; ಉತ್ಯೆ ಒದೆ; ಓತು ಓದು.

It has, however, to be stated that t in the above Tamil words is pronounced somewhat like d.

Medial t is sometimes changed into s also. ಸುತಲ್ ನೊಸಲ್ ನೊಸಲು ; ಇತಟ್ ಎಸಟ್ ; ಮುತಲೈ ಮೊಸಲೆ. In some cases initial t, too, is changed into d, and rarely into s and j.

ತಂಡು ದಂಡು ದಂಡು; ತಾಂಡು ದಾಂಟು ದಾ:ಟು; ತುಡಿದುಡಿ;

ತಡಿ ದಡಿ ; ತುಯಿಲ್ ಸುಸಿಲ್ ; ತೋರ್ ಸೋಲ್ ; ತೇ೯ ಜೇ೯.

(4) Medial y of Tamil is sometimes changed into s in Kannada and Telugu.

ಉಯಿರ್ ಉಸಿರ್ ಉಸುರು; ಪಯುು ಪೆಸು ಪೆಸರು; ಪೆಯರ್ ಪೆಸರ; ಮಯಿರ್ ಮಸಿರ್; ತುಯಿರ್ ಸುಸಿಲ್; ನಾಯುು ನೇಸು; ಪೆಯಲ್ ಬಿಸಿಲ್; ಪೊಯ್ ಪುಸಿ. In ಪಯುು ಪೆಸು ಪೆಸರು initial a is changed into e.

(5) Initial v of Tamil is generally changed into b in Kannada.

ವಾಯ್ ಬಾಯ್; ಪಟ್ವೈ ಬಟ್ಟೆ; ವಡಿ ಬಡಿ; ಪಕ್ಕೆ ಬಗೆ; ವಾಳ್ ಬಾಳ್; ವಾಡ್ ಬಾಡ್; ವಿಶಿರ್ ಬಿದಿರ್; ವರೈ ಬರೆ; ಹೆಯಿಲ ಬಿಸಿಲ್; ಪೆತಿರ್ ಬಿದಿರ್; ವೀಶು ಬೀಸು.

It is sometimes changed into m also. ವತುವೈ ಮದುವೆ; ವಿಂಜು ಮಿಂಚು.

(6) N, l and l of Tamil and Kannada are respectively changed into n, d and l in Telugu.

ಅಣ್ಣನ್ ಅಣ್ಣಂ ಅನ್ನ; ಕಣ್ ಕಣ್ ಕನ್ನು; ವೆಣ್ಣೆಯ್ ಬೆಣ್ಣೆ ವೆನ್ನ; ಮಾಣ್ ಮಾಣ್ ಮಾನು; ಪೂಣ್ ಫೂಣ್ ಪೂನು; ಅಣ್ಯೆ ಅಣಿ ಅನ; ಅಣುಗು ಅನುಗು; ಅಡ್ಡಣ ಅಡ್ಡನ; ಆಣತಿ ಆನತಿ.

ಪುಕಟ್ ಪೊಗಟ್ ಪೊಗಡು; ಏಟ್ ಏಟ್ ಏಡು; ಪಾಟ್ ಪಾಟ್ ಪಾಡು; ಅಟು ಅಟ್ ಏಡು; ಕೋಡಿ ಕೋಡಿ ಕೋಡಿ; ಅಟರ್ ಅಡರ್ ಅಡರು; ಊಡಿಯಂ ಊಡಿಗಂ ಊಡಿಗಮು; ಕಟುವು ಕಡುಗು; ಮೇಡಿ ಮೇಡಿ; ತೆಗಡು ತೆಗಡು; ಆಡಿಯಾಸೆ ಅಡಿಯಾಸ; ಬಟರ್ ಬಡಲು.

ಕುಳಂ ಕೊಳಂ ಕೊಲನು; ಮುಳ್ಳೆ ಮೊಳೆ ಮೊಲ; ಆಳ್ ಆಳ್ ಏಲು; ಅಳವು ಅಳವು ಅಲವು; ವಿಳಂಗು ಬೆಳಗು ವೆಲುಂಗು; ಹಿಳ್ಳೆ ಹಿಳ್ಳೆ ಹಿಲ್ಲ; ಇಳ ಎಳ ಎಲ; ಕೊಳ್ಳೆ ಕೊಳ್ಳೆ ಕೊಲ್ಲ; ಕರ್ ಕರ್ ಕಲ್ ಕಲ್ಲು; ಅಗ್ಗಳಿಕೆ ಅಗ್ಗಲಕ; ಅಳ ವಡು ಅಲವಡು.

L of Kannada and Tamil is also changed into r and n in Telugu. R occurs as the subscript of the first syllable in place of l of the second syllable.

ಪೊಡಲ್ ಪೊಡಲ್ ಪ್ರೋಲು; ಪದೈಯತು ಪಡದು ಪ್ರಾಂತ; ಕೀಡೇ ಕೆಟಗೆ ಕ್ರಿಂದ; ಮುಡಂಗು ಮೊಡಗು ಮ್ರೋಗು; ಪೊಡುತು ಪೊಟ್ತು ಪ್ರೊದ್ದು; ಕೊಡುಪ್ಪು ಕ್ರೊಪ್ಟು; ವಿಚುಂಗು ವ್ರಿಂಗು; ಮುಡುಕು ಮುಡುಗು ಮುಣುಂಗು. (7) Medial nasals of Tamil words generally disappear in Kannada and sometimes in Telugu.

ಉಹಿಂಗು ಒಹಗು ಒಹಗು; ಐಂದು ಐದು ಐದು; ಪಿಟುಂದು ಬಿಟ್ದು; ಅಟುಂದು ಅಟ್ಟು; ಪರುಂದು ಪರ್ದು; ತುಲಂಗು ತೊಳಗು; ನೀಂಗು ನೀಗು; ತಾಂಗು ತಾಗು; ತೋಂಡು ತೋಡು; ಪ್ರೇರುಂದು ಪೂರ್ಮ; ಇರುಂದು ಇರ್ದು; ಕರುಂಬು ಕರ್ಬು; ಪರಂಬು ಪರ್ಬು; ಉಣಂಗು ಒಣಗು; ಕುರುಂದೈ ಕುರುಬೆ; ಕೊಂಡು ಗೂಡು; ವೀಂಗು ಬೀಗು; ಕೂಂದರ್ ಕೂದರ್; ಮೂನ್ನು ಮೂಹು.

But Telugu retains the nasal in a good number of words.

ಪುರುಂದು ಮರ್ದು ಮಂದು; ವಿರುಂದು ಬಿರ್ದು ಎಂದು; ಇರಂಡು ಎರಡು ರೆಂಡು; ಪ್ರೇಂಡು ಬ್ರೇಡು ಪ್ರೇಡು; ವಿಳಂಗು ಬೆಳಗು ಪೆಲುಗು; ಮುಹಿಂಗು ಮೊಹಗು ಮ್ಯೋಗು.

In ಆಡಂಗು ಅಡಂಗು, ತಾಂಡು ದಾಂಟು, ತೂಂಬು ತೂಂಬು, ಎಱುಂಬು ಇಜುಂಪ, ಶಿಣುಂಗು ಜನುಂಗು, etc., the nasal is retained in Old Kannada but disappears in Modern Kannada. Telugu also retains the nasal in the first three words, but in the third b disappears (ತೂಮು).

In a_0 a_0 a

It has to be noted that rule 7 does not apply to the penultimate nasals of dissyllabic words with short initials.

ಅಂಜು ಆಂಜು; ಪೊಂಗು ಪೊಂಗು; ತಂಡು ದಂಡು; ಅಂಬು ಅಂಬು; ಶುಂಡು ಸುಂಡು; ತೆಂಗು ತೆಂಗು; ತಂಗು ತಂಗು; etc. The first four are the same in Telugu also.

Exception: ಕನ್ನು ಕಟು.

(8) Medial ru and lu of Tamil words generally lose u in Kannada.

ಒರುವುೈ ಒರ್ಮೆ; ಇರುವುೄ ಇರ್ವೆ; ಕಲುತೈ ಕೆರ್ಡ್ತೆ; ಪೊಲುತು ಪೊಲ್ತು; ಅಲುಕೈ ಅದ್ಧೆ; ಉಲುತು ಉಲ್ತು.

U disappears along with the nasal (rule 7) in words such as the following:—

ಮರುಂದು ಮರ್ದು; ತಿರುಂದು ತಿರ್ದು; ಒರುಂಗು ಒರ್ಗು; ಶುರುಂಗು

ಸುರ್ಕು; ಪೊರುಂದು ಪೊರ್ದು; ಕರುಂಬು ಕರ್ಬು; ಪರುಂದು ಪರ್ದು; ಪುಟುಂಗು ಪುಟ್ನು; ಮಟುಂಗು ಮುಟ್ನು.

Exceptions: ಅರುಕು ಅರುಗು; ಮುಜುಕು ಮುಜುಗು.

In some cases r and l change their places.

ಎರುತು ಎಟ್ತು; ಅರುತ್ತಿ ಅಟ್ತಿ; ಪರುತ್ತಿ ಪಟ್ತಿ; ಉಟುಂದು ಉರ್ದು; ಕೊಲುಪ್ಪ ಕೊರ್ಬು.

(9) Final double consonants, and sometimes medial ones, too, of Tamil words become single in Kannada and Telugu.

ಪೇಟ್ನೈ ಪೇಟೆ ಪೇಟ; ಶರಕ್ಕು ಸರಕು ಸರಕು; ತೋಪು ತೋಪು ತೋಪು ತೋಪು; ಕೋಟ್ಡೈ ಕೊಂಡ or ಕೋಟೆ ಕೋಟ; ವೇಟ್ವೈ ಬೇಂಡ or ಬೇಟೆ ಪೇಟ; ಆಟ್ಡಂ ಆಟಂ ಆಟ; ಕೂಟ್ಡಂ ಕೂಟಂ ಕೂಟಮು; ತೋಟ್ಡಂ ತೋಂ ಟಂ or ತೋಟಂ ತೋಟ; ಓಟಂ ಓಟಂ; ಊಟ್ರಂ ಊಟಂ.

This rule does not apply to double consonants which are the finals of dissyllabic words with short initials.

ಶಕ್ಕೈ ಸೆಕ್ಕೆ or ಚಕ್ಕೆ; ಪತ್ತು ಪತ್ತು; ಮೆಚ್ಚು ಮೆಚ್ಚು ಮೆಚ್ಚು; ಪೆಚ್ಚೈ ಪಚ್ಚೆ; ಕಟ್ಟು ಕಟ್ಟು ಕಟ್ಟು; ಉಪ್ಪು ಉಪ್ಪು ಉಪ್ಪು.

(10) Nr of Tamil words is generally changed into nd, and sometimes into nt and nd, in Kannada and Telugu, and into nn in Malayāļam.

ಪಸ್ಥಿ ಪಂದಿ ಪಂದಿ; ಒನ್ಹು ಒಂದು ಒಂದು; ಕುನ್ಹು ಕುಂದು ಕುಂದು; ಕನ್ಹು ಕಂದು ಕಂದು; ಪೊನ್ಟು ಪೊಂದು; ಅನ್ಹು ಅಂದು; ಇನ್ಹು ಇಂದು; ಎನ್ಹು ಎಂದು; ಒನ್ಹು ಒಂದು (1); ಕನ್ಹು ಕಂದು (young plantain tree); ನಿನ್ಹು ನಿಂದು; ಕೊನ್ಹು ಕೊಂದು; ಕುನ್ಹಂ ಕುಂದಂ (anise english) ಮಂಕುಂದ; ಪೊಟ್ಕುನ್ಹಂ ಹೊಂಕುಂದ.)

ಒ್ಟ್ಲಿ 'ಒಂಟಿ ಒಂಟಿ ; ತಿಪ್ಪಿ ತಿಂಡಿ 'ತಿಂಡಿ ; ಕುಷ್ಟಂ ಕೊಂಡ. ಮೂಫ್ಟು ಮೂನ್ನು ; ಕಷ್ಟು ಕನ್ನು ; ಶಯ್ ಕಿಷ್ಟ ಚೆಯ್ಯುನ್ನ.

(11) Ttr(p,p) of Tamil words is generally changed into t and t and sometimes into t and t also in Kannada and Telugu.

ಶುಹ್ಹು ನುತ್ತು ಚುಟ್ಟು; ಸ್ಟ್ರು ಪತ್ತು ಪಟ್ಟು; ಮಾಹ್ರಂ ಮಾತು ಮಾಟ; ಕುಹ್ಹುಯರ್ ಕುತ್ತುಸಿರ್ ಕುಟ್ಟುಸುರು; ಶಿಹ್ಲೆಲ ಕಿತ್ತಿಲ ಚಿಟ್ಟೆಲುಕ; ವಹ್ಞು ಬತ್ತು; ತುಹ್ಹು ತುತ್ತು; ಒಟ್ಟಿಸಿ ಒತ್ತೆ; ಕುಹ್ಲಿಂ ಕುತ್ತಂ; ಪುಹ್ಹು ಪುತ್ತು; ಮ್ಲುಗ್ಯ ಮತ್ತೆ; ಮುಸ್ಟ್ ಮುಸ್ಟ್ ಮುಸ್ಟ್ ಮುಸ್ಟ್ ಬಾಹ್ರು ಗಾಹು ಗಾಹಿ ಗಾಹಿ; ನಾಹ್ಹು ನಾಟ; ನೆಹ್ಹು ನೆತ್ತಿ; ಸಿಹ್ಲಂ ಏತಂ ಏತಮು.

(12) R of Tamil and Kannada words is generally changed into d and j in Tulu and sometimes into t.

ನೂಲು ನೂಲು ನೂದು; ಪೊರ್ಲೈ ಪೊಳಸೆ ಪುದೆ; ಉಂಟ್ರೈ ಒಂಟೆ ಉದೆ; ಮುಂಬ ಮುಂಬ ಮುಂದಿ; ಮಂದಿ ಪಂಟ್ ಮದೆ; ಶೀಸ್ತ್ರಿ ಕೆಂಟೆ ಕೆದು.

ಮೂನ್ನು ಮೂಹು ಮೂಹಿ; ಆಹು ಆಹು ಆಹಿ; ಕನ್ನು ಕಹು ಕಂಜಿ; ಮೊಹುಂ ಬಹು ಬಹಿ; ವೇಹು ಬೇಕು ಬೇಕೆ.

L is generally changed into r and sometimes into n, and l into n.

ಕೋಡಿ ಕೋಡಿ ಕೋರಿ; ಕುಡಿ ಕುಡಿ ಗುರಿ; ಪದ್ರೈಯುತು ಪಬದು ಪರತ್; ಪುಕಟ್ ಪೂಗಬ್ ಪುಗರ್. ಕಡಿಲೆ ಕಣಿಲೆ; ಗದೆ ಗಣೆ. ಕೊಳ್ ಕೊಳ್ ಕೊಣ್; ಕೇಳ್ ಕೇಳ್.

R and l are also changed into r and l respectively, as in Modern Kannada.

ಕೊಂದು ಕುಡು ಕುರ; ಶಿಮ್ವೆ ನೆಡು ನೆರೆ; ಮಾಡು ಮಾಡು ಮಾರ್; ಉಂದಿಗು ಒಂದಗ್; ಏಜ್ ಏಜ್ ಏಜ್ ಏಜ್; ಶುಚಿ ನುಚಿ ನುಳಿ; ಪಾಜ್ ಪಾಜ್ ಪಾಳ್; ವಾಜ್ ಬಾಲ್ ಬಾಳ್.

(13) Many words in Tamil and Kannada become altered in Telugu by displacement of their letters, especially by the first and second letters changing their places.*

್ ಅವರ್ ಅವರ್ ವಾರು; ಉಕಿರ್ ಉಗುರ್ ಗೋರು; ಉರಲ್ ಒರಲ್ ರೋಲು; ಇಲ್ಲಾಮೈ ಇಲ್ಲವು ಲೇಮಿ; ಉಳ್ ಒಳ್ ರೋ; ಇಳೈಯದು ಎಳದು ರೇತ; ಅಕಾತು ಅಗದು ಕಾದು; ಇವರ್ಕ್ಕು ಇವರ್ಗ್ಗೆ ವೀರಿಕಿ; ಇರಂಡು ಎರಡು ರೆಂಡು; ಇಲ್ ಇಲ್ ಲೇ; ಇರಾ ರೇಯಿ; ಇಂಟ್ಡ್ ಹೇಂಡು.

The examples given under the rules mentioned above are sufficient to give one some idea of the number of common words that occur somewhat disguised in these languages. They also show the closer similarity of the Tamil and Kannada words than those of other languages.

^{*} This displacement sometimes occurs in Modern Kannada also, especially when the second letter is h: ভস্তান কেন্দ্র হলন ক্রে, হস্তান ক্রেন ক্রেন ক্রেন ক্রেন ক্রেন ক্রেন ক্রেন ক্রেন ক্রেন ভ্রম ক্রেন ক্

CHAPTER III.

GRAMMAR.

Inflexion of nouns. Gender and number. Case. The nomina-Inflexional increments. The second case. The fourth case. The sixth case. The and fifth cases. seventh case. The vocative case. Adjectives. Numerals. Pronouns. Inclusive and exclusive. Verbs. Roots. Pronominal terminations. The past and future tenses. The present The negative mood. Causative verbs. Appellative verbs. The imperative. The infinitive. The relative participle. The verbal participle. The passive voice. Indeclinables. Formation of words. Verbal nouns. Participial Abstract nouns. Derivative nouns. Com-pound nouns. nouns.

Inflexion of Nouns.

In the Dravidian languages the particles employed in nominal inflexions and in the declension of nouns are, generally speaking, nouns, e.g., &(, &(z, ove, etc. But the dative z), &, d, z seems to have lost its original meaning. Kannada, like Tamil and Telugu, possesses not only proper, common and abstract nouns, but also appellative, verbal and participial nouns.

In Tamil, nouns are divided into wಯರ ತಣ್ಣ high caste, rational, and ಅಜ್ಜಿಕ್ಟ್ casteless, Gender and number. irrational. Similarly, there is a division of nouns into mahat (majors) and amahat (minors) in Telugu. The first term in both languages denotes masculine and feminine nouns and the second neuter nouns. Kannada agrees with Tamil in distinguishing between rational and irrational objects in its gender, and in possessing, unlike Telugu which is destitute of the feminine singular, all the three genders of the third person singular. Telugu, as stated before, uses the neuter for the feminine singular, but it has a rational plural. The Tamil terminations for the

69

masculine singular are $\Psi = (an), \Psi = (\tilde{a}n)$; in Kannada the termination is we (wo), an (am); and in Telugu the terminations are ಡು (du), ಉಡು (udu), ಆಡು, (adu). Though an is not seen in the Telugu terminations, we find it clearly in the words ವಾಸಿಕಿ, ವೀನಿಕಿ. ಪೊನ್ನ ೯, ಪಾರ್ಪಾ೯. ಪೊನ್ನಕ, ಪಾರ್ವಕ; ಅತ್ಯಮ, ರಾಮುಂಡು According to a phonetic rule in Kannada, final n is changed into m, so that the above examples become ಪೂನ್ನಂ, ಪಾರ್ವಂ. In Modern Kannada the suffix is anu, where the final u is merely a euphonic addition. It will thus be seen that the suffix in both Tamil and Kannada is the same. namely, an, the longer form $\bar{a}n$ being only a euphonic variation retained in Tamil. The suffixes for the feminine singular in Tamil are ಅಳ್ (al), ಆಳ್ $(\tilde{a}l)$; and in $\operatorname{Kannada}$ පේ (al). න්රිಯ්, සුපු ේ; එරිග්ේ, ත්පූණ්. There are besides three other suffixes which are the same in both Tamil and Kannada—মুঠ (iti), ঠ (ti) and মু (i). ಪಿರಾಮಣಿತ್ತಿ, ವಣ್ಣಾತ್ತಿ, ಮನ್ನೆವಿ; ಚಾಮರಿಗಿತಿ, ಪೊಲತಿ, ಸುಬ್ಬಿ. only difference is the doubling of t in Tamil which is likewise the case in Modern Kannada, e.g., enzh 3 The corresponding suffix in Telugu is ಕತ್ತ (katte): ಚಲಕತ್ತೆ, ಪನಿಕತ್ತೆ. The suffixes ಅಲು (alu) and ಅಲು $(\bar{a}lu)$ also occur in Telugu corresponding to al and āl of Tamil: ಮರದಲು, In ಹೇದರಾಲು, ಗುಣವಂತುರಾಲು, etc., r is used to prevent hiatus, the termination being ālu. Further, the suffix ea (adi) occurs in Telugu in place of iti of Kannada: గుల్లం, గుల్లం. Another termination occurring in Tamil is x (ai), the phonetic equivalent of which in Kannada is, as stated before, e: ಮಡಂದ್ವೆ, ಮಂಗ್ಡೆ, ತೆರಿವೈ; ಜೋಡೆ, ಪಾಣ್ಣ, ಸಿತಗೆ. \hat{Al} of Tamil and $\bar{a}lu$ of Telugu have no corresponding suffix in Kannada, which has only al. The neuter singular suffixes in Tamil and Kannada are $\Theta \circ (am)$ and $\Theta \bowtie (adu)$ —sometimes only du: ಮರಂ, ನಿಲಂ, ಹೆರಿಯದು, ಹೆರಿದು; ಮರಂ, ನೆಲಂ, ಹಿರಿಯದು, The Telugu suffixes are and (mu) or and (vu) and ಅದಿ (adi): ಫಲಮು, ಗೋವು, ಚಿನ್ನದಿ. Du sometimes appears

as tu in Kannada, e.g., ಒಳ್ಳತ್ತು, ಮೆಲ್ಲತ್ತು. In Tamil and Telugu verbal nouns are formed by the suffixes adu and adi respectively; adu is likewise used in Modern Kannada in some parts of the Kannada country for this purpose, though in other parts the intermediate demonstrative udu is made use of instead of the remote demonstrative adu as is uniformly the case in Old Kannada. ಪರುವದು is ಬರುವದು in some parts of the Kannada country, but ಬರುವುದು elsewhere and ಬರ್ಪುದು in Old Kannada. ಪರುವದು is ಅರುವದು in some parts of the Kannada country, but ಬರುವುದು elsewhere and ಬರ್ಪುದು in Old Kannada. ಪರುವದು ಕರಣ್ಣದು, ಕರಣ್ಣದು, ಕರಣ್ಣದು, ಕರಣ್ಣದು, ಕರಣ್ಣದು, ಕರಣ್ಣದು, ಕರಣದು, ಬಂದುದು; ಕೊಂಡದು, ಕುಂದುದು, ಕುಂದುವು. Neuter nouns are rarely pluralised, and in Kodagu they have no plural at all.

The suffixes of the epicene plural, which are common to Tamil and Kannada, are ar, kal or gal and var: ಅವರ್ ಆವರ್; ಇವರ್ ಇವರ್; ಅರಶರ್ ಅರಸರ್; ಮಕ್ಕಳ್ ಮಕ್ಕಳ್; ಗುರುಕ್ಕಳ್ ಗುರುಗಳ್; ವೀರರ್ಕಳ್ ವೀರರ್ಕಳ; ಅವರ್ಕಳ್ ಅವರ್ಗಳ್; ಮೂವರ್ ಮೂವರ್; ನಾಲ್ಫರ್ ನಾಲ್ಫರ್. In Tamil there are also the suffixes mar and $m\bar{a}r$ which are represented by bar and sometimes by vir in Kannada. Mar and bar are merely euphonic variations of var: ಎಣ್ಡರ್ ಎಣ್ಟರ್, ಪದಿನ್ನರ್ ಪದಿಂಬರ್; ತಂದೆಮಾರ್ ತಂದೆವಿರ್; ತಾಯ್ಕಾರ್ ತಾಯ್ಕರ್; ದೇವಿಮಾರ್ ತಂಬಿಮಾರ್. Another rational plural suffix common to Tamil and Kannada is ir, though the lengthened form ir is also used in Tamil. zodo zodo zodo ; zodo zodo ; zodo zo న్యవిరా. Kannada has another rational plural suffix dir with nothing corresponding to it in Tamil. ಅವೆಂದರ್ ಅವಳ್ಳಿರ್; ಮಗಂದಿರ್ ಮಗಳ್ಳರ್; ಅಣ್ಣಂದಿರ್ ಆಕ್ಕಂದಿರ್. plural suffix corresponding to the Tamil-Kannada kal or gal is lu in Telugu and kulu in Tulu. ರಾಮುಲು, ಕವುಲು, ಪೆದ್ದು ಲು ; ಗುರುಕುಳು, ನೀಕುಳು (compare ನೀಂಗಳ in Tamil and The Tamil-Kannada var is generally re-Kannada). presented by vuru or guru in Telugu. ಇರುವರ್ ಇರ್ವರ್ ಇರುವುರು or ಇರುಗುರು (also ಇದ್ದ ಅು); ನಾಲ್ಟರ್ ನಾಲ್ಟರ್ ನಲುವುರು or ਰਦਮਨਮਹ The double plural ar-gal occurs in all these

As stated before, unlike the Indo-European languages which use two different sets of terminations for the singular and the plural, the Dravidian languages use the same set of case signs for both numbers, the case ending being added

to the base in the singular and to the The nominative. sign of plurality in the plural. Tamil and Kannada the crude base itself, without any addition or alteration, becomes, in very many cases, the nominative singular: ಪುಲ್ ಪುಲ್; ಮನ್ನೆ ಮನೆ: ಪುಲ ಪುಲ; ಆಳ್ ಆಳ್: ಪೆಣ್ ಪೆಣ್. The same is the case, to some extent, in Telugu also, though words of this language do not, as a rule, end in consonants : ಚವಿ, ಮಾಟ, ನಿಫ್ಪ, ತಿನ್ನೆ masculine bases ending in a take an as their nominative termination in Tamil and Kannada, and du in Telugu. As stated before, an becomes am in Kannada: ದೇವಕ ದೇವಂ ದೇವುಡು; ಶುಪ್ರಕ ಸುಬ್ಬಂ ಸುಬ್ಬುಡು. Neuter bases in atake am or an as their termination in both Tamil and Kannada, the remark about the masculine suffix an in Kannada applying to the neuter suffix also. そいての きいでき、 ಕ್ಯೇಳಂ. Though n of the Kannada masculine suffix is changed into bindu when final, it resumes its former shape when followed by a vowel, but this is optional with the neuter suffix: ದೇವಂ; but ದೇವಂ + ಅಂ = ದೇವನಂ: ಕೊಳಂ; but ಕೊಳಂ+ಅಂ=ಕೊಳವುಂ or ಕೊಳನಂ. In Telugu

neuter bases in a generally take mu as their nominative termination : ಗುಣಮು, ಅನ್ನಮು.

The inflexional increments used in Tamil and Kannada are almost the same, as is also the mode of forming the oblique bases. The following table base of the oblique cases in the two languages:—

| | | Base. | Inflexional increment. | Oblique base. | Examples. |
|-----|---------|-------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| (1) | Tamil | ಮರಂ | అక్కు attu | ಮರತ್ತು | ವುರತ್ತುಳ್ |
| | Kannada | ಮರಂ | ಅದ್ ad | ಮರದ್ | ಮರದೊಳ್ |
| (2) | Tamil | ಗ.ರು | ಇ೯ in | ಗುರುವಿ೯ | ಗುರುವಿನುಳ್ |
| | Kannada | ಗುರು | ಇ೯ in | ಗುರುವಿ೯ | ಗುರು ವಿನೊಳ್ |
| (3) | Tamil | ಪಲ | පසුා attru | ಪಲವ ಱು | ಪಲವಱ್ಞುಳ್ ಪಲವಱ್ಞುಳ್ |
| | Kannaḍa | ಪಲ | കെല്ലാം vi | ವಲವಹು್ | ಪಲವಹುೊಳ್ |

In (1) and (3) the Tamil inflexional increment is nothing but the Kannada increment with its final doubled and u added. In (2) the increment is the same in both the languages, and its use is likewise optional in both. There are some inflexional increments in Telugu also which are known as aupavibhaktikas. ti, ti, i. ಅನ್ನಿಟಿನಿ, ನಾಂಗಟಿಚೇ ${
m from}$ ನಾಂಗಲ; ಸೇತಿಚೇ ${
m from}$ ನೇಯಿ, ಚೇತಿಲೋ from ಚೇಯಿ; ಮ್ಯಾನಿನಿ from ಮ್ಯಾನು, ಚೋಟಿನಿ from ಚೋಟು. It will be seen that these do not exactly correspond to the ones in Tamil and Kannada. I has to be looked upon rather as a change of vowel due to vocalic harmony than as an inflexional increment. The Telugu increment corresponding to in of the other languages is ni or na: ದೀನಿಕಿ, ಗುರುವುನಕು. In Tamil and Telugu oblique bases formed by the addition of inflexional increments are capable of being used alone without case suffixes and bear the signification of genitives: ಮರತ್ತು, ಜೇತಿ, ವಾಕಿಟಿ. This is not, however, the case with Kannada.

The termination for the second case is ai in Tamil, the second case.

e in Malayālam, am in Kannada and nu or ni in Telugu: ఎన్నే స్ట్రి, ఎన్నే, ಎಸ್ಸಂ, ಸಮ್ಮ ದರಿದ್ದುನಿ. An also occurs in Telugu as the suffix for the second case: ದೊರ್ರ, ದೊರಲ್. In Modern Kannada am is shortened into a by the omission of the bindu. Kannada uses no inflexional increment in the second case after neuter bases in a, while Modern Tamil invariably uses attu: ದೇವನೈ, ದೇವನಂ ದೇವನ; ಮರತ್ತೆ ಹೈ, ಮರನಂ ಮರವುಂ. If we take a as the Kannada suffix, we may connect it with the ai of Tamil, since ai in Tamil is sometimes changed into a in Kannada, e.g., ಇದ್ವೆ ಇಲ್ಲ, ಪೈಂ ಪಂ.

In Kannada the same suffix im is used for both the instrumental and oblative cases. The third and fifth There is, however, a so-called oblacases. tive suffix attanim which is merely the im of the instrumental with a demonstrative attan prefixed to it. The same is the case in Tamil also, in Old Tamil at any rate. Though the present instrumental terminations in Tamil are $\tilde{a}l$ and $\tilde{a}n$ to which there is no suffix answering in Kannada, still in Old Tamil occurs the suffix in, e.g., ಸಿಸ್ಟಿಜ್ಞಂದ ninnigranda (given by you), which is the same as the Kannada suffix. And the oblative suffix in Tamil is also in or il. The Telugu suffixes for the third case are *chēta* and its contraction $ch\bar{e}$, and for the fifth case value and *kante.* The suffix for the social or conjunctive case in Tamil is ōdu or udan, in Kannada odane and in Telugu $t\bar{o}da$, or $t\bar{o}$. Some scholars connect the Telugu $t\bar{o}da$ with the Tamil word $t\bar{o}la$ which means a companion or friend and believe that the radical t has been lost in the Tamil and Kannada suffixes. Other suffixes for the third case in Kannada are indam and inde. In Badaga the suffix is end and in Toda edd.

The suffix for the fourth case is ku in Tamil, ke or ge in Kannada and ki or ku in Telugu. మన్మేక్కు కుళక్కు మనేగే కేంళ్లో; తెల్లికి తెలకు. The forms కుళక్కు, కయక్కు are found only in Old Tamil poetry, Modern Tamil invariably inserting the inflexional increment attu between the base and the suffix: కుళక్కు కన్న కయక్కుక్ను. In Kannada no

inflexional increment is used. In Telugu grammars ki and ku are mentioned as genitive suffixes and kai as the dative suffix: ಧರ್ಮಮುಕ್ಕೆ. Ku is also the suffix in Malayāļam and ikka or ikki in the Behistun tablets. ನನಕ್ಕು, ನೀ ಇಕ್ಕ, ನೀ ಇಕ್ಕಿ (to thee).

The only suffix for the sixth case in Kannada is a: ಪುಲಿಯ, ಮನೆಯ, ಅವನ, ಎನ್ನ, ತನ್ನ, ನಿನ್ನ, The sixth case. ಮರದ. This suffix also occurs as the genitive of personal and reflexive pronouns in Tamil, Telugu and Tulu: ಎನ, ನಿನ, ತನ; ತನ, ತಮ, ಮನ; ಯನ, ನಿನ. As stated before, oblique bases are used as genitives in Tamil and Telugu, but not in Kannada: మరక్కు జి(తి. Another suffix common to Tamil and Kannada is adu: ಅವನದು ಅವನದು, ಎನದು ಎನ್ನದು, ಸಿನದು, ಸಿನ್ನದು, Kannada has besides the forms atu and attu to express the genitive: ಎನತು ಎನತ್ತು, ನಿನತು ಸಿನತ್ತು, ತನತು ತನತ್ತು. Other suffixes given are *udaiya* in Tamil and *yokka*, *lō* and *lōpala* in Telugu: ಇರಾಮನುಡ್ಡಯ, ಚೆಟ್ಟುರೊಕ್ಕ, ಏಟಿರೋ, ಕೊಂಡಲರೋಪಲ. $Lar{o}$ is, properly speaking, a locative suffix corresponding to the Tamil ul and the Kannada ol.

The suffixes for the seventh case in Kannada are olar and alli which correspond to ular and il of Tamil and lo and andu of Telugu: మనేయాళ్ మన్యేయుళ్ ఇంటిలోన్; మండుళ్ మర త్వాళ్ మ్యానిల్యే; పటినదెల్లి పటినక్తిలో పటినమునందు. Another suffix in Telugu is na: తుళ్ళునాని.

The changes that nouns undergo in the vocative case are more or less the same in Kannaḍa, Tamil and Telugu. రాము రామాన్లో, ద్వే ద్వేహి ద్వేహిన్లో, రాముండే రాముండాం. In the plural ira is the suffix in Kannaḍa, ir or ir in Tamil and $\bar{a}ra$ in Telugu: ద్వేహ్ సంటంద్, త్ఫ్మిర్ కాస్ట్రిల్, రాముందారే.

ADJECTIVES.

In the Dravidian languages adjectives are not compared. They are prefixed to substantives without any

declensional change. Dr. Caldwell's statement that Dravidian adjectives, properly so called, are nouns of quality or relation, which acquire the signification of adjectives merely by being prefixed to substantive nouns, does not appear to be quite correct. Tamil and Kannada, as well as Telugu to some extent, possess words which are as much entitled to be called adjectives as good, long, short, etc., are in English. ನನ್ನ ನಿ ನಲ್ಲೆ (goodness) may properly be called a noun of quality; but as soon as the suffix mai or me (ness) is removed we have only an adjective of quality තර සහ (good) The word that is prefixed to a substantive is not ನನ್ನೆ ನಿ ನರೈ, which is supposed to acquire an adjectival meaning as soon as it is put before the noun, but the primitive ನರ್ ನರ್ from which ನನ್ನೈ ನಲ್ಮೆ is derived. Exception may indeed be taken to the so-called adjectives such as ಶುಥಮಾನ ಪೆರುಮ್ವೆಯಾನ, ಪಣಮುಳ್ಳ; ಶುಥವಾದ, ಹಿರಿ ದಾದ, ಪಣಮುಳ್ಳ; ಶುಧಮಯನ, which are merely nouns with the relative participles ūna (Kannada āda and Telugu ayina) and ulla affixed to them. These languages form a large number of adjectives in this way owing to the paucity of adjectives properly so called in them. no manner of exception can be taken to the following list of adjectives of quality which, I think, have every right to be so called. It is noteworthy that all of them are common to both Tamil and Kannada.

| Tamil | Kannada | Tamil | Kannada |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| ವೆಳ್ | ಬೆಳ್ | (ಹಿಡ | ಎಳ |
| ತ ಣ್ಯ | ತಣ್ | ಪುದು | ಪೊ ಸ |
| ಪಶು | ಪನು | ಅರು | ಅರು |
| ನೇರ' | ನೇರ್ | ನಱು | ನಱು |
| ಪೆರು | ಪೆರ್ | වසා | ಕಿಱು |
| ಕಡು | ಕಡು | ನೆಡು | ಸಿ ಡು |
| ವರ್ | ಬಲ್ | ಪೆುಲ್ | ಮೆಲ್ |
| ಕುಱು | ಕು ಱు | ಕೂರ್ | ಕೂರ್ |
| ಶೆ೦ | ಕೆಂ | ಕರು | ಕರ್ |
| ತ್ತದಿ, | ತೆಳ್ | ಬಿ ೯ | ಜ ೯ |

| Tamil | Kannaḍa | ##################################### | <i>Kannaḍa</i> |
|-------|---------|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| ನಲ್ | ත්ජ | | ಫಟ |
| ನುಣ್ | ත්ාණ | | ಕುಡು |
| ವೆಂ | ත්ර | | ನ ಡು |
| ವಟ ೦ | ಬರ | ನಡು | ನಡು |
| | ಬಟ್ಟ | ನಳಿ | ಸಳಿ |
| ಚ | فد | 1 | _ |

Among Telugu adjectives may be mentioned ನಲ್ಲ, ಎಱ್ಞ, ಪುಲ್ಲ, ಮಂಚಿ, ಹೆದ್ದ, ಚಿನ್ನ.

It is worthy of notice that the changes which many of these adjectives undergo in combination are almost the same in Tamil and Kannada.

(1) Some adjectives lengthen their initial letter before vowels, sometimes before consonants also:

 \pm ರು + ಉಡಲ್ = \pm ಾರುಡಲ್, \pm ರ್ + ಒಡಲ್ = \pm ಾರೊಡಲ್; \pm ರು + \pm ಡವಿ = \pm ಪೇರಡವಿ, \pm ರು + \pm ರುಗಿಲ್ = \pm = \pm ರುಗಿಲ್, \pm ರು + \pm ರುಗಿಲ್ \pm \pm \pm ರುಗಿಲ್.

- (2) Some double their final letter before vowels: నేడు + ఇదటో = నేట్కిదటో, నిడు + ఎనటో = నిట్కినటో; నడు + ఆడవి = నట్కడవి; సీటు + ఇదటో = పీట్టుదటో; కిటు + ఎనటో = కిత్తినటో. Compare Telugu నిట్కటు, నిట్కురువు.
- (3) The adjective మేకు మేకు is sometimes altered into ప్యేం in Tamil; the same occurs in Kannada also, the phonetic equivalent of ప్యేం being మెం. మేకుండుక్ మానుండుక్; ప్యేండుక్ మెందెరే. This adjective also doubles its final letter before vowels: మేకు + ఇర్నే = మేష్కిరే, మేకు + ఎరే = మేష్కిరే.
- (4) Besides being used exactly as they stand in the above list, some of the adjectives take the suffix iya: బేరియ చిరియ, కరియ కరియ, నేడియ నిడియ, ఎటుయ కిఱుయ, ఇనియ ఇనియ, బేకియ బేసియ.

It was stated before that oblique bases in Tamil and Telugu bear the signification of genitives, and these are frequently used as adjectives. ನಾಟ್ಟ, ನಾಟ. In Kannada, on the other hand, oblique bases are not used independently, and only genitives are used as adjectives: ನಾಡಿನ

1 and 2.—The numerals corresponding to these in Tamil, Kannada and Telugu are respectively ఒన్లు ఒందు ఒకట, ఇరండు

ಎರಡು ಕೆಂಡು. The u of the Tamil ಒರು and ಇರು in combination is dropped in Kannada. ಒರು ಒರ್, ಒರುವೆ ಒರ್ವರ, ಒರುವಳ್ ಒರ್ವಳ್, ಒರುವೆ ಒರ್ಪು; ಇರು ಇರ್, ಇರುವರ್ ಇರ್ವರ್, ಇರುವೆ ಇಮೆಸ್. The corresponding Telugu forms for ಒರ್ ಒರ್ಪಂ ಒರ್ಪಳ್ are ಒಕ ಒಕಡು ಒಕತೆ; and for ಇರ್ವರ್, ಇರುವುರು ಇರುಗುರು or ಇದ್ದರು. In both Tamil and Kannada the initial of ಒರು ಒರ್, ಇರು ಇರ್, is lengthened before vowels: ಒರು + ಉಡರ್ = ಓರುಡರ್, ಒರ್ + ಒಡರ್ = ಓರೊಡಲ್; ಇರು + ಐಂದು = ಈರೈಂದು, ಇರ್ + ಐದು = ಈರೈದು. But in Tamil it is lengthened even before consonants. ಓರ್ನಾಡಿ ಓರ್ಯಾನೈ; ಈರ್ನಾಡಿ ಈರ್ಯಾನೈ. The form ಎರಡ್ for ಎರಡು occurring in combination, is peculiar to Kannada: ಎರಡ್ನಾಟ. Though ಒರು and ಒರ್ have r, the word ಒಟ್ಟು single, derived from the same root, has r.

3 and 5.—The words for these in the three languages mentioned above are respectively ಮುನ್ಘು ಮೂಕು ಮುಂಡು; ಐಂದು, ಅಯ್ದು or ಐದು, ಅಯಿದು or ಏನು. They become ಮುಂ or ಮುಂ and ಐ or ಅಯ್ or ಐ in combination. ಮುನ್ಫುಲಕು ಮುಂಲೋಕಂ, ಮುಸ್ಪುಟಂ ಮುಪ್ಪೊಟಲ್ ಮುಸ್ಪಾತಿಕ, ಮುಂವರ್ ಮುಂದು ಪ್ರತಿಕ್ಕ ಮುಂದರ್ ಮುಂದು ಐ ವಿವರ್ಣ ಮುಂದು ಐ (or ಅಯ್) ಪರ್ ಅಯಿದುಗುರು or ಏಗುರು, ಐಂಬದು ಐ (or ಅಯ್) ಪತ್ತು ಎಂಬದಿ.

The Kannada forms ఎండు and మంటు without nasals are supposed to be older than the Tamil ఇరండు and మున్ను. Compare ఇరజ్జ్మే with ఎండు. But in the Telugu రెండు the nasal occurs.

4.—The words for this numeral in the three languages are ನಾನ್ಗು ನಾಲ್ಕು ನಾಲುಗು. Though the present form of the Tamil numeral does not show the radical l as those of the other languages, the form ನಾಲ್ಕು exactly like the Kannada one occurs in Old Tamil poetry: ಪಾರ್ ಪುರೆತ್ನ ಪುರವಿ ನಾಲ್ಕುರ್ಡ ಪೂಟ್ಟ (Porunarāṭṭruppaḍai). ನಾನ್ಮು ಸ್ ನಾರ್ಡ್ನು ಗಂ ನಲುವಂಕಲು; ನಾಲ್ಕರ್ ನಾಲ್ಕರ್ ನಲುಗುರು; ನಾಹ್ತದು ನಾಲ್ಕುತ್ತು ನಲುವದಿ. In Tamil l is changed into n before m and into p before p.

6 and 7.—These numerals are the same in the three languages except for the substitution of d for l in the Telugu numeral for 7: ಅಂಟ ಆಂಟ ಆಂಟ; ಏಲು ಏರು ಏರು ಏರು ಬರು. The initials of these numerals are generally shortened in combination and their u is sometimes dropped. ಅಂಟುಎಂಗಳ್ ಅಂಟುಎಂಗಳ್, ಅಂಟುಎಂ' ಆರ್ಎರ್ ಆಂಟಗುರು, ಅಂಟುಎಂ ಅಂಟುಎಂಗಳ್, ಅಂಟುಎಂ' ಆರ್ಎರ್ ಆಂಟಗುರು, ಅಂಟುಎಂ ಅಂಟುಎಂ ಅಂಟುಎಂದು, ಎಂಟುಎಂ ಎಂಟುಎಂ

8 and 9.—The numerals corresponding to these in the three languages are ಎಟ್ಟು ಎಣ್ಟು ಎನಿಮಿದಿ; ಒನ್ಬದು ಒಂಬತ್ತು ಕೂಮ್ಮಿ a. The Kannada form clearly shows the radical n. The numeral nine is a compound word in all the Dravidian languages—ಒಂಬದು (Malayālam), ಒಯುಂಬದು (Koḍagu), ఒరామ్మ (Tuļu). It will be seen that the Telugu form కొంప్మిద differs from all the others. first part is the same as that of the Kannada ತೊಂಬತ್ತು (90); but tom of కొంప్పథ is supposed to be connected with tol (before) and tom of shows, with tondu (nine). ತೂಮ್ಮಿದ is thus explained as the number before ten and ಕೊಂಬತ್ತು as nine times ten. The Tamil word for 90 is ತೊಣ್ಣ new and for 900 ತೂಳಾಯುರಂ. The first part of these words, tol, is also supposed to mean before, and the words are explained as the last ten before a hundred and the last hundred before a thousand. This is indeed ingenious, but not convincing. The Telugu numeral ఎనిమిది also looks like a compound word. It has to be stated here that instead of the usual suffix guru, the Telugu words denoting eight and nine persons have the new suffix andru. This suffix also occurs in words denoting 18, 19, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 per-ಎಣ್ಡಿ ಶೈ ಎಣ್ಡೆ ಸೆ, ಎಣ್ಡರ್ ಎಣ್ಬರ್ ಎನಮಂಡ್ರು, ಎಣ್ಬರು ಎಣ್ಟತ್ತು ಎಸುಬರಿ. In Tamil t following n is changed into d. ಒನ್ಪದಿನ್ನರ್ ಒಂಬದಿಂಬರ್ ತೊಮ್ಮಂಡ್ರು, ತೊಣ್ಣೂ ಕು ತೊಂಬತ್ತು ತೊಂಬದಿ.

10.—This numeral in the three languages is ಪತ್ರು

ಪತ್ತು ಪದಿ. ಪದಿನ್ನರ್ ಪದಿಂಬರ್ ಪದುಗುರು or ಪದುಗುಂಡ್ರು. combination the Tamil numeral becomes ಪದು at the end of words: ಇರುಪದು ಇರ್ಪತ್ತು ಇರುವದಿ, ನಾಹ್ಮದು ನಾಲ್ಪತ್ತು ನಲುವದಿ, The numeral becomes ಪರ್ದಿ ಪರ್ಧಿ ಎಣ್ಯದು ಎಣ್ನತ್ತು ಎನುಬದಿ. ಪರ್ಮ in the three languages before the numbers 3 to 8, but the final n is dropped in Kannada and Telugu before 3 and 4. It is dropped before 3 even in Modern Tamil: ಪರ್ದಿಮೂನ್ಜು ಪರಿಮೂಲು ಪರುಮ್ಯೂಡು, ಪರಿನಾನ್ಗು ಪರಿನಾಲ್ಕು ಪದುನಾಲುಗು, ಪದಿನ್ನೆಂದು ಪದಿನ್ನೆದು ಪದುನಯಿದು or ಪದುನೇನು, ಪದಿ ನಾಹು ಪದಿನಾಹು ಪದುನಾಹು, ಪದಿನೇಟು ಪದಿನೇಟು ಪದುನೇಡು, ಪದಿನೆಟು ಪದಿನೆಣು, ಪದುನೆನಿಮಿದಿ. In Tamil final n not preceded by a single short vowel is elided when followed by another n. Accordingly ಪದಿಕ + ನಾನ್ಸು becomes ಪದಿನಾನ್ಸು. is the case with the corresponding Kannada and Telugu words, though the Tamil rule is not known to Kannada and Telugu grammarians. This Tamil rule clearly explains the word ಜೀನೆಯ್ which is another form of the Tamil ತೇನೆಯ್. The numeral undergoes no change in Kannada and Tamil before 9 but becomes pan or pam in Telugu. ಪತ್ತೊನ್ನದು ಪತ್ತೊಂಬತ್ತು ಪಂದೊಮ್ಮಿದಿ. It becomes pan before 2 in the three languages, but before 1 it becomes padin in Tamil, pan in Kannada and padun in Telugu: ಪನ್ನಿರಂಡು ಪನ್ನೆರಡು ಪಂಡ್ರೆಂಡು; ಪದಿನೊಮ್ಮ ಪನ್ನೊಂದು ಪದುನೂಕಟಿ.

The three languages have the word nūru for a hundred, Telugu having also an additional word వెందే. There is further an indigenous word వేందు in Telugu for a thousand, the other languages having to borrow a word from Sanskrit. The word in Tamil is ఆయుందం and in Kannada నానిందం, both derived from the Sanskrit నేంద్రం. The Tamil numerals for 90 and 900 greatly differ from the corresponding ones of the other two languages: మంట్లు మంటలు మంటలు ఉందు. The suffixes for ordinals in the three languages are respectively ఆం ఆనేయ అవే: ఇరండాం ఎండనేయ రండవే,

ಹಂದಾಂ ಐದನೆಯ ಆಯುದವ. ಆಂ stands for ಆಗು from ಆಗು to become. Similarly ava stands for ಆಗ from ಆಗು to become. The origin of the Kannada suffix ಆನೆಯ is not, however, clear. I venture to think that it is identical with the Tamil particle of similarity ಅನೈಯ and means like or of the measure of.

Pronouns.

Philologists are of opinion that pronouns afford the most conclusive evidence of the relationship between languages, as, of all words in a language, they appear to possess the greatest amount of tenacity and permanence. In the Indo-European languages the base of the oblique cases of pronouns is different from the base of the nominative. Such is not the case in the Dravidian languages. The table in the next page exhibits the general similarity of the personal and reflexive pronouns in Tamil, Kannada and Telugu.

| | Nominative | Oblique base | Dative | Genitive with a | Genitive with adu or adi |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| First Person Singular—Tamil Kannada | රහාදි පාදි පදි පවදි සින් ත්දීන් | 3 3 8 5 6 7 7 17 7 18 | ಎನಕ್ಕು ಎನಗೆ ನನಗೆ ನಾಕು | ప్త స్వ స్వ స్వ స్వ స్వ స్వ | ಪಿಸರು ಪ್ರಿಸ್ತ ದು ಪನತು ಗಿಸ್ತ ದು ಸಾರಿ |
| First Person Plural— Tamil Kannada | ಯಾಂ ನಾಂ ಆಂ ನಾಂ ಏಮು ಮೇಮು ನೇಮು | 20 St 20 St | ಎಮ ಕ್ಕು ನಮಕ್ಕು ಎಮ ಗೆ ನಮಗೆ ಮಾಕು | ಎದು ನವು ಎಮ್ಮೆ ನಮ್ಮ (ಮಾ) | ಎವುದು ನಮ ದು ಎಮ್ಮ ದು ಎವುತು ನಮ್ಮ ದು ಮಾದಿ |
| Second Person Singular—Tamil Kannada | ्रेट्र १५ क् | SE WE SE | ವಿನಕ್ಕು ಉನಕ್ಕು ವಿನಗೆ ವೀತು | ಕ್ಕು ಕ್ಟ್ರಾಸ್ಟ್ರ (ಸ್ಟ್ರಸ್ಟ್ರ) | ಎನದು ಉನವು ಪಿನ್ನ ದು ಸಿನತು ಸೀದಿ |
| Second Person Plural— Tamil Kannada | ನೀಯ ರ್ ನೀವರ್ ನೀರ್ ನೀಂ ಮೀರು ಈರು | నుం గుం సిం మీ | ನುಮಕ್ಕು ಉದುಕ್ಕು ನಿಮಗೆ ಮೀಕು | ಸುಮ ಉಮ ನಿಮ್ಮ (ಮಿ() | ನುಪು ರು ಉಪು ದು ಸಮ್ಮ ರು ನಿಮ ತು ಬ (ದಿ |
| Reflexive Singular— Tamil Kannada Telugu | - ਨਾਵ ਫਾਹਵ ਫਾਹਨ) | 77 च च ल ल ल | से प्रदेश श्री भी भी श्री श्री भी | ा ल ल प्रकृता रा | 6 61 51 52 52 53 53 54 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 |
| Reflexive Plural— Tamil Kannaḍa Telugu | ತರಂ ತಾಂ ತಾಮು ತಮರು | हो ० ० स स स | ब ब हो ब हो जिल्ला ब हो जिल्ला हो जिला हो हो जिला हो ह | ही हो हो ल ल ल | ತಮ್ಮ ತಮ್ಮದು ತಮತು ತಮಾದಿ |

The forms of the reflexive pronoun are nearly the same in all the three languages. But there are some points of difference with regard to the personal pronouns of the first and second persons which have to be noticed. The initial y of the Tamil pronoun of the first person does not occur in Kannada and Telugu. There are, besides. several words in Tamil which are supposed to be more correct with an intitial y than without it, e.g., ಯಾನೈ, ಯಾಕ್ಕೈ, ಯಾಂಡು, ಯಾರ್. The Kannada pronoun of the second person singular has retained the radical n which the Tamil pronoun has lost. Although the plurals of the Tamil pronoun of the second person end in r, in the Modern Tamil plural acons, we clearly see the form $n\bar{\imath}m$ which is identical with the Kannada plural. Tamil shares with Brāhūī the peculiarity of using num for the second person plural, though Kannada and Malayalam use nim. The doubling of n and m seen in some of the Kannada genitives also occurs in Modern Tamil. The form ninna occurs in Old Tamil poetry, e.g., ನಿನ್ನ ತನ್ನೈಯಿನ್ನದನ್ನು (Tiruchchandaviruttam, verse 5). The change of \bar{a} into \bar{e} in the Telugu pronouns of the first person has to be regarded as dialectic. Another peculiarity in Telugu is that while the other languages shorten the vowel of the nominative in the oblique cases of the pronouns of the first and second persons, it retains the long vowel of the nominative in all oblique cases except the second, e.g., ನಾಕು, ಮಾಚೇಶ, ನೀಕು, ಮೀವಲನ; ನಿರ್ನು, ಮಿಮ್ಮು೯, ನನ್ನು೯, ಮಮ್ಮು ϵ . A third peculiarity is the substitution of mfor the initial n of the pronouns in the plural. A fourth is the absence of genitive pronouns ending in a except ತನ, ತಮ and ಮನ, and the substitution for them of the oblique bases of the pronouns.

In all the languages tan is used as an honorific pronoun of the second person. The double plurals acong acong acong also occur in them.

In most of the Dravidian languages, are used, as stated before, two different forms of the plural of the

personal pronoun of the first person, the one inclusive and the other exclusive of the person addressed—

| | | Tamil | $Malayar{a}lam$ | Telugu |
|-----------|------|---------------|-------------------|------------|
| Inclusive | **** | ಯಾಂ, ನಾಂ | ನಾಂ | ಮನಮು |
| Exclusive | •••• | ನಾಂಗ ಳ | ಮ <u>ೂ</u> ೨೩೬ ವೀ | ಏಮು, ಮೇಮು. |

Some scholars doubt whether this peculiarity is an originally Dravidian feature, not only because it is not found in Gōṇḍi, Brāhūī and several minor dialects of the Dravidian group, but also because it is found in languages outside that group such as Mahrāṭhi, Gujarāti, etc.: Gujarāti—ame (we), āpaṇe (we and you); Mahrāṭhi—āmhī (we), āpaṇ (we and you). It is believed that Kannaḍa, too, does not possess this feature. I think Kannaḍa does possess two forms of the plural of the personal pronoun of the first person just like its sister languages of Southern India. And this not only in the Old language but also in the Modern.

| | 0 | ld Kannaḍa | Modern Kannaḍa |
|-----------|------|------------|----------------|
| Inclusive | **** | ဗ္ | ನಾವು |
| Exclusive | **** | ನಾಂ | ನಾವುಗಳು |

In the instance aductation of the subject eo, which is understood, is clearly inclusive. It is very rarely that 500 is used in Old Kannada.

Another table showing the similarity of the demonstrative and interrogative pronouns and adjectives in the three languages is given in the following pages.

| | | Remo | Remote Demonstrative | ative | Proxim | Proximate Demonstrative | rative |
|--------------------|---|--------------|----------------------|------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| | | Tamil | Kannaḍa | Telugu | Tamil | Kannada | Telugu |
| Lasculine singular | | 9 21 E | e ar | ವಾಂಡು | ಇವಕ | ಇವಕ | చిగించు |
| Feminine singular | | ಅವಳ್ | ಆವಳ್ | ගුන | ಇವಳ್ಳ | ಇವಳ್ಳ | ් කි |
| Neuter singular | | පෙයා | සිවි | ගි | තිස් | හි | සුවූ |
| Epicene plural | | ៖ egg | ಈಪರ ್ | ಪಾರು | ಇವರ್ | ಇವರ್ | ವೀರು |
| Neuter plural | | 6 53 | 1 | ය ව | 81 83 83 | තුරු ද | 73 E8 |
| Adjectives | : | Ð | Ð | 5) | 83 | 15 | 10 |
| Adverbs | : | ව දිවි | දි දි | (ಅಷ್ಟ್ರದು) | ñ ⁹ | ಇಂದು | (ಇಶ್ಪುದು) |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | Interme | Intermediate Demonstrative | strative | | Interre | Interrogative | |
|--------------------|-----|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | | Tamil | Kannaḍa | Telugu | Tamil | Old Kannada | Modern Kannada | Telugu |
| Masculine singular | .: | ಉಪಕ | Safe | | ಯಾವ ಎವೆ೯ | 13 In | ಯಾವಂ | ಎಸ್.ಡು ಪೀಡು |
| Feminine singular | 1:: | ಉಪಭ್ | ್ರಿಲವಳ್ಳ | | ಯಾವಳ್ ಎಪಳ್ | ಆಪ್ತರ್ | ಯಾವಳ್ | ಎಪ್ ಪ್ರತಿತೆ |
| Neuter singular | .: | දා දා | පුණු | | ಯಾದು ಎದು | ಕ ಪ್ರಮ | ಯಾವುದು | ୟ ଓ |
| Epicene plural | .: | ಿಸ್ತಾರ್ಥ್ಯ ಆಗ್ರಹ್ಮ | ಉಪರ್ | | ಯಾವರ್ ಯಾರ್ ಎವರ್ | ಆರ್ | ಯಾರ್ | ್ರಿಪ್ ಪ್ರಕರ |
| Neuter plural | : | ಌಷ್ಟಿ | हें इं | | ಯಾವೈ ಒಟ್ಟೆ ಬೆಟ್ಟೆ | e धु | ගතකුකු | ଫ |
| Adjectives | ; , | ę | ಜ | | corres Ca | ខ្លួ | 3333 | я |
| Adverbs | : | දි දැන | സായാ | | ડ ડિફ્ર | ಎಂದು | ಬಂದು ಯಾವಾಗ | (එණු.සිා) |

The Tamil and Kannada forms are almost indentical. The initial y of the Tamil interrogatives is dropped in Old Kannada but retained in Modern Kannada. thus see that the Tamil forms with $y\bar{a}$ are colloquial in Kannada and the Kannada $\bar{a}r$ is colloquial in Tamil. The Kannada and Telugu demonstrative adjectives are merely lengthened forms of the Tamil ones. The forms ಪಾರು, ವೀರಡು, etc., in Telugu are, as stated before, the result of the peculiar dialectic changes in that language. Another peculiarity, also mentioned before, is the absence of the feminine singular in Telugu and the use of the neuter singular instead. Telugu does not possess intermediate demonstratives. Its interrogatives closely resemble the Tamil ones beginning with e. The Telugu adverbs ಅಂದು, ಇಂದು, ಎಂದು, though identical in form with the Kannada ones given in the table, do not convey the same meaning: they are adverbs of place, and not of time as in Kannada. The adverbs in Telugu corresponding to the Kannada ones are appudu (appoludu, āpoltu), ippudu and eppudu. One more set of words may be added which is similar in the three languages, only there is an interchange of t and n in the Tamil words:

| | | Remote | Proximate | Interrogative. |
|---------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | D_{ϵ} | emonstrative. | Demonstrative. | |
| Tamil | •••• | ಅತ್ತ್ರನೈ | ಇತ್ತನ್ _{ನೆ} | ಎತ್ತ ನೈ |
| Kannada | | అనికు | ಇನಿತು | ಎನಿತು |
| Telugu | •••• | ಅಂತ | ವಂತ | ಎಂತ |

Kannada and Telugu have also honorific demonstrative pronouns, both masculine and feminine, which are not found in Tamil:

| | Remote. | Proximate. |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Kannada— Masculine Feminine | ಅತಂ ಆಕೆ | ਚਾ ਭ ਂ ਚਾਵੇਂ |
| Telugu— Masculine | ಆತನು ಅತ್ಯಡು ಆತ್ಯಡು | ಈತನು ಇತ್ರಡು ಈತ್ತಡು |
| Feminine | ಆಕೆ ಅಮೆ ಅಪೆ | ಈಕೆ ಈಮಿ ಈಪೆ |

VERBS.

A comparison of Tamil and Kannada under this head reveals remarkable coincid-Roots. ences, as will appear in the sequel, amounting, in most cases, to perfect identity, in the roots, personal terminations and the formation of the different tenses, moods and participles. The cannot be said of the Telugu language. Of the four cultivated languages of the South, the farthest removed from each other are Tamil and Telugu. It is true that many of the verbal roots and forms in both these languages are similar, but they are often so disguised by inflexional and dialectic changes peculiar to Telugu that the similarity does not easily reveal itself. Unlike the roots in Tamil and Kannada, many of which end in consonants, the roots in Telugu always end in The number of Telugu roots which are identical with those in Tamil are far fewer in number than The same remark applies to roots those in Kannada. in Telugu whose identity is disguised by dialectic and other changes. I give in the following pages lists of roots which are common either to all the three languages some or to only any two of them, as well as of those which have undergone change in one or two languages:-

Roots common to Tamil, Kannada and Telugu.

ಕಟ್ಟು, ತಿಟ್ಟು, ಕಿಟ್ಟು, ಮೆಚ್ಚು, ಆಡು, ಕೂಡು, ಕೊಂಡಾಡು, ಒಪ್ಪು, ತಪ್ಪು, ಆಹು, ನಚ್ಚು, ಮೀಹು, ಅಂಜು, ತಗು, ತಟ್ಟು, ತುಮ್ಮು, ನಗು, ಪೊಂಗು, ಪಾಡು, ಮಾಹು, ಪಡು.

Tamil roots and those corresponding to them in Kannada and Telugu that have undergone change.

Roots common to Tamil and Telugu.

| ತಡವು | ತದವು | ඉළ_ | ತಿನು |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| ತೂ ಱು | ತೂರು | ಅಲರ್ | ಅ ಲರು |
| ಅನು ಪ್ರು | ಅನು ಫು | 육론 | ಈನು |
| ಶೆಪ್ಪು " | ಚೆಪ್ಪು | ತೇಯ್ | ತೇಯು |
| ತೋ್ ದು | ತೋ ಡು | ಫ್ರೋರ್ | ಫ್ರೋಲು |
| ಅಲಮರು | ಅಲಮಗು | ಮ ಡಿ | ಮ ಡಿಯು |
| ವೇಯ್ | ವೇಯು∗ | ಪಾಱು | ಪಾಱು |

Tamil roots and those corresponding to them in Telugu that have undergone change.

| ನಂಬು | ನ ಮ್ಮು |) ಆ ಗು | ಅಗು |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| ಅಮೆ _{ನೆ} | అచుయు | ಕೊಳ್ | ಕೊನು |
| ತಿರು ಪ್ಪು | త్రిభ్భ | ತುಳ್ಳು | ತ್ರುಳ್ಳು |
| ਚ | ಪಚ್ಚು" | ဗယ်ပ' | ಆಡಲು |
| ಕಾಣ್ | ಕನು " | ಪಜ್ಞಾ | ಪಟ್ನು |
| ನಿಯೈವೇಱು | ನೆೞವೇಱು | ತಣೆ | ತನಿಯು |
| ສນຜັນກົນ | ಮು <i>ನು</i> ಂಗು | | |

Roots common to Kannada and Telugu.

ಪುಟ್ಟು, ತಿರುಗು, ದೂಱು, ಪಿಂಡು, ನೆಱಪು, ಅಡುಕು, ಅಲ್ಲಾಡು, ಮುದ್ದಾಡು,

^{*} In this and the succeeding Telugu roots the final u is merely enunciative. As stated before, Telugu roots invariably end in a vowel.

ದ್ದಾಟು, ಅಂಟು, ಕಡ್ಡಗು, ತೂಲು, ತೊಲ್ಲಗು, ಅಂಜು, ತೊಡ್ಡಗು, ನಂಜು,* ನಾನು, ದಕ್ಕು, ದುಮುಕು, ಹೊರಕು, ಅದುಮು, ತೇಲಾಡು, ನುಲುಜು, ತಗುಲು, ದೋಡು, ತೋಜ್ರ ಮರಲು, ಕರ್ಡಗು.

Kannada roots and those corresponding to them in Telugu that have undergone change.

| ಎ೯ | ಅನು | ಅರ್ವಿ | ಅಲುಕು |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| ಮಾಣ್ | ಮಾನು | ತಿರ್ಮ ೯ | ದಿದ್ದು |
| ಕೊಯ್ | ಕೋಯು | ನೆಗಬ್ | ನೆಗಡು |
| ತ್ರೆರ್ದ, | ತೆರಲು | එ නී | ಎೞುಗು |
| ತೆಪ್ಪ ್ರು | ತಪ್ಪಿ.ಱು | ಝಳಪಿನು | ಝಳಿಪಿಂಚು |
| నిళ్ళు | ನೀಲುಗು | ಈನು | ಈದು |
| ປ ະນຶ່ | ದ್ರೊಬ್ಬು | おい | ನುಡುಗು |
| ಬಜಲ್ | ນ ຜັບນ ິ | ಅಪ್ಪಳಿಸು | ಅಪ್ಪಳಿಂಚು |
| అదిరో | ಅದರು | ನೂಂಕು | ನೂಕು |
| ಫ್ರಣ್ | ಪ್ರೀನು | ತ ಱುಂಬು | <u>ತ</u> ಱುಮು |
| ಅಳ ವಡು | <i>ಕ</i> ಲವಡು | ತಳರ್ | ತಲರು |
| ನಿರ್ | ನಿಲು ಚು | ತಿಣುಕು | ತಿನು ಕು |

Roots common to Tamil and Kannada.

ಈ, ಕಾ, ಕೋ, ನೋ, ಫೂ, ಕಾಣ್, ಕಾಯ್, ಉಣ್, ನಿಲ್, ಕಲ್, ಮೆಲ್, ಕೊಲ್, ಕನಲ್, ಕಬಲ್, ಕೊಳ್, ತಾಳ್, ಆಳ್, ಆಟ್, ಹೆಣು, ಉಣು, ಏಣು, ನಾಣು, ಕಾಣು, ಫೇಣು, ಅಣು, ಆಡು, ಕುಣು, ತಡು, ತಡು, ಅಡು, ಉಡು, ನಿಡು, ಒಡು, ಕುಂಬಿಡು, ಮಡು, ಕುಡು, ಮಡು, ಕಡು, ಮಡು, ಕುಂಬಿಡು, ಮಾಡು, ಕುತ್ತು, ಊದು, ಮೋದು, ಓದು, ನಂದು, ಮುತ್ತು, ಮುನಿ, ಕುನಿ, ಮುಳಿ, ತಿಕ್ಕ ಎಕ್ಕ ಈಕ್, ತಳೆ, ತಣೆ, ನಂಬು, ಅರಿ, ಅಮರ್, ನಿಮರ್, ಅಡರ್, ತೊಡರ್, ಅದಿರ್, ಉದಿರ್, ಅಲರ್, ಮಲರ್, ತಳಿರ್, ಕುಳರ್, ನೂರ್, ನೆಯ್, ಕೊಯ್, ಪಾಯ್, ನೀಳ್, ಕಡಿ, ಹಚ್ಳಿ ಇಡಿ, ಮುಟುಗು, ಫೋಲ್, ಉಳ್, ಕಳ್, ಉರುಳ್, ಪೋರ್, ಆರ್, ಪಾರ್, ಪೂರ್, ನಕ್ಕು, ಮುಕ್ಕು, ತಾಲ್, ಮಹುಗು, ಮುಖ್ಯ ತೂಡು, ತೇಣು, ಪಿಚಿ, ತುತ್ತು, ತಂಗು, ಪನಿ, ಉಗು, ಒಟ್ಟು, ಪತ್ತು, ಕರಿ, ಮಾಣ್, ಫೂಣ್, ಅಲುಬು, ಮುಂದು, ಕುಚಿ, ಕವಿ, ನುಂಗು, ಕೇಳ್, ಅಗಬ್.

Tamil roots and those corresponding to them in Kannada that have undergone change.

| | | ,, | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| එ රි | ಬ ರಿ | చిఁట్ | ಬೀಬ್ | | | | |
| ವೀ | છલ | ಪೇಳ್ | ಬೇಳ್ | | | | |
| ವೀ ವೇ | ಬೇ | ವಿದು | ಬದು | | | | |
| ವಿದಿರ್! | ಬದಿರ್ | ವಾಡು | ಬಾಡು | | | | |
| ವರು | ಬರ್ | ವಿಕ್ಕು | ಬಿಕ್ಕು | | | | |
| ಎತ್ತು | ಬಿತ್ತು | ತ್ರೋಯ' | ്യെ | | | | |
| ಎತ್ತು ಪೈ ವೀಶು | ಬಯ್ | 載めった | യ്യ് | | | | |
| ವೀಶು | ಬೀ ನು | ಅರೈ | ಅರೆ " | | | | |
| ವಿಜ್ಯು | ಬೆಯ | ಅರೈ ಉಳ್ಯೆಯಿಡು | ಊಳಿಡು | | | | |
| ವಟ ಁ | ಬಚಿ | ಪಿಣ್ವ | ಹಣ್ | | | | |
| ವೌಚ್ | ಬ ಾಲ್ | ನಿರಪ್ಪು | ನೆರಪು | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

^{*} This and the following in Modern Kannada.

| ನಶಿ | ನಸಿ | ಮುಅಂಗು | ಪೊೞಗು |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| ජ ග | ಕ ಲು | ಮೞುಂಗು | ಮು್ಗು |
| ಕೆಡು | ಕಿಡು | ಬೀಂಗು | ພເຕົ |
| - ಕೊಡು | ಕುಡು | ఒన్లు | ಒಂದು |
| ಶುಡು | ಸುಡು | ಕುನು ಕುನು | ಕುಂದು |
| ඵා සිූා | ಸುತ್ತು | (B) | ಒ ಱಗು |
| ಕೊರಿ | ನುರಿ | ಉಹಂಗು | |
| ಪೊರಿ | ಪುರಿ | ಮಿನುಂಗು | ಮಿನು ಗು |
| ಕೊದಿ | ಕುದಿ | 30 | ಕ್ಕ |
| ಶುರುಳ್ | ಸುರು ೪' | ತಿರುತ್ತು | ತಿದು ೯ |
| ವಱ್ಞಿು | ಬತ್ತು | ನೀಕ್ಕು | ನೀಗು |
| ವಿಳ್ಯಾ | ಬೆಳೆ | ಪುರಳ್ | ಪ್ರೇರಳ್ |
| ವೇಂ ದು | ಬೇ ಡು | ಶೂಡು | ನೂಡು |
| ತ್ಯೋಂಡು | ತ್ರೋ ಡು | ಫೂಶು | ಪ್ರಾ ನು |
| ໜຄົວກ່າ | ಒಣಗು | ප ಲಶು | ಅಲನು |
| ನಿರ | ನೆರೆ | ಶುಟ್ಟು | ಸುಟ್ಟು |
| ಪದುಂಗು | ಪದು ಗು | ಶಾರ್ | ಸಾರ್ |
| ಪೊರುಂದು | ಪೊರ್ದ <u>ು</u> | <u>ಕ</u> ೋರ್ | ಸ್ರೋರ್ |
| ಒಲುಗು | ಒಯ್ನು | ಕೆಲ್ | ನಲ್ ****** |
| ಮೇಯ್ | ವೇ [°] | ವಿಲ್ | ಬಲ್ |
| ಪರ ಂಬು | ಪರ್ಬು | ತ್ರೋಲ್ | ಸ್ಕೋಲ್ |
| ಪೆರು ಗು | ಪೆರ್ಚು | ಶುವೈ | ನವಿ ವೆಂಪರ್ |
| ಪುಅಪ್ಪಡು | ಪ್ರೊಱಿದುಡು | ತುಳಂಗು | ತೊಳಗು |
| ಪಡೆತ್ತ | ಪಡೆ | ಪರ | ಪರೆ ನೆಂದಕು |
| ನಾಲ್ | ನೇಲ' | ತುಡಂಗು | ತೊಡಗು |
| ಉರೆ೩ | ಒರೆ | ಶುರುಂಗು | ನುರ್ಕು ತಗೆ |
| ನನ್ನ | ನನೆ | ತಗೈ | &∩ -}^ |
| ನಿನೈ | ನೆನೆ | ತೇಯ' | ತೇ ನಾಡು |
| ಮಲು | ಮಲೆ | ನಾಟ್ಟು | |
| ಪದ್ವೆ | ಪದೆ | ತಪು | ತವು ತಡಮಾಡು |
| ನೀರು - | ನೆಱು | ತದು ಮಾಹು | ತಡಮಾಡು ನಡೆ |
| ಕಳ್ಳೆ | ಕಳೆ | ತದೈ | |
| ನುದ್ದೇ | ನೊಡೆ | ಪರಪ್ಪು | ಪರಪು ಪಸಿ |
| ಶಮೆತ | ನಮೆ | ಪತಿ 200 | ಪನ ಇಜು |
| ಕಡೆತಿ | ಕಡೆ | ಎಱು | ಉರಿ |
| ತಡ್ಡ | ತಡೆ | නය සා නව | ಎಡಱು |
| ಫುಡ್ಡ | ಪೊ ಡೆ | ಕದೆಯಿ | ಪಡಿಯು ಗದೆಯಿ |
| ಉದ್ಯೆ | ಒದೆ | ಉದಱು | ಒದಲು |
| ಇರ ದ | ಎರೆ | ಳು ಕ್ಷಣ <u>ು</u> | ಒಳದು |
| ಕಿದ | ಕ್ರೆಡೆ | ಕುಯ್ಯ | ಕೊಂು |
| ಪುರ | ಪೊರೆ | | ತೋ ಡು |
| · ෂා ස | ತ್ತೂ ಲು | ತೋನೆ: | |
| ଜଣ | ಅರ್ಥ | ಉದವು | ಒದವು |
| ಮುಗ <u></u> | ವೊಗೆ | ಕಿೞುಕ್ಕು | ಕಿೞುಕು |
| ಕರ | ಕರೆ | ತಾಕ್ಕು | ತಾಗು |
| ವಿಳಂಗು | ಬೆಳಗು | ತೂಕುಕ್ತಿ | ತ್ತಾಗು |
| ಶುರ | ತೂ ರೆ | (New) | ಉೞ್ |
| * | | | • |

| ವಿಯರ' | ಬೆಮ ರ್ | ಕರುಗು | ಕಲ್ಗು |
|--------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| ಉಣರ್ | ಹಣರ್ | ್ರಾಳು ೞು ತೊಡು | ನೂರುಳ್ ತುದು |
| ಪೊನ್ಲು | ಪ್ರೊಂದು | ನೋಲ | ನೋ |
| ಕನ್ | ಕಂದು | ಕಮ್ಮು | ಕೆಮ್ಮು |
| ఎటుత్బ | <i>ఎ</i> ట్స్స | ಶಿರೈ * | ಕೆರೆ |

The same roots have in some cases acquired different meanings in one or other of the three languages. Thus, the root 200 once meant to see or study in all the languages as is indicated by the words for a Brāhman ಪಾರ್ಪಾಕ in Tamil, ಪಾರ್ವಂ in Kannada and ಬಾಡುಡು in Telugu, which mean "one who sees or studies (the Vedas)." In Tamil the original meaning of the root, to see, is still retained; in Kannada it now means to look for, and in Telugu the root has lost the original meaning altogether, though the verbal noun ಪಾರುವ corresponding to the Tamil ಪಾರ್ವೆ means sight or glance. The Tamil root and means to shine, but the corresponding roots ತೆಗಡ್ in Kannada and ತೆಗಡು in Telugu, mean to blame. Again, and in Tamil means to take place, but the corresponding ನೆಗಪ್ in Kannada and ನೆಗಡು in Telugu mean to be famous. ಈ೯ in Kannada and ಈನು in Telugu mean to bring forth, as cattle and other beasts, but in Tamil it is used in connection with human beings also. Further, Telugu possesses two roots, ಅಡಗು and ವಿನು, to express the senses of asking and listening, but Tamil and Kannada have only one root #256 to express both the senses. As an instance of specialisation may be given the distinction made in Tamil with regard to the meaning of the three synonymous roots, ಈ, ತಾ, ಕೊಡು (to give), which is not found in Kannada. Sūtra 448* of the Tamil Grammar Nannul says that of the three roots &, ಶಾ, ಕೊಡು, ಈ is to be used by an inferior to a superior when asking for something, 30 by an equal to an equal, and ಕೊಡು by a superior to an inferior.

^{*} ಈ ತಾ ಕೊಡುವೆನು ಮೂನ್ಟು ಮುಱು _{ತ್ರಿ}ಯೇ ಇಚಿಂದೋನೊಪ್ಪೋ ಮಿಕ್ಕೋನಿರಪ್ಪುರೈ.

The system of conjugation in these languages is Pronominal terminations.

Pronominal terminations.

remarkably simple. In fact, there is only one conjugation, as most of the trivial changes that are observed are merely euphonic, and not of sufficient importance to constitute different conjugations. There are only four moods, namely, the indicative, the imperative, the infinitive and the negative. The similarity of the pronominal terminations in the three languages is shown in the following table:—

| | 1st Person | | 2nd Person | | 3rd Person | | | | |
|---------|------------|----------|----------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Singular | Plural | Singular | Plural | Masculine Singular | Feminine Singular | Neuter Singular | Epicene Plural | Neuter Plural |
| Tamil | ઝ೯ | ఎం పం | ವ ಐ ಆಯ್ಕ | ಇರ್ ಈರ್ | 9E | ಆ ಭ್ | ಅದು ಕು ಆ ತು | ಅರ್ ಆರ್ | ಅನ ಅ |
| Kannaḍa | ಎ೯ | ಎం | ಅಯ್ ಐ | ಐರ್ | ⊕E* | ಅಲ್ | ಉದು ತ್ತು ತು | ಅರ್ | ಉವು |
| Telugu | ನು | ಮು | ಫ | ರು | <i>ೀ</i> ಡು | පඩ | පඨ | ರು | ಅವಿ |

It will be seen that the Tamil and Kannada terminations are nearly identical and that the Telugu terminations show only a slight similarity. Only the shorter forms of the Tamil suffixes are generally used in Old Kannada, but both the forms occur in Modern Kannada, the shorter in the past and future tenses, and the longer, in the present, the only exception being the suffix edger, in the present, the only exception being the suffix edger. The longer suffix as also occurs rarely in Old Kannada poetry, e.g., aspections such as es and edger are very common in ancient Kannada inscriptions, as also the

forms We and Wo which also occur in Tamil. shorter form we or wo for the usual we or wo of the third person masculine singular is also frequently used in Old Kannada poetry. The termination for the first person singular in Kannada is really as, but, as stated before, the final n is changed into a bindu, so that the singular and plural suffixes assume the same form, and we have to find out from the context whether so is singular or plural. The Tamil suffixes for the neuter singular and plural, as given in the table, are east and e, es, e is only a shortened form of $e\pi$, and n of $e\pi$ may be looked upon as a euphanised form of v, so that $ext{that}$ is identical with ಅಪ (the Malayālam neuter plural) or ಅವೆ , the neuter plural. We thus see that Tamil and Telugu use for the neuter suffixes the remote forms ಅದು ಅವೆ and ಅದಿ ಅವಿ, while Kannada uses the intermediate forms ಉಮ ಉವು.

The formation of the past and future tenses is mostly the same in Tamil and Kannada. Not only are the temporal particles the same, namely, t or d for the preterite and v, p or b for the future, but also the changes that these particles undergo.

Preterite: ಶೆಯ್ದೇಕ, ಕೆಯ್ದೆಂ; ಪೆರ್ಹ್ಷೀಕ, ಪೆತ್ತೆಂ; ಕಂಡೇಕ, ಕಂಡಂ; ಮಿಕ್ಟೇಕ ಮಿಕ್ಟಂ.

Future: ಶೆಯ್ಬೇಕ ಕೆಯ್ಬೆಂ; ಇರುಪ್ಟೇಕ, ಇರ್ಪೆಂ; ಕಾರ್ಣ್ಬೀಕ ಕಾರ್ಣೈಂ.

A few of the peculiarities common to both the languages in the formation of the past tense may be noticed here:—

(1) Some verbs form their preterite by the reduplication of their final consonants.

ಕೆಟ್ಡಾ೯ ಕೆಟ್ಲಂ; ಪುರ್ಕಾ೯ ಪೊಕ್ಕಂ; ಪೆಱ್ಹಾ೯ ಪೆತ್ತಂ. But ಕೊಟ್ಟಂ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ೯; ಪೊತ್ತಂ ಪೊಱುತ್ತಾ೯.

(2) Some shorten their initials when the sign of the past tense is affixed.

ವಾ, ವಂದಾ೯, ವಂದರು ಬಾ, ಬಂದಂ, ಬಂದುದು ಕಾಣ್,

ಕಂಡಾ೯; ಕಾಣ್ ಕಂಡಂ; ನೋ, ನೊಂಡದು; ನೋ, ನೊಂದುದು; ವೇ, ವೆಂದದು; ಬೇ, ಬೆಂದುದು.

The roots బి(జా వి(జా and ఆజా ఆజా shorten their initials in Kannada but not in Tamil: బిబ్బం, వి(జానార్జ్; ఆబ్బం, ఆజానార్జ్.

(3) Some change the temporal particle d into d and other letters.

ಉಂಡಾ೯, ಉಂಡಂ; ಕಂಡಾ೯, ಕಂಡಂ; ಕೊಂಡಾ೯, ಕೊಂಡಂ. ಶುಟ್ವಾ೯, ಸುಟ್ಟಂ; ಪುರ್ಕ್ನಾ೯, ಪೊಕ್ಕಂ; ಶೆತ್ತಾ೯, ಸತ್ತಂ.

In ಕೊನ್ನಾಕ, ಕೊಂಡಂ; సిన్నాక, సిందం; జిన్నాక, సందం $_nd$ of the Kannada verbs is, as stated before, the phonetic equivalent of the Tamil nr; in వార్స్, బాల్పం; విట్రం దార్, బిట్టం; కటిందార్, కటిదం—a nasal is inserted in the Tamil verbs; and in కట్మాక్, కల్లం; బ్యూన్మాక్, బ్యూలం the concurrent consonants of the Tamil verbs are euphonically changed according to the phonetic rules of that language, while those of the Kannada verbs undergo no change.

Another temporal particle used for forming the past tense in all the three languages is i, which is followed by d in Kannada and n in Tamil and Telugu. ಪಾಡಿದಂ, ಪಾಡಿನಾಕ, ಪಾಡಿನಾಂಡು. This is the only particle in Telugu, while Tamil and Kannada have the two particles i and d. In wrado i is the sign of the past tense and d which follows, though an old sign of the preterite, is supposed to be used here for preventing hiatus, just like n in Tamil. In classical Tamil occur the verbs ಶೆಯ್ದು and ಶೆಯ್ದುಂ (ಕೆಯ್ಡು and ಕೆಯ್ಡುಂ) as the singular and plural of the first person not only in the past tense but also in the future. There is likewise another form of the past tense ಪಾಡನು in Telugu which does not show the temporal particle i, but the plural ada shows it clearly. In Telugu grammars e also is given as a sign of the preterite.

As stated before, the future tense is mostly alike in Tamil and Kannada. But one or two peculiarities in Tamil may be noted here. Classical Tamil has, as a sign of the future tense, so or no for the first person singular and ಕುಂ or ಗುಂ for the first person plural: ಶಯ್ಯ, Kannada, too, possesses the suffix きい or れいの, which is, however, used not in the first person but in the third person singular and plural of all the three genders. ಅವಂ, ಅವಳ್, ಅದು, ಅವರ್, ಅವು __ ಇರ್ಕುಂ, ನಡೆಗುಂ. has further to be noted that Tamil does not form its third person neuter singular by affixing the temporal particles v, p or b, but has to borrow the agrist future in wo which also serves as the future relative participle. Thus, instead of ವರುವದು it uses the form ವರುಂ. this respect Kannada is more regular since it uses the form ಬರ್ಪುದು or ಬರುವುದು containing the temporal particle In Telugu the future tense is formed not by affixing any temporal particle but by the use of the auxiliary verb ಕಲುಗು: ಕೊಟ್ಟರಗಲನು, I shall strike; ಕೊಟ್ಟರಗ ಲಮ:, we shall strike. There is, however, a peculiar tense in Telugu known as ತದ್ದರ್ಮಮು, corresponding to the present indefinite, which is used to express what is true at all times. This may be taken as another future In this the third person neuter singular is formed by adding the suffix ನು to the root: ಚೀಯುನು, And this form corresponds to the Tamil neuter singular in um—ಶೆಯ್ಯುಂ, ಆಗುಂ.

There does not appear to be a proper present tense in the Dravidian dialects. Formerly Tamil had only the past and future tenses and no present tense. The oldest grammar of the Tamil language, Tolkāppiyam, does not mention it, and it is only the later grammar Nannūl of the 12th century, that gives the suffixes అన్మైన ఉన్న and కటు for the present tense. The suffix in Old Kannada is డావే and in Modern Kannada లుక or లుక్తు. And the suffix in Telugu is లుకు. కోయ్య స్ట్రేష్, కోయి మైక్ కోరు కోటింది.

that language is formed by adding the substantive verb ಉನ್ನಾನು to the present verbal participle ಚೀಯುಚು of the verb verb to do. The same is the case with the Aryan vernaculars of the North. The Bengali present tense ಕರಿತೇಧ is made up of the present participle ಕರಿತೇ and the The Kannada suffixes are quite substantive verb ఆధి. different from those of Tamil, but the Modern Kannada suffix ಉತೆ is similar to the Telugu suffix ಉತ್ತು the colloquial form of which is ಉತು. The form ಗೆಯ್ಯುತ್ತೇನೆ of Modern Kannada is a corruption of గేయు థోను which is even now heard in some parts of the Kannada country, and ಗೆಯ್ಯುಥೇನು is made up of the present verbal participle ಗೆಯ್ಯುತ or ಗೆಯ್ಯುತ and the substantive verb ಇಹನು, exactly like the Telugu form. Similarly the correct forms for the third person singular, masculine and feminine, are ಗೆಯ್ಯುಥಾನು and ಗೆಯ್ಯುಥಾಳು which stand for ಗೆಯ್ಯುತ + ಅಹರು and ಗೆಯ್ಯುತ + ಅಹಳು. lnmanner the Old Kannada form ಇರ್ಥಪೆ is corrupted into ಇದ್ದೇನು which is compounded of the past verbal participle ಇದ್ದು and the verb ಅಹೆತು. Similarly ಗೆಯ್ಡಪೆಂ = ಗೆಯ್ಡು + ಅಹೆಂ, so that the temporal particle has to be taken as ಅಪ or ಅಪ್ಪ and not as ದಪ್ಪ as given in the grammars. We thus see that the present tense in Kannada is formed by annexing the verbs and or end (end o) to the present and past verbal participles: ಇರ.ಥೇನು (ಇರುತಿಹೆಂ or ಇರುತಹೆಂ), ಇದ್ದೇನು (ಇರ್ದಹಂ). In ಕೂಡಿದವೆಂ d is used to prevent hiatus subsequently added either as a mark of emphasis or as a help to enunciation. More probably it was used as a mark of distinction between the present and the contingent forms. This e is not found in Tamil and Telugu. In Tamil the present tense is very seldom seen in early poetical works and has never been found in old inscriptions, thus showing that it is of recent origin. probably accounts for the unusual fact that Tamil and Kannada which agree so closely in the formation of the past and future tenses differ so widely in the formation of the present tense. The so-called present tense in these languages is really an imperfect tense. It may also be added here that unlike Tamil, Kannada and Telugu possess a contingent future: ප්‍යිණාත්‍ය ප්‍යණාත්‍ය ප්

The negative mood or voice forms, as stated before, one of the distinctive features of The negative mood. the Dravidian family of langua-Tamil and Kannada are in perfect agreement in the formation of this mood, both of them annexing the pronominal suffixes directly to the verbal theme: ಕೂಡೇ೯ ಕುಡೆಂ; ಶೆಯ್ಗೇ೯ ಕೆಯ್ಗೆಂ; ವಾರಾ೯ ಬಾರಂ; ಶೆಯ್ಗಾದು ಕೆಯ್ನದು. In Telugu, however, a, the negative particle, is inserted between the root and the termination. ಚೀಯನು ಚೇಯಮು; ಚೇಯವು ಚೀಯರು; ಚೀಯುಡು ಚೀಯರು. The same is the case in the other languages also as is evidenced by the forms ಆಹುಸಾದೇ ಆಹುಸುದ; ಆಹುಸಾದ ಆಹುದುದ, corresponding to the Telugu forms ಚ್ರೆಯಕ ಚ್ರೆಯು, only the negative particle is a in Kannada as in Telugu, and \bar{a} in Tamil. in very early Kannada the particle \tilde{a} , too, occurs as in Tamil, e.g., ತಪ್ಪಾದೆ. The particles are also clearly seen in the negative abstract nouns ಶೆಯ್ಯಾಮೈ ಕೆಯ್ಯವು ಚೇಯಮಿ. The negative particle a may probably be a contraction of al and in this form it occurs in the imperative mood in Old Tamil and Kannada poetry : ಪಯನಿರ್ ಶೊಕ್ಕಾರಾಟ್ನು ವಾನೈ ಮಗನೆನಲ್, ಶೆಯ್ಯಕ್ಕಿ, ಶೆಯ್ಯನ್ಮಿಕ; ಬನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪುಗಲ್ ಪುಗಲೆಂಬ ಗಂಡುಗೋಗಿರೆ, ಬಗೆಯರ್, ಬಗೆಯಲಂ. Al does not occur in Telugu: ಚೇಯಕುಮು. In poetical Tamil ಶೆಯ್ಯಾ is used for ಶೆಯ್ಯಾದು. Similarly ಆಗ or ಅಗಾ is used for ಆಗದು in Kannada.

The causative particle used in Tamil is v which euphonically assumes the shapes of p and b also. P also occurs as a causative particle in Kannada and Telugu: అటిపి కిడెప్టి కాంగ్లో; కేళిపి తిళిపు కేలువు; తిరువు తిరువు త్రిమ్మే, అటిపు కేడవు కాంబ్లు

ಮಡಿಪು ಇಡಿಪು; ಚೊಪು ಮೇಪು ರೇಪು ಉಡುಪು ನೇರುಪು. Besides p, Kannada has in common with Telugu the suffix chu, and also isu the corresponding Telugu suffix being inchu: ಪೋರ್ಟ್, ಆಲಲ್ಟು, ಸಾರ್ಚು; ತೇಲುಚು ಪೂನುಚು, ಕುದುರುಚು; ಕಟ್ಟಿಸು ಕಟ್ಟಿಂಚು; ಮಾಣಿಸು ಮಾನಿಂಚು; ಕರಗಿನು ಕರಣಿಂಚು; ಗುಣಿಸು ಗುಣಿಯಿಸು ಗುಣಿಂಡು ಗುಣಿಯಿಂಡು. The suffix chu also occurs in Modern Tamil—ಪಾಯುಚ್ಚು.

I may add here that in Tamil intransitive verbs become transitive by the doubling and hardening of the consonant of the formative or tense sign: ಪೆರುಗು ಪೆರುಕ್ಕು; ವಾಡು ವಾಟ್ಟು; ತಿರುಂದು ತಿರುತ್ತು; ಶೇರ್ಗಿಯೇ೯ ಶೇರ್ಕ್ಲಿಯೇ೯.

The formation of appellative verbs is similar in all the three languages, only Telugu has not a proper third person singular except the neuter singular: ಶೇವಗನೇಕ ಸೇವಕನೆಕ ಸೇವಕುಂಡನು; ಶೇವಗನಯ್ ಸೇವಕನಯ್ ಸೇವಕುಂಡನು. The plural forms are regular in Tamil, plurality being expressed by the personal termination alone; but in Kannada and Telugu the noun, too, is pluralised. ಶೇವಗನೇಂ ಸೇವಕರವು ಸೇವಕುಲಮು. Kannada has two plural forms when pronouns and adjectives are used as appellative verbs: ಹಿರಿಯುವ ಹಿರಿಯುರೆವು; ಪೆಹುವು ಪೆಹರೆವು; ಹಿರಿಯುರಿರ್.

All the three languages agree in using the verbal theme or root as the second person The imperative. singular in the imperative mood: ಶಯ್ ಕೆಯ್ ಚೇಯು: ಕೊಳ್ ಕೊಳ್ ಕೂನು: ಪಾಡು ಪಾಡು ಪಾಡು. In Telugu, however, the suffix mu is generally added to ಚೇಯುಮು ಪಾಡುಮು ಪಿಲುವುಮು ರಕ್ಷಿಂಪ್ರಮು. the root: Tamil and Kannada the suffix a is added to the root when it is intended to express wish or entreaty rather than command: ನೀ ಎಳಂಗ, ಪೊಲಯ: ನೀಂ ಕೇಳ, ನೋಡ. Telugu the suffix mu becomes ma: ಹೇಯುಮ ಪೋಷಿಂಪುಮ. The second person plural suffix is we in Tamil, 20 in Kannada and cas in Telugu: ಶೆಯ್ನಿಕ ಕೆಯ್ಯಂ ಜೇಯುಂಡು If, as some scholars suppose, the m of the Tamil suffix min is euphonic, then the identity of the Tamil and Kannada

Modern Tamil uses um in place suffixes becomes clear. Modern Kannada either omits ಪಾಡುಂ ವಾಟುಂ. of min:the m of the suffix im, or adds the suffix iri which corresponds to the Tamil suffix $\bar{\imath}r$, the final i being ಕೇಳಿ ನೋಡಿ: ಕೇಳೀರ್ ಕೇಳಿರಿ. merely enunciative: forms used for the negative are also nearly identical in Tamil and Kannada, but different in Telugu: ಕೆಯ್ಯಲಂ ಚೇಯಕುಡು. The first person plural suffix in Kannada is am and in Telugu dam: ಕೆಯ್ಯಂ ಚೇಯುದಮು. If d is considered euphonic, the suffixes become the same in both languages. The verbal noun with the suffix eat and its equivalents is used as an imperative in all the three languages: ಪ್ರೂವದು ಪ್ರೋಪುದು ಪ್ರೋವುನದಿ. In Tamil al is both the affirmative and negative suffix in the second person singular, but in Kannada only the negative suffix : ಪಯನಿಲ್ ಶೊಹ್ನಾರಾಟ್ಡು ವಾನೈ ಮಗನೆನಲ್ | ಮಕ್ಕಟ್ಟ ದಡಿಯೆನಲ್; ಪುಗರ್. The suffix for the third person singular and plural is ke or ge in Kannada and ka in Tamil: ಕಿಡುಗೆ ಕೆಡುಗ; ಬಾದ್ಗೆ ವಾಟ್ಗ; ರಕ್ಷಿಕ್ಕೆ ಇರಕ್ಷಿಕ್ಕ. Modern Kannada has also the forms ಪ್ರೋಗ ಆಗ. The suffixes in Telugu are quite different: ಎರು೯ and ತ೯. ಪ್ರೋಷಿಂಚೆಡು೯ ಪ್ರೋಷಿಂಚುತ೯. ವೇಲುಗಲಿಗೆಡು೯ ಮೇಲುಗಲುಗುತ೯.

The infinitive suffix common to the three languages is a. Žal, žal, žal, žal. Sometimes datives of verbal nouns in voi in Tamil and Kannada and in voi in Telugu are used as infinitives: ಕಾಣಕ್ಕು ಕನಟಕು; ಶಿಮ್ಯಕ್ಕು ಕೆಮ್ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚೇಡು ಆಕು. In Kannada infinitives end in al also: ಜೀಡರ್ ಕೊಲ್ಲರ್ ಕೆಮ್ಯರ್. These forms are identical with the Tamil verbal nouns ವೇಂಡರ್ ಕೊಲ್ಲರ್ ಶಮ್ಯರ್. They are not, however, used as verbal nouns in Kannada at present, though there seems to be a great probability of their having been so used at one time as the following examples seem to indicate: ಕೆಮ್ಯರಾಯ್ತು ಶೆಮ್ಯರಾಯುಕ್ತು ಕೊಡಲಾದು ಕೂಡಲಾದು; ಕೆಮ್ಯರ್ಫ್ಫ್ ಪ್ರಾಂಡ್ ಪ್ರಾಂಡ್

ಕೂಡದು, ತರವೇಶ್ we have to suppose that l is omitted. Similarly in ಚೇಯುಗೂಡದು, ಚೀಯುರಾದು, ಚೀಯುವರೆನು the suffix ಉಟ may be supposed to be omitted. In ಮಾಡಲ್ಫೋದಂ, ಕೇಳಲ್ಬಂದಂ the dative suffix ke is understood.

As stated before, the use of the relative participle is a characteristic feature of the Dravidian languages. The relative participle is a participial adjective invariably followed by a noun. It very well answers the purpose of the relative pronoun which the Dravidian languages do not possess. The suffix most largely used in the three languages in the formation of this participle is a, which is invariably annexed to the temporal particles: కేయ్మ కేయ్మ జీస్టిన్, నడంద నడిద నడటనే, బాదిన బాదిన బాదిన బాదిన ప్రాంటి ప్రాంటిన ప్రాంటి ప్రాంటిన ప్

In the formation of the preterite relative participle appear the same peculiarities as were noticed in the formation of the past tense In Kannada and Telugu there is no proper present relative participle; what is used as such is formed by the addition of the substantive verb, e.g., ಪಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ (and not ಪಾಡಿದಪ), ಪಾಡುಚುನ್ನ. Tamil has the forms ಪಾಡಾಸಿನ್ಞ, ಪಾಡುಗಿನ್ಞ, ಪಾಡುಗಿಅ has now no future relative participle corresponding to the Kannada one in a, war word though instances of such participles occur in Old Tamil poetry : కున్లి మండ్ర ವರುವಪೋಲ್ ಕಲುರ್ಟ ಮೇಲ್ ಮಂದು ತೋತ್ತಿನಾಕ ($Kamba ext{-}Rar{a}mar{a} ext{-}$ yanam, Bālakāndam). The existence in Tamil of a future relative participle in a is also indicated by forms such as ಪ್ರೋವದು, ಕಾಣ್ಯವಕ, etc. The form now used instead is the agrist future in um, ಕೆಯ್ಯುಂ, ಪಾಡಾಂ, ಕೊಲ್ಲಾಂ, without any temporal suffix. Besides the regular form, Kannada makes use of this form also: ಪಾಡುಂ ಕುಯರ್ ಏಕುಂಜವ್ವನಂ, ತೂಗುಂಡೊಟ್ಟರ್, ಆಡುಂಬೊಲಂ, ಪಾಡುಂಗೋಗಿರೆ. ಕುತ್ತುಂಗಳು, ಇಟಯುಂದೊಟ್ಟು. Again, both the languages omit the suffix um and use the root alone in combination: ಶುಡುಗಾಡು ಸುಡುಗಾಡು; ಅಡುಗೂಡ್ ಅಡುಗೂಡ್; ಕೊರ್ಯಾನೈ.

ಬಹುಗಣ್, ಬಹುವಾಯ್. In Telugu, too, there is no regular future relative participle, this participle being formed in that language by the addition of ಕಲ from the root ಕಲುಗು: ಹೇಯುಂಗಲ ಕೊಟ್ಟಂಗಲ. There are also the suffixes ಎಡು and ಎಡಿ added to the root to express the same idea: ಹೇಯೆಡು ಹೇಯೆಡು; ಕೊಟ್ಟೆಡು ಕೊಟ್ಟೆಡಿ. Further, the root alone is also used in combination as in Tamil and Kannada: ಜದುವು ಪಾಠಮು, ಜೇಯುಪನಿ. The negative relative participle in Telugu ends in ni unlike those of Tamil and Kannada which end in a. The particle of negation is a in Tamil and a in Kannada and Telugu: ಶಯ್ಯಾದ ಕೆಯ್ಯದ ಜೇಯುನ; ಪಾಡಾದ ಪಾಡದ ಪಾಡದಿ ಪಾಡನಿ.

The formation of the preterite verbal participle is exactly the same in Tamil and The verbal parti-The suffixes used are Kannada. ciple. u and i, of which u is always annexed to the temporal particle, while i is annexed directly to the verbal theme : ವಾಹ್ನು ಬಾಬ್ಸು; ಕಂಡು ಕಂಡು; ಕಱ್ಣು ಕಲ್ತು; ಶೆಯ್ದು ಕೆಯ್ದು; ಆಡಿ ಆಡಿ; ವೇಂಡಿ ಬೇಡಿ; ತಿರುಪ್ಪಿ ತಿರುಪಿ. Telugu uses only one suffix i : ಪಾಡಿ, ಕೊಟ್ಟ, ಮಹಚಿ, ಪ್ರೇಷಿಂಚಿ. Telugu agrees with Kannada in having a present participle which is not found in Tamil, as also in not having a future participle which is found in Tamil. The present participle is formed in Kannada by the addition of wid or wid and wideo or wideo, and in Telugu by the addition of ಉಚು: ಪಾಡುತೆ ಪಾಡುತ್ತ; ಪಾಡುತುಂ ಪಾಡುತ್ತುಂ ಪಾಡುಚು. ತಿನುತುಂ ತಿನುಚು. The negative verbal participle ends in to in Tamil, in to in Kannada and in sin Telugu, the particle of negation being, as in the negative relative participle, e in Tamil and e in Kannada and Telugu: ತಪ್ಪಾದು ತಪ್ಪದೆ ತಪ್ಪಕ; ಶೆಯ್ಯಾದು ಕೆಯ್ನವೆ ಹೇಯಕ. In ancient Kannada the Tamil form ತಪ್ರಾದೆ with a long a occurs.

The passive voice is rarely used in the Dravidian languages. It has no special suffix and the passive significa-

tion is expressed by auxiliary verbs such as ಪಡು signifying "to suffer ": ಕಟ್ಟಪ್ಪಟ್ಟದು ಕಟ್ಟಲ್ ಪಟ್ಟುದು ಕಟ್ಟಬಡೆನು. There are several ways in which an active verb is used to express a passive sense: ಉಡ್ಮೆಂದದು ಒಡೆಯಿತು ಎಂಬಗೆನು (it was broken); ಎಂಬದಿಸಪುತ್ತಗಂ ಬರೆದ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಕಂ ವ್ರಾಸಿಸ ಪುಸ್ತಕಮು (a written book); ಶಿಯ್ಯಲಾಯುಕ್ರು ಕೆಯ್ಯಲಾಯ್ತು ಚೇಯುಟ ಅಯನದಿ (it was done); ಅಡಿಯುಂಡಾಕ ಒಟುತಿಂದನು ದಬ್ಬದಿನೆನು (he was beaten).

As stated before, Malayālam has dropped the personal terminations and uses the verbal participle as a finite verb: హాంకాలడికు (అడిక్క), I beat; ని అడికు, you beat; అవక అడికు he beat.

Telugu does not possess, like Tamil and Kannada, distinct suffixes for denoting different genders, numbers and persons. It uses, as has already been stated, the neuter suffix for the third person feminine singular. Again in the present, future and indefinite tenses the forms for the second and third person plurals are the same—ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಮನ್ನಾರು, ಕೊಟ್ಟರಗುರು, ಕೊಟ್ಟುದುರು, ಕೊಟ್ಟೆದರು; in the past and indefinite tenses the forms for the third person singular of the three genders and the third person neuter plural are the same—he, she, it, they ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದು, ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದುನು, ಕೂಟ್ಟಿದುನು, ಕೂಟಿದುನು, ಕೂಟಿದು

INDECLINABLES.

Some of the indeclinables are either identical or similar in form in all the three languages or any two of them. The conjunctive um, the emphatic \bar{e} , the interrogative \bar{e} and \bar{o} , the particles of doubt \bar{a} and \bar{o} , and the particle of similarity $p\bar{o}l$ are the same in Tamil and Kannada. The emphatic \bar{e} , the interrogative \bar{o} and the particle of doubt \bar{a} are also found in Telugu. The conjunctive particle in Telugu is nu or yu.

ಟಹೋ, ಆ are common to the three languages. Some similar forms found in Tamil and Kannada are:

ಮುಕ್ಷು ಮತ್ತು, ಇನಿ ಇಂ, ಮು೯ ಮುಂ, ಪಿ೯ ಪಿಂ, ಮಿಗೆ ಮಿಗೆ, ತವ ತಪ್ಪ ಶಾಲ ನರೆ, ಪೆಹುಮನೈ ಬಹುದೆ, ಉಹು ಉಟ್ಟು ಪೇಕು ಬೇಕು, ಶುಮ್ಮಾ ಸುಮ್ಮನೆ, ಉರ್ಡ ಒಡನೆ, ಅಷ್ಟು ಅಂದು, ಇಷ್ಟು ಇಂದು, ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಂದು, ನಾಳೈ ನಾಳೆ, ಎಷ್ಟಲೋಡುಂ ಎನಲೊಡಂ, ಪರುವದುಂ ಬರ್ಪುದುಂ, ತನೈಯುಂ ತನಕ್ಕ ಪಡಪಡೆನ ಪಬಪಬನೆ.

A few found in Kannada and Telugu are:

ಮೊನ್ನೆ ಮೊನ್ನ, ಅಕ್ಕಟ ಅಕ್ಕಟ, ತಟಕ್ಕನೆ ತಟಕ್ಕುನ, ಕಬ್ಕನೆ ಗ್ರಕ್ಕುನ, ಇಗೋ ಇಗೋ, ಮತ್ತು ಮಱು.

A few similar forms found in the three languages are: ನೆನ ಲ್ ನಿನ್ನೆ ನಿನ್ನ ; ನಾಳ್ಯೆನಿಕ್ಷು ನಾಳಿದ್ದು ಎಲ್ಲುಂಡಿ ; ಯಾದಾನುಂ (ಯಾದಾಯನಂ) ಏನಾನುಂ ಏಮಯನ.

FORMATION OF WORDS.

Simple words are formed from verbal or nominal roots by the addition of suffixes.

Verbal nouns. Verbal nouns are formed either by adding certain suffixes to the verbal theme or by some internal change in the root itself such as the lengthening of the root vowel, etc. In some cases, however, the verbal noun is identical with the root itself. Some suffixes are similar in the three languages and some in two, while others are peculiar to each language:

ತಲ್ ತ ತ__ಶೆಯ್ದಲ್ ಕೆಯ್ತ ಚೇಂತ; ಅಲೈದರ್ ಆಲೆತ ಅಲಂತ. ಕೈ ಕೆ ಕ__ಪಡುಕ್ಕೈ ಪಡ್ಕೆ ಪಡುಕ; ಅಮರಿಕೈ ಅಮರ್ಕೆ ಅಮರಿಕ; ಅಂಜಿಕೈ ಅಂಜಿಕೆ ಅಂಜಿಕ. ವಡಿಕ್ಕೈ ವಡಿಕೆ ವಡಿಕ__ನಡವಡಿಕ್ಕೆ ನಡವಡಿಕೆ ನಡವಡಿಕ. ಪು ಪು ಮ__ಶಾಪು ಸಾಪು ಚಾಪು. ವಡಿ ವಳಿ ಪಡಿ__ನಡವಡಿ ನಡೆವಳಿ ನಡವಡಿ. (Negative) ಅಮ್ಯ ಅಮೆ ಅಮಿ__ ಕೆರ್ನಾ ನೆ ಸೆಂಡು ಬಿ

ಶೆಯ್ಯಾಮ್ಮೆ ಕಯ್ಯಮೆ ಚೇಯವಿು.

Suffixes which are similar in Tamil and Kannada.

ಉಳ್—ವಿಕ್ಕುಳ್ ಬಿಕ್ಕುಳ್, ಫ್ರ—ಅಡಿಪು ಅಡಿಪು, ಅಡುಪು ಅಡುಪು; ಅಪು— ಕಳಪು ಕಳಪು, ವರಪು ಬರವು, ಶೆಲಪು ಸಲಪು ; ಅಂ—ಅಡಿಂ ಅಡಿಂ, ನಡುಕ್ಕಂ ನಡುಕಂ, ಅಟ್ಟಂ ಅಡಿಂ, ಕೂಟ್ಡಂ ಕೂಟಂ ; ಐ ಎ—ಕೊಲೈ ಕೊರೆ, ನಗ್ಗೆ ನಗೆ, ಕೊಡೈ ಕೊಡೆ ; ಪುಪು ೦೫ ಪಿ—ಕಾಪ್ಪು ಕಾಪು, ನಿನೈಪ್ಪು ನೆನಪು,

ನೋನ್ಬು ನೋಂಪಿ; ವಿ ವೆ or ಪಿ—ಮುಅವಿ ಮಅವೆ, ಕೇಳ್ಬಿ ಕೇಳ್ಬಿ, ಕಲ್ಪ ಕಲ್ಪ ; ಚಿ ತೆ—ಪುಗಟ್ಟಿ ಪೊಗದ್ತೆ, ನಿಗಟ್ಟಿ ನೆಗಕ್ತ.

Suffixes which are similar in Kannada and Telugu.

ವೆ ೩೩ — ತಾಳ್ಮೆ ತಾಲಮಿ; ಗಡೆ ಗಡ—ತೇಕುಗಣಿ ತೇಕುಗಡ, ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ವಿಡುಗಡೆ; ವು—ತಡವು ತಡವು; ವಳಿ ಬಡಿ— ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಸಾಗುಬಡಿ; ಪ-ತಿರಿಪಂ ತಿರಿಪಮು

Suffixes peculiar to Tamil.

ಪೈ....ಪಾರ್ಪೈ; ಕು....ಪೋಕ್ಕು; ದಿ...ಮಱದಿ; ಚಿ....ಪೂಜರ್ಚ್ವೀ; ಕಾಡುಶಾಕ್ತಾಡು.

Suffixes peculiar to Kannaga.

ಇನು—ಉಣಿನು; ಗುಳ್—ಬಯ್ಗುಳ್; ಪ—ಒರೆಪಂ; ವಿ—ಬ೯ವಿ; ಹು—ಬಾಹು.

Suffixes peculiar to Telugu.

ಉಟ— ಚೇಯುಟ; ಪು—ಪಡುಪ; ಕಿ—ಕೋರಿಕಿ; ಅ—ಎಂಡ; ಅಕ— ಅಮ್ಮ ಕಮು; ಡು—ದಿಗುಡು ಆಮ್ಮುಡು.

In all the three languages a large number of verbal nouns is formed by internal change such as the lengthening of the root vowel, etc.

ಕೇಡು ಕೇಡು ಜೇಟು; ಪಾಡು ಪಾಡು ಪಾಟು; ಪಾಟ್ನು ಹಾಡು ಪಾಟ: ಮುಬುಕ್ಕು ಮುಬುಕು ಮುನುಕ; ಕೋರ್ ಕೋರ್; ತೂಡು ನೂಡು; ವೀಡು ಬೀಡು. ಎಬುತ್ತು, ಮಿಾ೯. ಕೋಡು, ಏಸು. ಆಟು (from ಅಡುಡು), ಪೋಟು (from ಪೊಡುಚು).

Some verbal nouns in these languages are, as stated before, of the same form as the verbal theme itself.

ಕಟ್ಟು, ತಪ್ಪು; ದಾಂಡು ದಾಂಟು ದಾಂಟು; ಶೊಲ್, ಮುಱ್ಕು ತಡೆ, ನುಡಿ; ತಿಟ್ಟು ಮೆಚ್ಚು.

In Tamil and Kannada participial nouns are formed by affixing either demonstrative pronouns or pronominal terminations to the relative participles ending in a.

ವಂದಾಕ ಪಂದೋಕ ವಂದವಕ, ಬಂದಂ ಬಂದೊಂ ಬಂದವು; ಪಂದಾಳ್ ವಂದವಳ್, ಬಂದಳ್ ಬಂದವಳ್; ಪಂದಾರ್ ವಂದವರ್, ಬಂದರ್ ಬಂದವರ್; ಪಂದಾರ್ ವರುವಾಕ ವರುಬರ್ವ, ಬಂದವರ್; ವರುವಾಕ ವರುಬರ್ವ, ಬರ್ಪಂ ಬರ್ಪವಂ; ಪರುವಾಳ್ ವರುಬರ್ಳ, ಬರ್ಪಳ್ ಬರ್ಪವಳ್; ಪರುವಾರ್ ಪರುಬರ್, ಬರ್ಪರ್ ಬರ್ಪವಳ್; ಪರುವಾರ್ ಪರುಬರ್, ಬರ್ಪರ್ ಬರ್ಪವಳ್; ಪರುವಾರ್ ಪರುಬರ್ತ, ಬರ್ಪರ್ ಬರ್ಪವರ್; ಪರುವದು ಬರ್ಪುದು, ವರುಬರ್ವು ಬರ್ಫು ಪ್ರಾರಾರ್ಥ ವಾರಾದರ್ವ, ಬಾರದಂ ಬಾರದವಂ; etc.

In Telugu demonstrative pronouns alone are added.

ಚೇಸಿನವಾಂಡು, ಚೇಯುಗಲವಾಂಡು: ಚೇಸಿನದಿ, ಚೇಯುಗಲದಿ, etc.

Tamil has participial nouns of the present tense also: ವರುಗ್ಲಿನ್ ವರುಗ್ಲಿನ್ ವರುಗ್ಲಿನ್ ಕ್ರಕ್ತ etc.

But in Old Kannada there is no present participial noun according to Kēsirāja (Sabdamanidarpaņa, sūtra 73), though Bhattākalanka allows it (Sabdānušāsana, sūtra 447) ಬಂದವನಂ, etc. In Modern Kannada, however, as in Telugu, the present participial noun is formed from the substantive verb added to the present verbal participle: ಪಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವಂ, ಪಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವವಂ, ಪಾಡುಚುನ್ನ ವಾಂಡು, etc. The neuter participial noun is used in three senses—as the third person neuter of the verb, as a neuter relative participial noun and as a verbal participial noun. ಮಾಡುವುದು or ಶೆಯ್ಗಿ ಅದು means 'it does,' or 'that which does,' or 'the doing' or 'to do.' The participial noun formed from the future is very common as a verbal Tamil possesses abstract relative noun in Kannada. participial nouns of the present and past tenses as well as participial nouns of the first and second persons, which are not found in Kannada and Telugu · ವರುಗಿ ಸ್ಥವ್ಯು, ವಂದಮ್ಮೆ; ವಂದೇನೈ, ವಂದಾಯ್ಮೆ, etc.

A few of the suffixes used in the formation of abstract nouns are common to all the three languages, while others are special to each or any two of them. The common suffixes are 33, and 330. They are generally added to the crude form of the adjectives of quality:

ಹೆರುಮೈ ಹೆರ್ಮೆ ಹೇರಿಮ; ಮೇನೈ ಹೇಲೈ ಮೇಲಮಿ; ನನ್ನೈ ನರೈ, ವನ್ನೈ ಬರೈ, ಪಶುಮೈ ಪನುಮ; ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಕೆಂಪು ಕೆಂಪು; ಹೆಳುಪ್ಪು ಹೆಳ್ಳು ತೆಲುಪು; ಇನಿಪ್ಪು ಇಂಪು; ಕುಪ್ಪು ಕರ್ಪು; ಹೆರಿಯತನಂ ಹಿರಿಯ ತನಂ ಗೊಪ್ಪತನಮು; ಕಲತನಂ ಕಲತನಮು; ಅಗ್ಗಳಿಕೆ ಅಗ್ಗಲಕ.

Of the special suffixes may be mentioned ಗು, ಅಂ in Tamil ನನ್ನು, ನಲಂ; ಱಕ, ನ in Telugu ಚಿನ್ನ ಜಾಕಮು, ನಲ್ಲನ; and ಉ in Kannada ಕಿವುಡು, ಗುಜ್ಜು.

Derivative nouns are formed in these languages by adding what are known as taddhita suffixes to nominal themes. Very few suffixes are common to the three languages and even of these the meaning is not always quite the same:

ಗುಣವಾ೯ ಗುಣವಂತಂ ಗುಣವಂತುಂಡು; ಗುಣಮುಳ್ಳಾ೯ ಗುಣಮುಳ್ಳಂ; ಹೆರುಮೈಯುಡೈಯಾ೯ ಹೆರ್ಮೆಯೊಡೆಯಂ; ಅಟಮಟಿಗೆ ಅಟಮಟೀಂಡು, ಮಾವಟಿಗೆ ಮಾವಟೀಂಡು; ಪಣಕ್ಕಾರ೯ ಹಣಗಾಅಂ; ಆಟಗಾಱ ಆಟಕಾಂಡು; ತಿಂಡಿಪೋತ ತಿಂಡಿಪೋತು; ಮುಕ್ಕಿಂಡಿ ಮೂಕೊಂಯೆ; ಕುಹ್ರವಾಳಿ; ಮಡಿವಳ, ಕಲ್ಲಾಯ್ತ, ಅಲರಿಚ, ಲಂಚಂಗುಳಿ; ವಗಲಾಂಡು, ಕೊಂಡೆಕಾಂಡು, ಚಿಹುತುಕ; ಪಾಂಬಾಟ್ಟಿ ಪಾವಡಿಗಂ ಪಾಮುಲವಾಂಡು.

Under the heading euphonic combination (p. 60) a Compound nouns. few compound nouns which are similar in two or three of the Dravidian languages of Southern India have already been given. A few more may be added here:

ಶೆಂದಾಮರೈ ಕೆಂದಾವರೆ ಕಂದಾಮರ; ಶೆಂಗಣ್ಣ ೯ ಕೆಂಗಣ್ಣಂ; ಶಾರೈ ಪ್ಟಾಂಬು ಕೇರೆಹಾವು; ಪೆರಿಯಮಗ೯ ಹಿರಿಯಮಗಂ; ಕರುಂಗುದಿರೈ ಕರ್ಗು ದುರೆ; ಅರಮನೈ ಅರಮನೆ; ಮಲ್ಲಗೈನನ್ಯೆ ಮಲ್ಲಗೆನನೆ; ಇಡಿಯುಂಪೊಹುದು ಇಡಿಯುಂಬೊಬ್ತು; ಮಾಂಗಾಯ್ ಮಾಂಗಾಯ್; ಕೆಟಿನೀರ್ ಜೆಡುವುನೀರು; ಮೇಂಗಾರ್ ಮಾಂಗಾರು; ಪೆಡದರೆ ಪೆಡತಲ; ಮೂಜಗಂಗಳ್ ಮುಜ್ಜಗ ಮುಲು; ಬೆಳ್ಗುದುರೆ ತೆಲ್ಲಗುಔಮು; ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಂ ಮುಕ್ಕಂಟ; ಹಾಸಿರಗಣ್ಣಂ ಪೇಗಂಟ; ಚಿಗುರುದುಟಿ ಚಿಗುರುಮೋವಿ; ಕೈದಾವರೆ ಕೇಲುದಮ್ಮಿ; ತೆಂದೆ ರಲ್ ತೆಮ್ಮೆರ.

In Tamil there is a peculiar class of elliptical compounds known as అన్నే చేకింగే in which certain words are to be understood which is not usual in the other languages. Compounds like మల్లడి మెల్లడి, మెలెయో మెలెయో, మీటి నినిమాలో మేలో మెలెయో, మీటి నినిమాలో మేలో మెలెయో, మీటి నినిమాలో మేలో మెలెయో, మీటి నినిమాలో మేలో మెలెయ్లు కార్యాలు అక్కార్లు మాలెయ్లు మాలెయ్లు మెలెయ్లు మెల్యాలు మెలెయ్లు మెల్యాలు మెలెయ్లు మ

CHAPTER IV

Vocabulary. Dēšya. Tatsama and Tadbhava. Tatbhava from Prākṛit and Tamil. Anyadēšya. Portuguese, Persian, Maharāṭhi, Arabic, Hindustāni, English. Sanskrit Avyayas. Northern and Southern Schools in Kannaḍa. R. Raghunatha Rao's Plea of Telugu influence. Controversy with him on three suggested points. Stages of the Kannaḍa Language. Primitive Old Kannaḍa. Halagannaḍa or Old Kannaḍa. Mediæval Kannaḍa. Modern Kannaḍa. Forms once condemned have become current. Explanation of Kēširāja's 60th Sūtra.

VOCABULARY.

The vocabularies of Tamil, Kannada and Telugu contain chiefly four classes of words, namely, tatsama, pure Sanskrit words; tadbhava, Sanskrit words changed to suit the languages; $d\bar{e}\hat{s}ya$, indigenous words; and anyadēšya, foreign words. The dēšya class alone represents the pure essential part of these languages as distinguished from what has been imported from Sanskrit or other sources. A large number of words in the three languages is almost identical, but many are often so disguised by peculiarities of inflection and dialectic changes that not one entire sentence in one of the languages is intelligible to those who are acquainted with only one of the other two. If a Tamil, a Telugu, a Kannadiga and a Malayāli happen to travel together in a Railway train, the speech of one will not be understood by any of the other three. They will have to make gestures to make themselves mutually intelligible. To illustrate this, a funny sentence is given, namely, ಈ ಮೂಟ ಅಟ್ಟ ಪಡಿಯುಣ್ಣಿ, said to have been spoken by a Telugu man, a stranger, on entering into a Tamil man's house. The meaning of the sentence in Telugu is "Let this bundle lie over there." The Tamil man interpreted it thus: 'Flies, bugs, leeches and a measure 108

of ticks'—and thinking that the bundle contained all this, threw it away at once to the surprise and consternation of the stranger. And yet the ancestors of these people speaking the four languages, most probably spoke one and the same language.

As we have already dealt in a way with verbal roots, adjectives and numerals which are either identical or similar in the three languages, we may now confine our attention to nouns only. It may be stated that the number of Kannada nouns which are identical with those in Tamil are far greater than those in Telugu. The same remark also applies to Kannada nouns whose identity is disguised by dialectic and other changes. Below are given some examples of nouns which are common either to all the three languages or to only any two of them, as well as of those which have undergone change in one or two languages:

Examples of nouns common to Tamil, Kannada

and Telugu:-

ಅಚ್ಚು, ಅಡ್ಡಿ, ಅಂಬು, ಅವೆಗಡಂ, ಅಪರಂಜಿ, ಉಪ್ಪು, ಕತ್ತಿ, ಕಪ್ಪಂ, ಕುಚ್ಚು, ಗಂಜಿ, ಚಟ್ಟಂ, ತೆಪ್ಪಂ, ತುಂಡು, ತಗಡು, ತಗರು, ತೀರ್ಪು, ತೊಟ್ಟಿ, ದಂಡು, ದಿಡ್ಡಿ, ನೂಱು, ನಾಡು, ಪುರುಡು, ಮಟ್ಟಂ, ಪಲ್ಲಿ, ಬೊಟ್ಟು, ಮಟ್ಟಂ, ಮೂರೇವಿ

Examples of Tamil nouns and those corresponding to them in Kannada and Telugu that have undergone change:—

| Tamil වසා ් | Kannada ත්සා් | Telugu ಚೆಱ | Tamil ಪುಗೆ ಕ್ರಿ | Kannada Bo ri | Telugu ਛੀਆਂ |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| ಯಾನೈ | ಆನೆ | ಏನಿಕ, | ಮುದಲ್ | ಮೊದಲ್ ಮೊಳ | ಮೊದಲ್ಲು ಮೊಲಕ |
| ಶೋನೈ | ನೋನೆ | ಏನುಗು ಸೋನ | ಮುಳ್ಯೆ ಕಿಳಿ | ก ያ | ಚಿಲುಕ |
| ສາວນັ້ అజ్ఞి _{ని} | ಪಾವು ಅಚೆ | ಪಾಮು ಅಟ್ಟ | ವಾಡಿಕ್ಕೆ ಶ್ರ ಅಋ್ವ | ವಾಡಿಕೆ ಅ ಟ ು | ವಾಡುಕ ಱಾಯಿ |
| ಪಟ್ಕ ^{್ರಿ9} | ಎಣಿ | ಎನೆ | ವಾಯಿಲ್ | ಬಾಗಿಲ್ | ವಾಕಿಲಿ |
| ತಿರೆ _{ಶ್} ರಿ ನಿೞಲ್ | ತೆರೆ ನೆಬಲ್ | ತೆರ ನೀಡ | ಕೈಯದು ಪಿಳ್ಳೈ | ಕೈದು ಪಿಳ | ಕೈದುವು . ಪಿಲ್ಲ |
| ಉರಲ್ | ಒರಲ್ | ರೋಲು | ಕೂಡೈ | ಗೂಡ | ಗೂಡ |

| Tamil 1 | Kannaḍa | Telugu | Tamil | Kannaḍa | Telugu |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|--------|
| ಮಡ ು ದ್ದೆ | ಮಡದಿ | ಮಡఁ ತಿ | ಮೂಕ್ಕು | ಮೂಗು | ಮುಕ್ಕು |
| ವೆದಿರ್ | ಬಿದಿರ್ | ವೆದುರು | න් ව ී | ಸರಿ | ಸರೆ |
| ವರ _{ೆನೆ} | ಬರೆ | ವಲ | ಕೈ | ಕೆಯ್ | ಚೇಯಿ |
| ತಂಬಿ | ತಮ್ಮ ೦ | ತಮ್ಮು ಡು | ನೋನ್ನು | ನೋಂಪಿ | ನೋಮು |
| ಪಾಟ್ಟು | ಹಾಡು | ಪಾಟ | ಎರುದು | ఎట్తు | ಎದ್ದು |
| ಉಡೈವೈು | ಒಡವು | ಒಡವಿು | ಕಾಲ್ | ಗಾಳಿ | ಗಾಲಿ |
| ಉಡಲ್ | ಒಡಲ್ | ಒಡಲು | ವೆಳ್ಲಿ | ಬೆಳ್ಳಿ | ವೆಂಡಿ |
| ಪೊಟುದು | ಪೊಟ್ನು | ಪ್ರೊದ್ಭು | ಮರುಂದು | ಮರ್ಮ | ಮಂದು |
| ಪೆಯರ್ | ಪೆಸರ್ | ಪೇರು ^ಹ | ಎಚ್ಚ್ವಿಲ್ | ಎಂಜಲ್ | ಎಂಗಿಲಿ |

Examples of nouns common to Tamil and Telugu:-

| | 1 | | | | |
|--------|----------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|
| Tamil | Telugu | Tamil | Telugu | Tamil | Telugu |
| ಪೆರುಗು | ಪೆ ರುಗು | ಅಪ್ಪಂ | ಅಪ್ಪಮು | ನೆಯ್ | ನೆಯಿ |
| ಕೂಂಡು | ಗೂಂಡು | ఆ ్ | ಆವು | ತಾಯ್ | ತಾಯಿ |
| న్విటు | న్విటు | ಕೊಫ್ಪು | ಕೊಪ್ಪು | ತೆರು | ತೆರುವು |
| ವಿತ್ತು | ವಿತ್ತು | ಅಪ್ಪಳಂ | ಅಪ್ಪಳವುು | ತಲ್ಲ | ತಲ್ಲಿ |
| | | , ~ | au | | |

Examples of Tamil nouns and those corresponding to them in Telugu that have undergone change:—

| | O | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Tamil | Telugu | Tamil | Telugu |
| ಪುಲು | ಪುರುಗು | ಪನ್ನಿ | ಪಂದಿ |
| ಕೂೞ್ | ಕೂಡು | ക ്നാമ | ಅಂಗಡಿ |
| ವಿಟುಂಗು | <i>ಮ್ರಿಂ</i> ಗು | ಪಣಿ | ಪನಿ |
| ಇರುಂಬು | ಇನಮು | ಕಪ್ಪಲ್ | ಕಪ್ಪಲಿ |
| ಅಗಪ್ಪೈ ಕ್ರಿ | ಅಗಪ | ಅರ್ವಂ | ಆರುವಮು |
| తిత్తివై | ತೀಪು | ತುಣುಕ <u>್ಕು</u> | ತುನುಕ |
| ತೆಂಗು | ತೆಂಕಾಯ | ತಟ್ <u>ಟು</u> | ತಟ್ ಟ |
| ತಳಿರ್ | ತಲಿ ರು | ಅಡೈಯಾಳಂ | ಅಡಿಯಾಲಮು |
| ನನೈ | ನನ | ನಂಜೈ | ನಂಜ |
| ನೆರುಪ್ಪು | ನಿಷ್ಟು | ಅಂಡು | ಏಡು |
| తి <u>న్</u> డి | ෂ්ටයි . | ಕೊಂಬು | ಕೊಮ್ಬ |
| ತಿ <u>ಸ್</u> ಥಿ ತಮುಕ್ಕು | ಟಮುಕು | ತಿಣ್ಣೆ ಶಿ ಅಕ್ಕರೈ ವಿಂದೈ | ತಿನ್ನೆ |
| ತ ಿ ವೈ * | ತೆಱವ | ಅಕ್ಕ ರೈ | ಅಕ್ಕೌರ |
| ಅಡಿಮೈ | ಅಡಿಮೆ | ವಿಂದೈ | ವಿಂತ |
| . 0 | | ව්යි ී | ಚಿಟ್ಟು |
| | and the second second | r. | |

Examples of nouns common to Kannada and Telugu:—

ಪಂದಿ, ತೊಡವು, ದಾರಿ, ನಂಟು, ನುಡಿ, ಅಂಚು, ಅಂಬಲ, ಅದಟು,

ಕೊಂಡೆ, ಠವಳಿ, ಠೀವಿ, ಠಾಪು, ಡೊಂಕು, ಡೋಲು, ತಟಪಟ, ಡಾಲು, ಟಕ್ಕು, ಅಲಪು, ಒಂಟಿ, ತರವಾರಿ, ತಪುಡು, ಕೋತಿ, ಅಡ್ಡ, ಅಪ್ಪಟ, ಅಪ್ಪಚ್ಚ, ಅನು, ಗುಡಿಸೆ, ಅಸ್ತಿಭಾರ, ದಟ್ಟಿ, ದಾರ, ದಪ್ಪ, ದೊಡ್ಡ, ನಗ, ನಿಚ್ಚಲು, ಕತ್ತಳಂ,* ನಿಕ್ಕುವಂ, ನೆಮ್ಮದಿ, ಅಂದಂ, ಕತಂ, ತೇಮಂ, ಗಾಣಂ (singer).

Examples of Kannada nouns and those corresponding to them in Telugu that have undergone change:—

| Kannaḍa | Telugu | Kannada | Telugu |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
| ದಾಚಿ | ದಾಡಿ | ಬಿದಿರ್ | ವೆದುರು |
| ದೊಣೆ | ದೊನ | ತಕ್ಕಡಿ | ತಕ್ಕೆಡ |
| ನವಿಲ್ | ನಮಿಲ | ತೊಳೆ | ತೊಲ |
| ದಬ್ಬಳ | ದಬ್ಬನಮು | ಅಡ್ಡಣ | ಅಡ್ಡನ |
| ಡಿಂಗರಿಗ <u>ಂ</u> | ಡಿಂಗರುಂಡ <u>ು</u> | ಅಳವಿ | అలపి |
| ತೆಪುಲು | ತೆಗುಲು | ತಡಿಕೆ | ತಡಕ |
| | ಅಡಿದಮು | ಅಂಗುಳ್ | ಅಂಗಿಲಿ |
| ಅಡ್ಡಾಯ್ಫ ಅಟ್ಕವು | ಅರಕಮು | ತ ು ಬಿಗೆ | ತಂಬುಗ |
| ನೆಲ | ನೇಲ | ತು ದಿ | ತುದ |
| ಆಂಕೆ | ಆಂಕ | ಆಕಡೆ | ಅಕ್ನಡ |
| ತವಕಂ | ತವಕಮು | ಅದವಟಲ್ | ಅದವದ |
| ಅಂದುಗೆ | ಅಂದಿಯ | ಕುತ್ತಿಗೆ | ಕುತ್ತಿಕ |
| ಅಗ | ಅಗ್ನುವ | ಗೂಅವಿ | ಕೊಱವಿ |
| ತೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ | ತೊಟ್ಟಿಲ | ಕಡವೆು | ಕಡಮ |

Examples of nouns common to Tamil and Kannada:—

ಪುಲು, ಕೂಲ್, ಪಾಲ್, ತೆಂಗು, ತೊಟ್ಟರ್, ಪರರ್, ತಳಿರ್, ನಾಣ್, ಪೀಲ, ಈಡು, ಕದಿರ್, ಪಡೆಗು, ಒಪ್ಪಂ, ಮುನ್ನೀರ್, ನಂಜು, ಪ್ಯಾ, ಅಣ್ಣ ೯,

ಬಳಯು ತರಂ ಬಹಲ್ಟುವ ಲತಾಂತಶರಂಗಿದಿರಾಗಿ ತಾಗಿ ನಂ! ಚಳಿಸೆ ಪಳಂಚಿ ತೂಳ್ವ ವನನೋಡಿಸಿ ಮಯ್ಪಗೆಯಾದರೂನೆಯಂ ! ಕಳೆಯದೆ ನಿಂದ ಕರ್ಬುನದ ಕರ್ಗಿದ ಸಿಪ್ಪಿನಮರ್ಕಿವೆತ್ತ ಈ! ತೃಳಮೆನಿಸಿತ್ತು ಪುತ್ತಡರ್ದ ಮಯ್ಯ ಮಳಂ ಮಳಥಾರಿದೇವರಾ !

As he became the natural enemy of the flower-arrowed (cupid) who harasses (even) the strong, having engaged him in a terrific fight, vanquished and chased him away, the dirt on Maladhāridēva's body, which was overgrown with an anthill, looked as if it were a close-fitting armour of black iron that had not yet been doffed.

罗尔尔萨克斯基金金克尔 电电压电阻

^{*}This word is common in Telugu in the sense of an armour. It also occurs rarely in Kannada in the same sense. The following stanza found in Sravana Belgola inscription No. 117 of A. D. 1123 contains the word:—

ಮೆಯ್, ಮಾಡಂ, ಮಣ್, ತಾಯ್, ತೇರ್, ಅಡಿ, ಮುಡಿ, ಕಾಡು, ಪಸ್ಸಿ ಆರುಂಬು, ಕಣ್, ತೋರ್, ಪಾಲ್, ಪುಳಿ, ಕೊಡಿ, ಅಡು, ಕೇಡು, ತೊಂಗಲ್, ನೆಯ್, ಪೆಣ್, ಪುಲ್, ಪೂ, ಮುಗಿಲ್, ಅರಿಶನಂ, ಎಳ್, ತಿಂಗಳ್, ಕಡ್, ಕಡಲ್, ಕಂಬು, ಕರಗಂ, ಕಲ್, ಕಳಂ, ನೀರ್, ಕಣ್ಣಿ, ಕರಡಿ, ಕಲಿ, ಕಳಮ್ರ, ಕತ್ತು, ಕಂದು, ಕಂಬಿ, ಕರಿ, ಕಳ್ಳಿ, ಅಗಲಂ, ನೀಳಂ, ಆಬಂ, ಅಲರ್, ಅಗಟ್, ಅಂಗೈ, ಪೋರ್, ಕಾರ್, ಅಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟು, ಅತ್ತಿ, ಅಪ್ಪಕ್, ಅಂಬಾರಂ, ಅಂಬಾರಿ, ಅಮ್ಮಕ್, ಮದಿಲ್, ಕೊತ್ತಳಂ, ಅಳವು, ಅಹಿಂ, ಅನ್ನಕ್, ತಗರಂ, ಪಗಲ್, ಇರುಳ್, ಕಿಡ್ಚು, ನಚ್ಚು, ತೊಡರ್, ಪಡಿ, ಪಿಡಿ, ಪಣಂ, ಅಣಿ, ಪುಣ್, ಪಿಂಡಿ, ಚೆಂದು, ಪದಂ, ಕದವು, ತಿಪ್ಪಿ, ಕಂಬು ಕೊಂಬು, ಚೆಂಬು, ತುಮ್ಮಲ್, ಪಯಿರ್, ಪಾಡು, ಅರುಗು, ಕರುಳ್, ಕುರುಳ್, ಮರುಳ್, ಅಲಗು, ಮಲರ್, ಪೊಲಂ, ಪುಳಿ, ವರುಳ, ಕಳ್, ಪುರ್, ಕಭ್ಯಿ, ಕೊಳ್ಳಿ, ನಸ್ಥಿ, ಮುಳ್ಳಿ, ಅುಪು, ಪ್ರೇಕ್, ಮೇಡಿ, ಅಡು, ಮಾಡಂ, ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ.

Examples of Tamil nouns and those corresponding to them in Kannada that have undergone change.—

| | • | | |
|----------------------|----------------|--|--------------------|
| Tamil | Kannada | Tamil | Kannada |
| ಕೊಕ್ಕು | ಕುಕ್ತು |) ඵව | ಕೆಲ |
| ಎಣ್ಟೆಯ್. | ಎಣ್ಲಿ | ಉಡಂಬು | ಒಡಂಬಿ |
| ಎಣ್ಣೆಯ್. ವಲಂ | ນບຶ | ಅರುವಿ | ಅರ್ಬಿ |
| ಶೊಲ್ | ಸೊಲ್ | ಕಣ್ಣಡಿ | ಕನ್ನಡಿ |
| ವಱುದು | ಬಱುದು | ಕಱವೆೃ | ಕಱವು |
| ಮು ^{್ಹು} ಗೈ | ಮುತ್ತುಗೆ | ಕಱು ಕ | ಕಱುೆ |
| ಕುಱ್ಞಂ | ಕುತ್ತ ೆ | ಪೂಱ್ಡ್ರೆ | ಪೊ ೇ ಖೆ |
| ನೆಱ್ಞಿ | ನೆತ್ತಿ | ອາຕນັ | ಸಾಱು |
| ಪು ట్లు | ಪುತು | ಶೇಱು | ಕೆಸೞು |
| ಮಹ್ಞು | ಮತ್ತು | න්ය නික්කර් මුක්කර නැති නැති වෙන සම්බන්ධ නැති නැති නැති නැති නැති නැති නැති නැති | ಸೂಱುೆ |
| ಕಯಿನಿ | ಕೈನೆ | ಮನೈ | ಮನೆ |
| ಎಲುವಿುಚ್ಚೆ ಕ್ರ | ಇಲಿಮಿಂಚಿ | ವಾ೯ | ಬಾ೯ |
| -ಕೈಯಡೈ - | ಕೆಯ್ಯಡ | ಮಜೆ ಕ್ರ | ಮೞೆ |
| ತಿ ಕುಱಡು | ಕೊಱಿಡು | ವಾದೃ | ಬಾದೆ |
| ಪುಗರ್ | ಪೊಗ ್ | ವಟ್ಚ ಂ | ಬಟ್ಟ |
| ವಿಳಕ್ಕು | ಬೆಳಕು | ವಟ್ಟಿ ಲ್ | ಬಟ್ಟೌಲ್ |
| ಪರುತ್ತಿ | ಪತ್ತಿ | ವಂಡು | ಬೆಂಡು |
| ಮಾಗಾಣಂ | ಮಾಗಣಿ | ಕೊಂಡೈ | ಕೊಂಡೆ |
| తిస్టి | ම්ටල් | ಶಂಬಳಂ | ಸಂಬಳಂ |
| ಎರುಮೈ | ಎರ್ಮೆ | ಇದಲ್ | ಎಸರ್ |
| ಕರುಂಬೊ೯ | ಕರ್ಬೊ೯ | ತಿನೈ | ತೆನೆ |
| ವರಗು | ಬರಗು | ಕನ್ನು | ಕಱು |
| | | 1 5 | |

| | rr J |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Tamil | Kannada |
| ವಯಿಡು . | ಬಸಿಱು ತಂಗೆ |
| ತ ಿ ಗೈ | |
| ವೇಲಿ ವೇವರ್ | ಬೇಲ ವೆಂದ= |
| ವೇಡರ್ | ಬೇಡರ್ ಸುಕ್ಕುಪಿ |
| ಕುದಿರೈ - | ಕುದುರೆ - |
| ಪೈದಲ್ | ಹೈದಂ |
| ಪೆಟ್ವೈ ಶ್ರಿ ಪುನರ್ | ಹೇಟೆ |
| | ಪೊನಲ್ |
| ಶೇಗು | ಚೇಗ <u>ು</u> |
| ವಡಕ್ಕು | ಬಡಗು |
| ತೇಕ್ಕು | ತೇಗು ಎ.ಎ |
| ಮರುಂಗುಲ್ | ಮಗ್ಗುಲ್ |
| ಕರುಂಬು | ಕರ್ಬು |
| ಕೞುತ್ತು | ಕತ್ತು |
| ಉಪುಂದು | ಉರ್ದು |
| ಮಾರ್ಮ | ಮಾವಂ |
| ವಾಯ್ಕ್ಕಾಲ್ | ಬಾಯ್ಕ್ಕಾರ್ |
| ಶಾಮೈ | ಸಾಮೆ |
| ಮುರುಂಗೈ | ನುಗ್ಗೆ ನವಿಲ್ |
| ಮಯಿಲ್ | |
| ಮುೞಂಗಾಲ್ | ಮೊಣಕಾಲ್ |
| ವಲಂಬುರಿ | ಬಲಮುರಿ |
| ಪಱುವಿ | ಹಱುವಿ |
| ಕುರುಂಬೈ | ಕುರುಬೆ |
| ಪುನ್ಮೆ ಕಿ | ಹೊನ್ನೆ |
| ಅಡೈಕ್ಕಾಯ್ | ಅಡಕ್ಷ್ |
| ಕಾಲ್ಪಾಯ್ | ಕಾಲ್ಟೆ |
| ಅಡೈಪ್ಪಂ | ಹಡಪಂ |
| ස සුදු | ම ಳಿಲ್ ****** |
| ವಿರಲ್ | ಬೆರಲ್ |
| ಅಣ್ಣ | అణ్ణం |
| ಅರಕ್ಕು | ಅರಗು |
| ಅರುತ್ತಿ | అట్త |
| ಅಱುವೈ | ಅಱುವೆ |
| ಉಡಲ್ | ಒಡಲ್ |
| ಉಗ್ರಿರ್ | ಉಗುರ್ |
| ಪಗೈ | ಪಗೆ |
| ವಿಕ್ಕಲ್ | ಬಿಕ್ಕುಳ್ |
| | |

Tamilಪೊರುಳ್ ಶಟ್ಟುವಂ ಅಲುಪ್ಪು ನಿೞ೮್ಁ ನಿಲೈ ನಿರೈ ನುರೈ ಮುಗೈ ಕುಡ೦ ಶುಡರ್ ಕದಲೈ ಕುಡೈ ಕೊಡೈ . ಬಟ್ಟೆ ಕ್ರ ಮುಷ್ಟೆ ಕ್ರ ಪಡೈ ತಟ್ಟು నిణం ಪಿಣ೦ ಶಿದೆಲೆ_{ತೆ} ಮುದ**ೆ**ತ್ತ ನುದಲ್ ಮುದಲ್ ವೆದಿರ್ ಎದಿರ್ ಪ್ರೊದು ಮೂಪ್ಪು ವದುವೈ ವಿತ್ತು ತೊತ್ತು ಇವು_ತ್ತ ಪೆಯರ್ ವಯಲ್ ಉಯಿರ್ ವೆಯಿಲ್ ಇರಲೈ ಉರಲ್

Kannada ಪುರುಳ್ ಸಟ್ಟುಗ ತಂದೆ ಆಲಪ್ರ ಕಜೈ ನೆೞಲ್ ನೆರೆ ನೊರೆ ವೊಗೆ ಕೊಡಂ ಸೊಡರ್ ಕಡಲೆ ಕೊಡೆ ಕೊಡೆ ಒಂಚೆ ಮಾಟ್ಟೆ ಪಚ ತಟ್ಟೆ ಸೆಣಂ ಪೆಣ೦ ಗೆದಲ್ ವೊಸಳೆ ನೊಸರ್ ಮೊದಲ್ ಬಿದಿರ್ ಇದಿರ್ ಪುದು ಮುಫ್ಪು ಮದುವೆ ಬಿತ್ತು ತೊಟ್ತು ಎವೆ ಪೆಸರ್ ಬಯಲ್ ಉಸಿರ್ ಬಿಸಿರ್ ಎರರೆ ಒರಲ್

| Tamil | Kannada | Tamil | $Kanna\dot{q}\alpha$ |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| ಪ್ರೊರಿ | ಫುರಿ | / ವಟಿ | ಬಟಿ |
| ವರಿಶೈ | ವರಿಸೆ | ತದ್ಯ | ತಟೆ |
| ಇ ರೈ | ಎರೆ | కి ల | ก೪ |
| ಎಲಿ | ಇಲಿ | ವೆಲ್ಲಂ | ಬೆಲ್ಲಂ |
| ಕುರೈ | ಗೊಲೆ | ಿಹಿಲಿ | ತೆಱ೦ |
| ಕೊಲ್ಬೆ | ಕೂಲಿ | ಶಿ ಳುತ್ತೆ _{శ్ర} | ಚಿಱುತ |
| ವಲೈ ಿ | ಬಲೆ | ನನಪು | ನನಸು |
| ವಿಲ್ | ಬಿಲ್ | ಕಡುವ೯ | ಗಡವಂ |
| ಕವಣಿತ್ರ | ಕವಣಿ | ಕೆೞ೦ಜು | ಕಣಜ |
| ಶುಚಿ | ಸುಚಿ | ಕನ್ನಂ | ಕೆನ್ನೈ |
| ಇಳಮ್ವು | ಎಳವೆ | ಕವಡಿ | ಕವಡೆ |
| ಅಳ್ಯೆ | ಅಳ | ಕುಳಂಬು | ಕೊಳಗು |
| ವೆಳ್ಳಿ | ದೆತ್ರಿ | ವೆ೮೦ | ಬೆಳ್ಳಂ |
| ಮುಱಂ | ಮೊಹಿಂ | <u> </u> ಶಿಱೀಪ್ಪು | ಚೆಅಪು |
| ಶಿಟ್ಕ್ ಶೃ | ಸೆೆೆೆ | ಶಿಱುದು | ಕಿಱುದು |
| ಶೋಟಂ | ಜೋಬ೦ | ಕನಪು | ಕನಸು |
| ಕ ಱುಪ್ಪು | ಕರ್ಪ | ಮಾಱ್ಞಂ | ಮಾತು |
| ಕಜ್ಜು | ಕಂದು | ತಾದೈ | ತಾದೆ |
| ಕುಳಂ | ಕೊಳಂ | ಪುನ್ನ _{ೆ ಶಿ} | ಪ್ರೊನ್ನೆ |
| ತುಣೆ _{ತಿ} | ತೊಣೆ. | ಎರಾಳಂ | ಹೇರಳ |
| మిళ్లే ప | పి ళ్లే | ಈಚ್ಚು | ಈಚಲು |
| ಮಱಪಿ | ಮಹಿವೆ | ನಾಂಜಿಲ್ | ನೇಗಿಲ್ |
| ಪಿಜುೆ ತ್ರ | ಪೆಟ್ | ತೊಟುತ್ತೈ | ತೊಬ್ತು |
| ನಾಜ್ಞಿಂ | ನಾತಂ | | _ |
| . • | ş | ನ <u>ಿ</u> ತ್ಯಾಥಿ | |

These examples in Tamil and Kannada which contain only a selection of words may be enlarged to any extent. To give all the words that are more or less indentical in the two languages, we shall have to transcribe more than a half of the words given in the dictionaries of these tongues.

All the four Dravidian languages of Southern India have Sanskrit words, both pure (tatsama) and corrupt (tadbhava) introduced into their vocabularies to a smaller or greater extent. The number of Sanskrit words in Tamil is comparatively small, in Kannada and Telugu rather

large and in Malayalam the largest. With regard to Tamil, it is stated that the works of Avvai—. Ittisūdi. etc., contain altogether 2,020 words, of which only 156 are Sanskrit. Similarly, the Tamil work Narundogai which contains 600 words in all has only 24 Sanskrit As we go back, Tamil literature shows an increase in the number of Tamil words with a proportionate decrease in Sanskrit words. In Telucu, according to the Sabdaratnākara there are 12,337 dēšya words, 14,814 tatsama words and 2,000 tadbhava words. such enumeration is found in any Kannada work, though there is a large number of Sanskrit words in that language. According to Caldwell the reason why the amount of Sanskrit employed in the other Dravidian languages is considerably larger than in Tamil and the use of it has acquired more of the character of a necessity is because the literatures of those languages have chiefly been cultivated by Brāhmanas, while in Tamil few Brahmanas have written anything worthy of preservation. This is true only to a limited extent with regard to Kannada, and probably Telugu also. know for a fact that the best works in Kannada have been written not by Brāhmanas but by Jainas who were strong advocates of purism in the use of Kannada That it is possible to avoid the use of Sanskrit in Kan nada and Telugu is evidenced by the existence in those languages of works such as the Kabbigarakāva of Anda yya and the Yayaticharitra of Ponnaganti Telaganna which contain no pure Sanskrit words though tadbhavas are freely admitted. It is, however, a well-known fact that almost all the early Jaina authors in Kannada were good Sanskrit scholars and consequently, notwithstanding their advocacy of purism in the use of Kannada, some Sanskrit words must have entered into the Kannada vocabulary. Besides, Kannada, in common with Tamil, has borrowed Sanskrit words to express abstract ideas of philosophy, science and religion. Later writers in all these languages have unhesitatingly introduced a pretty large number of Sanskrit words, though, in most cases, there was no necessity for doing so. These circumstances account for the presence of a large number of Sanskrit words in these languages, though the words in Kannada and Telugu may be somewhat in excess of those in Tamil.

The occurrence of a large number of Sanskrit words in the Dravidian languages of Southern India led some orientalists of a former generation, such as Colebrooke, Carey, Wilkins and others, to believe that these languages were derived from Sanskrit. But, now, such notions are known to be without any foundation. These orientalists did not, as Caldwell says, take into account the material circumstance that the pronouns and numerals of the Dravidian languages, their verbal and nominal inflections, and the syntactic arrangement of their words -everything, in short, which constitutes the living spirit of a language—were originally and radically different from Sanskrit. Besides, the grammatical structure and system of sounds in Sanskrit and the Dravidian languages are, as was amply shown before, essentially different. Further, it will be quite difficult to account for the existence of indigenous words which constitute the major portion of the vocabulary of each one of these languages. There is, moreover, the circumstance that the uncultivated Dravidian dialects contain scarcely any Sanskrit words.

The first word tat in the compounds tatsama and tadbhava is taken in Kannada grammars to denote Sanskrit, but in Telugu it is taken to denote Prākrit also. So we have four classes of words: Samskritasama, Samskrita-bhava, Prākrita-sama and Prākrita-bhava. And this classification is desirable since there are instances of words which it is easier to derive from Prākrit than from Sanskrit. Thus, the Kannada word from the Prākrit work than from the Sanskrit zers. I give below a few other instances of words in their Sanskrit, Prākrit and Kannada forms where it will be clearly seen that the Kannada form is more alike the

Prākrit than the Sanskrit form, and may therefore be called Prākrita-bhava:—

| ದಂಪ್ಟ್ರಾ | ದಾಢಾ | ದಾಡೆ | ಶೀಕರಃ | ಸೀಭರೋ | ಸೀವರಂ |
|--------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|-----------|
| <i>్</i> కి | එ වල : | ಸಿರಿ | ಕಟುಃ | ಕಾರೋ ` | ಕಾರಂ |
| ವೃತ್ತಂ | ವಟ್ಟಂ | ಬಟ್ಟ | ವೈದ್ಯಃ | ವೇಜ್ಕೋ | ಬೆಜ್ಜಂ |
| ಕೂರ್ಪಾಪಃ | ಕುಪ್ಪಿಸೋ | ಕುಪ್ಪನಂ | ರಾಜ್ಞೀ | ರಾಣೀ | ರಾಣಿ |
| ನಿರ್ಭುರಃ | ಓಜ್ಪ್ ರೋ | ಒಜ್ಪರಂ | ಶ್ರದ್ಧಾ | ಸಡ್ನಾ | ಸಡ್ಡೆ |
| ಪರ್ಯಸ್ತ್ರಕಿ | ಪಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟೋ | ಪಲ್ಲಟಂ | ವಿಜ್ಞಾನಂ | <u> ಇಟ್ಲಾ</u> ಇಂ | ಬಿನ್ನಾ ಣಂ |
| ඵ ්රි | ಸಥಿಲ್ಲೋ | ಸಡಲ | ಹರಿದ್ರಾ | ಹಲದಾ | ಪಳದಿ |
| ಸ್ಥೂಲಃ | ಥೋರೋ | ತೋರ | ಶೆಯ್ಯಾ | ನೆಜ್ಜಾ | ಸೆಬ್ಬೆ |
| ఆళ్లో | ఓళ్రి ల | ఓల | ಆಬ್ವಾ | ಆಞಾ | ಆಣ್ಣ |
| ಸ್ತುಪಾ | ಸುಸಾ | ಸೊಸ | ಜ್ಯೋತ್ಸ್ವಾ | ಜೊಣ್ನಾ | ಚೊನ್ನಂ |
| ಸಾಕ್ಷೀ | ಸಕ್ಖೀ | ಸಕ್ಕಿ | ತ್ವರಿತಂ 🖺 | ತುರಿಅ೦ | ತುರಿಪೆಂ |
| ವಿದ್ಯಾ | ವಿಜ್ಞಾ | ಬಿಚ್ಚೆ | ಸಿಂಹಃ | ಸಿಂಘೋ | ಸಿಂಗಂ |
| ಯಜ್ಞಾಃ | ್ಷೀಣಿಜ | ಜನ್ಸ್ಂ | ಮಲಿನಂ | ಮಇಲಂ | ಮಯಿಲ |
| ಅಂತಃಪುರಂ | ಅಂತೆಉರಂ | ಅಂತಪುರಂ | ಪೃಥಿವೀ | ಪುಧಮೀ | ಪ್ರೇಡವಿ |
| ತೀಕ್ಷ್ಣಂ | ತಿಖ್ಬಂ | ತಿಕ <u>್</u> ಕಂ | ಅಗ್ರಿಃ | ಆಗ್ರೀ | ಅಗ್ನಿ |
| ವಿದ್ಯಾತ್ | ವಿಜ್ಜೊ | బిజ్కు | ಮೂರ್ಖ | ಮುರುಹೋ | ಮುರುವಂ |

There are again other words which are identical with the Prākṛit forms and may consequently be styled Prākṛita-sama. The following are a few instances of such words:—

| ನಿಭ | ನೆಪ | ನೆಪ | (ವಕ್ಕ | ವಂಕ | ವಂಕ |
|------------|----------|-------------|--------|-------|--------|
| ಸ್ತ್ರೇಹ | ನೇಹ | ನೇ ಹ | ಸದ್ಮಶ | ಸರಿಸ | ಸರಿಸ |
| ಪ್ರತಿ | ಪಡಿ | ಪಡಿ | ಕಾರ್ಕ | ಕಜ್ಜ | ಕಜ್ಜ |
| ಸ್ಟ್ರಾನಂ | ರಾಣ೦ | ೦ಇ೯ಠ | ನೂಪುರಂ | ಣೀಉರಂ | ನೇವುರಂ |
| ವ್ಯಾಖ್ಯಾನಂ | ವಖ್ಸಾ ಣಂ | ವಕ್ಸಾಣ೦ | ಅಂಗಾರ | ಇಂಗಾಲ | ಇಂಗಾಲ |

Such tadbhavas are also found in Tamil and Telugu.

In this connection, it may be mentioned that tadbhavas like ಆಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟು (ಅತ್ಯುತ್ಕೃಷ್ಟ), ಅಂಬಿಲ (ಆಮ್ಲ), ಗಾವುದ (ಗವ್ಯೂತ), ಪಕ್ಕಾ (ಪಕ್ಷ), ಪಸುಬೆ (ಪ್ರಸೇವ), ಸದ್ಪು (ಶಬ್ದ), ಸಕ್ಕರೆ (ಶರ್ಕರಾ) are found in Pāli dictionaries; as also words such as ಒಲಗ, ಕಾವರ, ಪೋಟ (ಪೊಟ್ಟೆ) in Hēmachandra's Dēsināmamālā.

In the earliest period in Tamil, the changes introduced in adapting Sanskrit words are very

irregular. The following examples will illustrate this point:—

| Sanskrit | Tamil | Sanskrit | Tamil |
|-------------|------------|----------------|-----------|
| ప ్ర | ತಿರು | ಪುಷ್ಯ | ಪೂಶಂ |
| ಚ್ಛೇಷ್ಟಾ | ಕೇಟ್ಟೆ ತ್ರ | ಮಾರ್ಗಶೀರ್ಷ | ಮಾರ್ಗೆಟಿ |
| ಶೃವಣರ | ಓಣ೦ | ಪೂರ್ವಾಭಾದ್ರಪದಾ | ಪೂರಟ್ಟಾದಿ |
| ವ್ಯೇಶಾಖ | ವೈಗಾಶಿ | ಆಶ್ವೇಪಾ | ಆಯಿಲಯಂ |
| ಫಾಲ್ತುಣ | ಪಂಗುನಿ | ಪೂರ್ವಾ | ಪೂರಂ |
| ಆಶ್ವಯುಜ | ಐಪ್ಪತಿ | ಶತಭಿಪಕ್ | ಶದಯಂ |
| ಅನೊರಾಧಾ | ಆನುಷಂ | ಮಾಘ | ಮಾಶಿ |

It is worthy of notice that ತೈ is derived from ತೈತ್, ಅವಿಟ್ಟಂ from ಶೃವಿಷ್ಠಾ and ಪುರಚ್ಚಾತಿ from ಪ್ರೇಷ್ಟಪದೀ.

The old tadbhava of ಕರ್ಮಕ was కన్మం, its modern equivalent being కరుమం which is quite regular. The omission of sibilants is a very common feature in the formation of tadbhavas in Tamil. నంధ్యా becomes అంది, ఇం,మణ ఆమణక, శిశ్ర ఈయం.

Telugu tadbhavas are more or less similar to those in Kannada. Compare ಅಪಡ್ಡ with ಅಪಡ್ಡ, ಅಂದಲ with ಆಂದಳ, ವಿನ್ನಪ with ಬಿನ್ನಪ, ಆಗಿಸೆ with ಅಗಸ, ಅಚ್ಚರುವು with ಅಚ್ಚರಿ.

Some tadbhavas in Kannada, such as ಅರಸು, appear to be derived directly from Tamil tadbhavas. tadbhava of odes in Tamil is edde or edd, where the introduction of the vowel a before a word beginning with ra is, as stated before, peculiar to Tamil. In Kannada the tadbhava of ore would properly be ರಾಯಂ. So the forms ಅರಸಂ, ಆರಸು, ಅರಸಿ are directly derived from the Tamil ಅರಶ್ಮ, ಅರಶು, ಅರಶು. The same appears to be the case with the Kannada words are, ದಾವು, ನೊಗ, ಬಿನ್ನಪ, ಕೆಲಸ and ಸೊಣಗ. The omission of sibilants being, as stated before, a peculiarity of Tamil, the tadbhava of క్వణ would in that language be పణ: but in Kannada it ought to be seen. The word in Kannada for a ladder is, however, not 式で but the Tamil form ಏಣಿ. ಮೊಗ is derived from ಮಗಂ, the Tamil tadbhava of ಯುಗ, a yoke. So also is ಬಿನ್ನಪ from ವಿಣ್ಣಪ್ಪಂ,

the tadbhava of ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪ್ಯ. ಕೆಲಸ is derived from ಕಿರಿಶ್ಯ, the Tamil tadbhava of ಕ್ರಿಯಾ. Similarly ದಾವು and ಸೂಜಗ appear to be nearer to the Tamil forms ತಾಂಬು and ಶುಣಂಗಕ than to the Sanskrit ದಾರ್ಮ and ಶುನಕ. On the other hand, the Tamil ಆಯರಂ is derived from the Kannada tadbhava ಸಾಸಿರಂ.

In Tamil, the aspirate h is always omitted; e.g, ಹರಿ ಆರಿ, ಹಿತಂ ಇದಂ, ಹೋಮಂ ಒಪುಂ, ಹೇತು ಏತು, ಹಾರಂ ಆರಂ; but in Kannada and Telugu it is rarely that the aspirate is omitted, though Telugu omits it oftener than Kannada; e.g., ಅಡಪಮು, ಅರಿವಾಣಮು, ಅರಿಗೋಲು, ಅರುಪಮು (ಪರ್ಸ್), ಆರಂದ; ಉದ್ದೆ, ಇಂಗು. In the first five examples given from Telugu, Kannada retains the aspirate. ಉದ್ದೆ is for ಹುಬ್ಬೆ (ಪೂರ್ವೆ) and ಇಂಗು for ಹಿಂಗು.

It may be noted that in these languages there are a few cases in which an aspirate is inserted where it is not required; e.g., and for edd (edd), and for edd (edd).

Anyadēsya or foreign words have largely entered into Kannada and other Dravidian languages owing to various causes, such as, among others, commercial intercourse, geographical contiguity, administrative control and absence of indigenous words to express new things or ideas. A few of them have become naturalised, i.e., look like native words, e.g., andoto or cont for anchor; control for lantern; and for dress; such for trump or troop; which for brush; and of for screw; would for soap; notes for gilt; and of fiddle. These words may be arranged according to the languages from which they have been borrowed.

Portuguese.—ಅಲಮಾರು, ಜನ್ನರ್, ಪಾದಿರಿ, ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ.
Persian.—ಜಮಿಸಾಕ, ದಸ್ತಾವೇಜು, ಬಂದೋಬನ್ತ, ಮೇಜಾ, ರಸ್ತೆ, ಸರಬರಾಯ, ಸಿಪಾರನ್, ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ, ಸೀಪಾಯಿ, ಸುಮಾರು, ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ.

Mahrāthi.—ಪನ್ನಾಸು, ಬಾಪು, ಭಳಿರೆ, ಮರುು, ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ. Arabic.—ಅನಾಮತ್ತು, ಅಲಾಕ್ಕು, ಅನಾಮಿ, ಇಲಾಖಾ, ಕಾಯ್ದಾ, ಖಜಾನಾ, ಗಾಡಿಖಾನಾ, ಜಪ್ತಿ, ನಾಜೂಕು, ಮಾಮೂಲು, ವಸೊಲ್, ಸಾವಕಾರಿ, ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ.

Hindustani. - ಅಂತಸ್ತು, ಅಂದಾಜು, ಅಂಬಾರಿ, ಅತ್ತರ್, ಅದಬ್ಬು, ಅಮಾನಿ, ಅಮಾನತ್ತು, ಅರ್ಜಿ, ಅವರ್, ಅಸರ್, ಆಖ್ಯರು, ಇನಾಮು, ಇರಾದೆ, ಇಸವಿ, ಇಸ್ತಿರಿ, ಉಮೇದು, ಊದಾ, ಕಂತು, ಕಂದಾಯ, ಕಚೇರಿ, ಕಬೂಲು, ಕಮ್ಮಿ, ಕರಾರು, ಕರಾಯಿ, ಕನಬ್ನು ಕಾಗದೆ, ಕಾಜಿ, ಕಾನೂನು, ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ, ಕಾರ್ಬಾನ, ಕಿಚ್ಚಡಿ, ಕಿಟಕಿ, ಕಿರಿಕಿರಿ, ಕಿನ್ತು, ಕೀರು, ಕುಲಾವಿ, ಕೊಠಡಿ, ಕೊರಾ೯, ಕೋಟಾ, ಖರೀದಿ, ಖರ್ಚು, ವಾಲ, ಗಡಿಯಾರ, ಗಾಡಿ, ಗಾಬರಿ, ಗಿರಾಕಿ, ಗುಮಾನೈ, ಗುಲಾಬಿ, ಗುಲಾಮ, ಗೋಲಿ, ಗೌಸು, ಚಕ್ಕುಬಂದಿ, ಚಡ್ಡಿ, ಚಲ೯, ಚಾಕರಿ, ಚಾಲಕ್ಕು, ಚಾಳೇನ, ಚಿರಂಡಿ, ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ, ಚುಂಗಡಿ, ಚುನಾವಣಿ, ಚೂರಿ, ಜಂತಿ, ಜಮಖಾನ, ಜಮಾಬಂದಿ, ಜಮ, ಜಲ್ಪ, ಜವಾನ, ಜವಾಬು, ಜಹೆಗೀರು, ಜಾಗ, ಜಾಪ್ಸಾ, ಜಾಸ್ತಿ, ಜೋಡು, ಚಪಾಲ್, ಟೋಪಿ, ಠಾಣಾ, ಠೀವಿ, ಡಬ್ಬಿ, ಡವಣಿ, ಡಾಬು, ಜೇರೆ, ಡೋಲಿ, ತಗಾದೆ, ತಂಟೆ, ತಯಾರು, ತರಕಾರಿ, ತಾರೀಕಾ, ತಾಲೂಕ, ತೊಲಾ, ದಮಾಸ್, ದರ್ಜಿ, ದರ್ಬಾರ್, ದಲ್ಲಾಳಿ, ದಸ್ತು, ದಿನಸು, ದಿವಾನ, ದಿವಾಳಿ, ದುಪಟಾ, ನಗದು, ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ, ಪಂಜಾ, ಪಕ್ಷಾ, ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ, ಪನಂದ್, ಪನಾರೆ, ಪಾರಾ, ಪೂರಾ, ಪೇಷ್ಕ್ರಾರ್, ಪೈಸರ್, ಬಂಗಲಾ, ಬಚಖಾನೆ, ಬಾಕಿ, ಬೇಡಿ, ಮಜಲು, ಮಜೂರಿ, ಮಸಾರೆ, ಮಸೀದಿ, ಮಹಡಿ, ಮಿಠಾಯಿ, ಮೈದಾನ, ಮೊಹರಂ, ಮೊಹರು, ರಂಜಾಕ, ರಜಾ, ರಾಜಿ, ರುಜು, ರುಮಾಲು, ರೂಪಾಯಿ, ರೈತ, ರೊಕ್ಕ, ಲಂಚ, ಲಕೋಟೆ, ಲಾಡು, ಲಾಯ, ಲಾಳ, ಲೂಟಿ, ವಕೀಲ, ಪರದಿ, ವಹಿ, ವಾಪನು, ವಾಯಿದೆ, ಶಾಬಾನ್, ಶಾಯಿ, ಶಾಲುವೆ, ಶಿಲ್ತು, ಪೋದಾ, ಸರಕಾರ, ಸರಕು, ಸರದಿ, ಸಲಾಮು*, ಸವಾರಿ, ಸಾಮಾನು, ಸೀಸೆ, ಹಕ್ಕು, ಪದ್ದು, ಹಾಜರ್, ಹಾಜಿ, ಹುಂಡಿ, ಹುತಾರ್, ಹೋಬಳಿ, ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ

English.—ಅಪೀಠ್, ಅಫಿಸ್, ಇಂಕ್, ಇನೀಮಾ, ಇ೯ಷ್ಯೂರ್, ಎಕರೆ, ಎನ್ಫಲಪ್, ಔನ್ಸು, ಕಂತ್ರಾಟು, ಕವರು, ಕಾಪಿ, ಕಾಮ, ಕಾರ್ಡು, ಕೇಸು, ಕೋರ್ಟು, ಕೋರ್ಲಿ, ಕ್ಯಾಂಡರ್, ಕ್ಯಾಮರಾ, ಕ್ಯಬ್ಬು, ಕ್ಲಾಸು, ಕ್ರಿಕೆಟ್ಟು, ಕ್ಷೈನಾ, ಗೆಬೆಟ್, ಗೋರ್, ಗೌ೯, ಗ್ಯಾಸ್, ಚಕ್ಕು, ಚಾರ್ಜು, ಚಿಮ್ನಿ, ಜಡ್ಜಿ, ಜೈರ್, ಟಿ೯, ಟರಂ, ಟವರ್, ಟಾನಿಕ್, ಟಿಕೀಟು, ಟೀ, ಟಕ್ಸ್ಟು, ಟೆನಿಸ್, ಟೇಬರ್, ಟೈಂಪೀಸ್, ಟ್ರಂಕು, ಟ್ರೆಜರಿ, ಟ್ಟೀಡ್, ಡಜ೯, ಡಾಕ್ಟರ್, ಡಿಗ್ರಿ, ಡಿಪಾಜಟ್, ಡಿವಿಡೆಂಡ್, ಡಪ್ಪೆ, ಡೆಲವರಿ, ಡೈರಿ, ಡ್ರಾಂ, ಡ್ರಿಲ್, ಥರ್ಮಾಮಿಟರ್, ನಂಬರ್, ನಾಟ್ಟೆಯ್ಡ್ಡ, ನೋಟಿಸ್, ನೋಟ್ಟುಕ್ಟು, ನೋಟ್ಸು, ಪಿಯಾನೊ, ಪೀರಿಯಡ್, ಪೆನ್ಸಿಲ್, ಪೆ೯ಪ೯, ಪೇನಾ, ಪೈಪುೇಸ್ಕಿ, ಹೊಲೀಸ್, ಪ್ರೋರ್ಟರ್, ಪ್ರೋಸ್ಟ್, ಪ್ರೋಸ್ಟ್, ಪ್ರಾನ್, ಪ್ರಾಂಸರಿ, ಪ್ರೋನ್ಟ್, ಪ್ರಾನರ್, ಪ್ರೀಡರ್, ಪ್ರೇಸ್, ಪ್ರಾನರ್, ಪ್ರೀಡರ್, ಪ್ರೇಸ್,

^{*} This word is found in the Kannada proverb ಕಂತುಳಲ್ಲಿ ದೆಯಣ್ಣ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲಾಮು as given in Kittel's Dictionary, though ಶರಣಾರ್ತಿ usually occurs in place of ಸಲಾಮು. In Tamil, however, it has been used even in poetry by Arunagirinādar, a poet of about the 17th century:—

ಶುರಾದಿಪತಿ ಮಾಲಯನು ಮಾಲೊಡು ಶಲಾ ಮಿಡು ಶುವಾಮಿ ಮಲ್ಯೆವಾಬುಂ ಪರುಮಾಳೇ (((ಅರುಷ್ಟ್ರಗದ್))

ಫಾರಂ, ಫೆಯಿಲ್, ಫೋರ್ಟ್ಗೆ, ಬನಿಯ೯, ಬರ್ನರ್, ಬಾಂಡ್, ಬಿರ್, ಬಿಸ್ಕೃತ್, ಬುಕ್ಬೇನ್ಜ್, ಬೂಟ್ಸ್, ಬೆಂಚ್, ಬೆಲೂ೯, ಬೆ್ಯಬರ್, ಬ್ಯಾಂಕು, ಬ್ರಾಂದಿ, ಬ್ರೆಡ್, ಮಿಕ್ಸೆಡ್, ಮಿನಿಮಂ, ಮೀಟರ್, ಮೆರೀನಾ, ಮೆರ್ಷಿ೯, ಮೇಸ್ತ್ರಿ, ಮೆರ್ಟ್ರಿಲ, ಮ್ಯಾಚ್, ಮ್ಯಾಪ್ರ, ರಬ್ಬರ್, ರಿಕಾರ್ಡು, ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್, ರಿಹೋರ್ಟು, ರೂಲರ್, ರೂಲು, ರೈಲು, ಲಸ್ತರ್, ಲಾಂಗ್ಕೇಟು, ಲಾಕಪ್, ಲಾಯರ್, ಲೀಪ್, ಲೆಟರ್ಪೇಪರ್, ಲ್ಯಾಂಪು, ವಾರಂಚ್, ವಾಲ್ಲ್ಯಾಂಪ್, ವೌಚರ್, ವ್ಯಾಗ್೯, ಫರ್ಟ್, ಸಬ್ಬಕ್ಜ್, ಸರ್ಮ, ಸರ್ಜ್, ಸವರ್ರ, ಸಿಮೆಂಚ್, ಸಿರಂಚ್, ಸಿರ್ಲ್ಕ್, ಸಿವಿಲ್, ಸ್ಫೋರ್ಸ್, ಪಾರ್ಎ್, ಸ್ಟ್ರೇಸ್ಗ್, ಹಾರ್ಮ್, ನ್ನೂರ್, ಸ್ಟ್ರಾಂಪು, ಸ್ಟ್ರುರ್, ಸ್ಟ್ರೇಪ್ಸ್, ಹಾರ್ಮ್, ನ್ನಿಯಂ, ಹಾಸ್ಟರ್, ಹೋಟಲ್, ಹ್ಯಾಂಗಿಂಗ್ ಲ್ಯಾಂಪ್, ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ.

In Modern Kannada, even Sanskrit Avyayas or indeclinables have gained currency to spoil the elegance of the vernacular. The following are some of them:— wärs, ಪ್ರಾಯಶಃ, ಪ್ರಾಯೇಣ, ಏತೇನ, ಏವಂಚ, ಪರಂತು, ಸದ್ಯಃ, ಆಥವಾ, ಪುನಃ, ಮುಖತಃ, ಕ್ರಮೇಣ, ಆಗತ್ಯಾ, ಸ್ವತಃ, ಯದ್ಯಪಿ, ಇತ್ಥಂ, ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ.

In the interests of the purity of the language it is very necessary that strenuous attempts should be made to stop as far as possible the influx of unnecessary words from foreign sources.

NORTHERN AND SOUTHERN SCHOOLS IN KANNADA.

The expressions northern and southern schools occur in the Kavirājamārga of Nripatunga, the Kāvyāvalōkana of Nāgavarma II and the Sabdānu- $\dot{s}\,\bar{a}sana$ of Bhattākalanka. In the second chapter of the Kavirājamārga these expressions are used when treating This part of the of the ten merits of composition. chapter being, however, mostly a translation of the first chapter of Dandi's $K\bar{a}vy\bar{a}dar\dot{s}a$ in which the expressions are used in the sense of the Gauda and Vaidarbha schools or styles in Sanskrit, whatever Nripatunga has written with regard to the merits of composition refers as in the original to the Gauda and Vaidarbha schools, and not to the northern and southern schools in Kannada. Several examples of Nripatunga's translation of Dandi's verses are given in the Kannada Introduction (pp. 23-25) to Volume II of my Karnāṭaka-Kavicharite. Nripatunga, however, gives in another place* what he con-

^{*} II, 100-104.

siders to be the distinguishing characteristics of the northern and southern schools in Kannada, which consist merely in the use of one of the recognised duplicate forms of certain verbs in preference to the other, e.g., the forms ಸೋಡುವೆಂ and ಬರಿಪೆಂ in the northern and ನೋಪ್ಪಂ and ಬರಿಪೆಂ in the southern. He further gives three examples in poetry of the northern and southern styles* in Kannada where it is not quite clear how they differ from one another. One example is given below for examination:—

Verse 107.
ವಿಧಿತನುರಾಧಿರಾಜಮಕುಟಾಗ್ರಸಮರ್ಪಿತದೊಳ್ ಮುನೀಂದ್ರನಾ! ಪದಯುಗದೊಳ್ ಮನೋಮುದದೆ ಬಾಗುವೆಂ (Northern)
ತ್ರಿದಶಗಣೇಶಮೌಳಿಮಣಿಪೀಠನಿಷೇವಿಗಳೊಳ್ ಮುನೀಂದ್ರನಾ! ಪದಯುಗಳಂಗಳೊಳ್ ಮುದದೆ ಬಾಗುವೆಂ (Southern)

Whatever may have been the case during or previous to the time of Nripatunga in the matter of the use of the forms of verbs noted above, we find no such distinction observed by authors who came after him.

Let us see what Nāgavarma II says about the northern and southern schools. He has adopted the title of the first chapter of Daṇḍi's work, namely, Mārgavibhāga, for the first section, which treats of the same subject, of the third chapter of his $K\bar{a}vy\bar{a}val\bar{b}kana$, and has closely followed Daṇḍi, like Nṛipatunga, in the treatment of the ten merits of composition. His verses 500 and 521 are merely a translation of verses 41 and 42 of the first chapter of the $K\bar{a}vy\bar{a}dar\dot{s}a.\dagger$ So, the northern and southern schools men-

^{*} II, 106-108.

[†] ಸಮಸಂಶ್ಲಿ ಷ್ಟಾರ್ಥವ್ಯ|ಕ್ತಿಮಧುರಕಾಂತಪ್ರಸನ್ನ ಸ:ಕು ಮಾರೋಜ| ಸ್ನಮಭಮತೋದಾರಾಹ್ಯಯ|ಸಮಾಧಿಗಳ್ ಕೃತಿಗುಣಂಗಳೀರಯ್ದು ಕ್ಕುಂ॥ ಇವು ನಿಯತಂ ದಕ್ಷಿಣದೇ|ಶವರ್ತಿಕವಿರಾಜಮಾರ್ಗದೊಳ್ ನೆಗಬ್ಗುಂ ಮು ತ್ತವಹಿ ಎಪರ್ಯಯವೃತ್ತಿಯಿ|ಬವರಿಸುಗುಮುದೀಚ್ಯಮಾರ್ಗದೊಳ್ ಪ್ರಚುರತೆಯಂ॥ — Någavarma II-

ಶ್ಲೇಷಃ ಪ್ರಸಾದಃ ನಮತಾ ಮಾಧುರ್ರಂ ನುಕುಮಾರತಾ! ಆರ್ಥವ್ಯಕ್ತಿ ರುದಾರತ್ಪಮೋಜುಕಾಂತಿನಮಾಧಯಃ॥ ಇತಿ ಪೈದರ್ಭಮಾರ್ಗನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಾಣಾ ದಶಗುಣಾಃ ನ್ಮೃತಾಃ! ಏಷಾಂ ತಿಹರ್ಯಯಃ ಪ್ರಾಯೋ ದೃಶ್ಯಕೇ ಗೌಡವರ್ತ್ನನಿ॥

tioned by Nāgavarma II do not refer to any such schools in Kannada but to the Gauda and Vaidarbha schools in Sanskrit.

Coming now to Bhatṭākalanka, we find that in his commentary on $S\bar{u}tra~288$ edze of his $Sabd\bar{a}nu\bar{s}\bar{a}sana$ he recognises the existence of the northern and southern schools in Kannada and tells us that, of the two forms of the pronoun of the first person, $n\bar{a}n$ is used by the poets of the north and $\bar{a}n$ by those of the south. But this dictum is not borne out by literature, since we find both the forms used indiscriminately by the poets of the north and the south.

The foregoing enquiry has led us to the conclusion that according to Nripatunga and Bhattakalanka there exist the northern and southern schools in Kannada, their distinguishing characteristics being the use of one of the recognised duplicate forms of certain verbs and of the pronoun of the first person in preference to the But a study of Kannada literature shows that these distinctions are more honoured in the breach than in the observance by the poets. It does not therefore appear to serve any useful purpose at present to make too much of what is evidently a distinction without a difference and quarrel about the northern and southern schools in Kannada. It is not, however, denied that in Modern Kannada there are local varieties due to geographical separation of the Kannada speaking communities and their contact with people speaking non-Dravidian languages.

The late Mr. R. Raghunatha Rao, B.A., wrote at considerable length about the above-mentioned northern and southern schools in Kannada and expressed the opinion that they owed their origin mainly to the influence of Telugu and Tamil respectively. There do not, however, seem to be sufficient grounds for this opinion. Mr. Raghunatha Rao seems to have argued like this: Telugu does not possess the letter $\omega(1)$; and according to Nripatunga the northern school in Kannada does not use verbal forms with ω ; consequently the northern

school must have come into existence through Telugu influence. Though Telugu does not at present possess the letter ω it had the letter even in the early part of the 10th century, about 50 years after the time of Nripatunga (815-877), as is evidenced by the Bezwada Telugu poetical inscription of the Eastern Chālukya king Yuddhamalla (927-934), in which the letter is Again, if the northern school in Kannada arose through Telugu influence, Telugu ought to have only the form nenu of the pronoun of the first person, but we find in it also the other form $\bar{e}nu$ corresponding to the $\tilde{a}n$ of the southern school. Further, if the southern school arose through Tamil influence, there is no reason for the existence in that language of $n\bar{a}n$, the form of the northern school. It is thus seen that there are no valid grounds for supposing that the northern and southern schools in Kannada were brought into existence by Telugu and Tamil influence respectively.

There was a long controversy between Mr. Raghunatha Rao and myself about this matter. He challenged me to offer a rational explanation without having recourse to Telugu influence of these three points: 1. the disappearance of the letter ω from Modern Kannada; 2. the use of $\varpi\sigma$ for all tenses in the subjunctive mood in Modern Kannada; and 3. the use of $\varpi\omega$ and $\varpi\omega$ for $\varpi\omega$ and $\varpi\omega$. The following was the explanation given after some preliminary remarks which ran thus:—

It is unscientific to jump to conclusions by observing similarity of form in one or two instances. We must not be carried away by superficial resemblances but must try our best to trace effects to proper causes. These resemblances may be, for ought we know, "the result of like forces working independently in different languages," or they may be independent developments of tendencies commonly inherited. When the language itself does not afford a tolerably satisfactory explanation, it is then reasonable to postulate external influence. In these cases I shall try to show that the theory of

extraneous influence fails miserably, and that the changes have been ab intra.

The disappearance of ω from Modern Kannada. As stated before, Telugu had w in the first half of the 10th century, and must have lost it about the close of that century, since, according to Telugu scholars, the earliest extant Telugu work, which belongs to the 11th century, does not show any trace of it. In Kannada the letter continued for two centuries longer and disappeared in the 13th century. We thus see that it took at least two centuries for the Telugu influence to take effect in Kannada. Further, it is rather strange that Kannada has lost the letter $\mathfrak{G}(\underline{r})$ which is still in use in Telugu. Here Telugu influence has had no effect whatever on Kannada. It will thus be seen that Telugu influence does not help us in the matter. reason for the loss of the letter in Kannada has to be sought in some other quarter. Philologists agree that in all languages the principle of laziness or phonetic decay is at work. According to this principle there is a tendency in all languages to soften down difficult sounds. the sound of the letter in question being a difficult one. each Dravidian language has tried to soften it down in its own way. Thus Telugu ordinarily substitutes d for it, in some cases it uses r, n or l instead, sometimes it omits the consonant altogether without any substitute. In Tamil, the letter seems merging in most parts of the country either into l or y; and the same is the case in Malayālam. In Kannada it is changed into l and in Tulu into r, n and l, so that we see the operation of

similar changes in all these languages.

2. The use of ರಚ (dare) for all tenses in the

a general principle in all these languages. The assumption of Telugu influence will not be of any use unless we are in a position to prove that it was the cause of

subjunctive mood in Modern Kannada.

Here there seems to be no connection whatever between the forms used in Kannada and Telugu. In Kannada the particle es a corruption of the Old Kannada ಒಡ, is affixed to the past relative participle. In Telugu, on the other hand, there are three ways of forming the conditional form—by adding the suffix of of to the past verbal participle. これの はいまします。 はいまします。 and by adding また to the past tense of a finite verb, これをあるいる. Not only are the particles different in the two languages but also the formation of the conditional forms.

3. The use of සපා and පප for සපුා and පපු.

The 36th sutra in the 2nd chapter of the $\widehat{A}ndhra$ chintāmaṇi, ಪ್ರಥಮಲುಗಪಿ ದ್ವಿನುಲ್ಯೋ, says that in compounds the final double nu and lu of the first word may become single, but not when followed by a vowel. It will be seen that this rule is optional; it operates only in compounds in which the first words end in double nuor lu, and not when they are followed by a vowel. Mr. Raghunatha Rao thinks it necessary to press into his service a part of this optional rule to explain the form සහ in Kannada. The word සහ or සහ is the common property of Kannada and Telugu, as also of many other languages of the Dravidian group. forms in Telugu and Kannada, though similar, have been arrived at in different ways. In Telugu, as the rule quoted above shows, the original form of the word was జెల్లు. For metrical purposes the double లు was

sometimes made single (Brown's Grammar, p. 296). But in Kannada the original form was ສະວຳ, which is changed into ສະບາ or ສະບາ in compounds in shatpadi works. The principle according to which this change takes place is this: Sanskrit words are made tadbhava by separating conjunct consonants and adding some vowel, generally u, to the first letter to secure easy enunciation, e.g., ສະລຸ, ສະລຸສຸ, ສະວຸສຸ, ສະວຸສຸ, ສະວຸສຸກ, ສະວຸສຸກ, ສະວຸສຸກ, ພວງສຸກ, ພວ

With regard to eg Mr. Raghunatha Rao dogmatically asserts ಇದು ತೆಲುಗಿನ ಪದ್ಧತಿ—this is the Telugu usage. We know that Telugu does not use eg as a locative suffix, nor does it change it into eo. The locative suffix in Telugu is පංතා to which the particle පට is sometimes added, but this eo is distinctly stated to be an augment used only in forming compounds. answers to ಇನ of Kannada—ಅಂದಲ, ಅಲ್ಲಿನ. Under the rule quoted above, the example of zo becoming zo in compounds is also given. I do not see how this can prove Telugu influence. Let us see if we can account for this form in Kannada. It is optional in Kannada to use either of the forms ಬಂದಪಂ or ಬಂದಪ್ಪಂ, ತರಿಪಂ or ತರಿಪ್ಪಂ, ರಂಜಿಕುಂ or ರಂಜಿಕ್ನುಂ. Metrical requirements are no doubt the cause of this option. The same appears to be the case with the locative suffix eg. Its alternative form eo occurs in works and inscriptions of even the 11th century. Inserting or dropping a consonant for metrical purposes is very common in Tamil and Telugu. We therefore see even here the operation of a general law in all these languages and need not postulate the influence of one over another.

From what has been said above it is not to be inferred that Telugu has not exercised any influence whatever on the Kannada language. Verbal roots ending in vowels, the addition of u to nouns ending in

i, n, and e, and the use of the root an for en in Modern Kannada are only a few of the facts which bear testimony to Telugu influence. What was meant was that in the cases mentioned by Mr. Raghunatha Rao there was no reason at all to postulate Telugu influence.

STAGES OF THE KANNADA LANGUAGE.

There are three stages of the Kannada language, namely, Pūrvada Halagannada or Primitive Old Kanthe Primitive Old Kannada, Halanada. gannada or Old Kannada and Hosagannada or Modern Kannada. Kittel, in the Introduction to his Kannada-English Dictionary, omits, however, Primitive Old Kannada and gives Classical, Mediæval and Modern as the three stages of the language. Primitive Old Kannada is said to have been in use to the end of the 7th century and seems to answer to the Palagannada of Nripatunga. It is a very important stage in the language possessing several grammatical peculiarities which are not found in the succeeding or Halagannada stage. As instances may be mentioned:—

- 1. The lengthening of the vowel of the conjugational suffixes of the 3rd person—ಸುದಾರ್, ಏುಯಾರ್, ಪಡೆ ಹಾಕ, ಪ್ರಸಾದಂಗೆಯ್ದಾರ್.
- 2. The very common change of this vowel into ō—ಸಂದ್ರೋ, అభ్యుశ్ర, ಕೊಂದ್ರೋ, ಕೊಟ್ಟೋರ್, ಸಲ್ಪೋಕ್.
- 3. The use of ul for the locative suffix—ಪೆಟ್ಟದುಳ್, ಭರಣಯುಳ್.
- 4. The lengthening of the vowel in the suffixes of the negative mood—ತಪ್ಪಾದೆ, ಕುಡಾದೊಕ, ನಿಲರಾಱಾದೆ.
- 5. The use of ಒದು and sometimes of ಅದು for the neuter suffix—ಇತ್ತೊದು, ಕೊಟ್ಟೊದು, ವಿಟ್ಟದು.
- 6. The use of n for bindu—ಅವ೯, ಆದಿತ್ಯ೯, ಇಂಬಿನಿ೯, ನೋನ್ಪಿ, ಅವನ್ನೆ.
- 7. The lengthening of the vowel of the accusative suffix, even when not followed by a vowel—ఎంటుమెక్త రాంక్ కుట్ను, ಕೋಚೆಯಾಕ రೋಹಿಸಿ.

- 8. The lengthening of a the genitive and adjectival suffix—ದೇವನಾ, ಅವರಾ, ಏಜನೆಯಾ, ಕೀಜಿಯಾ.
- 9. The use of ಗ or ಕ as the suffix of the 3rd person of the imperative mood—ಕೊಳ್ಗ, ಕಡುಗ, ಪುಟ್ಟಲ್ಕ, ರಕ್ಷಕ. We have this suffix in Modern Kannada also: ನಿನ್ನ ಮನೆ ಕಾಯುವಾಗ, ಅವನ ಮನೆ ಹಾಳಾಗಿ ಹೋಗ.
- 10. The use of v for b—ಎನ್ವ, ಉಣ್ಬೋರ್, ಇರ್ಪದಿನ್ವರ್, ಒಪ್ಪೆ, ವರೆದೊ ${\it E}$.
- 11. The use of the vowel e for i--ಎ $\mathfrak m$, ಎನೆಬ್ಬರುಂ, ಎನೆತುಮಂ.
- 12. The use of double consonants for single ones— ತದೆಕ್ಕಾಡು, ತರೆಪ್ಪೊಟ್, ಎುಟುತ್ತನಂ, ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ.

As no works of this period have come down to us, a few inscriptions of the 6th, 7th and 8th centuries will be quoted to illustrate the points noted above.

1. Tagare Plates of Polavīra.* C. 550. ಇದರ್ಕ್ಕೆ ನಲವೇಡುರ್ಪೂ ಪುಣ್ಯಭಾಗಿ ಅಕೆ, ಕೇಡುವೇಡುರ್ವೊ ಪ್ಷ್ಚಾಮಹಾ ಪಾತಕ್ಕ ಅಕೆ.

2. Bādāmi Cave Inscription. † C. 575.

ಸ್ಪಸ್ತಿ ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಪ್ರಿಥಿವೀವಲ್ಲಥ ಮಂಗಲೀಸನಾ ಕಲ್ಮನೆಗೆ ಇತ್ತೊದು ಲಂಜಿಗೇಸರಂ. ದೇವರ್ಕೈ ಪೂನಿಅುವ ಮಾಲಾಕಾರರ್ಗ್ಗೆ ಅರ್ದ್ಧವಿಸದಿ ಇತ್ತೊ ದಾನಚಿರ್ಪೂ ಪಞ್ಚಮಹಾಪಾತಕನಕುಂ ಏಚನೆಯಾ ನರಕದಾ ಪುಟು ಅಕುಂ.

3. Koppa 38 (Kadur District). C. 675.

ಅದಾನಡಿಪೋರುಂ ಮನದೆ ನೆನೆಪೋರುಂ ಅಡಿಮೆನೆಂದುಪಾದೇಶಂ ಕೊಡುಪೋರುಂ ಪಞ್ಚ ಮಹಾಪಾತಕಸಂಯುಕ್ತ ರಪ್ಪಾರ್. ದೇವದಣ್ಡ ದಿನ್ನೆ ಱುವೆ ಪ್ರಡುಪೋರುಮಪ್ಪಾರ್. ರಾಜದಣ್ಣ ಮುಮೆಯ್ದೆ ಪ್ರಡುವಾರ್.

4. Koppa 37 (Kadur District). C. 690.

ಕೊಳ್ಬೋರುಂ ಕೊಣ್ಡು ಉಣ್ಬೋರುಂ ಪೂತಿ ಎನ್ನ ನರಗಕ್ಕೆ ಪಲ್ಗೆ. ಧರ್ಮಮಾ ಕಾರ್ದೋರಾ ಕುಲಂಪೆಟ್ಟುಗೆ. ಇರ್ರಾ ಪೆಱನೊರ್ವ ಕೊಳ್ಬೋನುಂ ಕೊಡುವೋನುಂ ಪಞ್ಚಮಹಾಪಾತಕನಕ್ಕುಂ.

5. Śravana Belgola 88, C. 700. ಪರಮಾರ್ಥಂ ಮೆಚ್ಚೆನಾನೀ ಥರಣಿಯುಳಿರವಾನೆನ್ದು ಸನ್ಯಾಸನಂಗೆ ! ಯ್ದು ರುನತ್ತ್ವು೯ ನನ್ನಿ ಸೇನ ಪ್ರವರಮುನಿವರ೯ ದೇವರೋಕಕ್ಕೆ ಸನ್ದಾ೯ ॥

6. Śravana Belgola 27, C. 700. ಮಾಸೇನರ್ ಪರಮಪ್ರಭಾವರಿಷಿಯರ್ ಕಟ್ಪಪ್ಪಿನಾ ವೆಟ್ಟದುಳ್ ! ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಘಂಗಳ ಪೇಟ್ದ ಸಿದ್ಧ ಸಮಯಂ ತಪ್ಪಾದೆ ನೋನ್ತಿಮ್ಮಿ ನಿ೯ ॥

^{*} Mysore Archæological Report for 1918, 36.

[†] Indian Antiquary, x, 59.

ಪ್ರಾನಾದಾನ್ತರಮಾ೯ ವಿಚಿತ್ರಕನಕಪ್ರಜ್ಬಲ್ಯದಿ೯ ಮಿಕ್ಕುದಾ೯ | ನಾಸಿರ್ವರ್ ವರಪೂಜೆದಂದುಯೆ ಅವರ್ ಸ್ವರ್ಗಾಗ್ರಮಾನೇಱುದಾರ್|

- 7. Māchēnhalļi Plates of Jayasangraha,* C. 700. ಮೂನೂರ್ವರುಂ ರಕ್ಷಿಕ ಕಿಜಾಯ ಅರಸಂಗೆ ಒಕ್ಕರ್ ಪೆಬ್ಬು ಗೆ.
- 8. Inscription at Mahākūṭa near Bādāmi,† C. 720. ದೇವನಾ ಪೀಠಮಾ೯ ಕಿಸುವಿನೆ ಕಟ್ಟ ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಯಾ ಕೊಡೆಯಾನೇಜಿಸಿ ಎ ಮ ಜ್ಗಲುಳ್ಳೆ ಆಪ್ಪಶತಂ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರಗೊಟ್ಟೊರ್. ಇದಾನಟಿದೊ೯ ಪೆಳ್ಜಾ ಮಹಾಪಾತಕನಕ್ಕುಂ.
- 9. Hoskôte 86 (Bangalore District). C. 725. ಇದಚಿರ್ವೋ ವಾರಣಾಸಿಯುಳ್ ಸಾಸಿರ್ವ ಪಾರ್ವರುಂ ಸಾಸಿರ ಕವಿ ಲೆಯುಂ ಕೊಂದೋನ ಲೋಕಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂದೋಕ.
- 10. Bowringpet 13 (Kolar District). C. 725. ಪಾಲ್ಪಡುವಿನುಳ್ ಪಡುವಣ ಕೆಜೆಯುಳ್ ತಾಮರೆಕಟ್ಡಿ ೯ ಕೆಪಗೆ ಕಲ್ನಾಡು ಪ್ರಸಾದಜ್ಗೆಯ್ದಾರ್.
- 11. Dēvalāpura Inscription (Mysore District).‡ C. 750.

ವುದುಗರುಂ ಕೋನಬ್ಬರುಂ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಆಗ ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ವಾರಣಾಸಿಯಾ ಸಾಸಿರಕವಿಲೆ ನಾಸಿರವಾರ್ವರ್ ಕೊನ್ದ ಕೊಲೆ ಅಕ್ಕ. ಕೆಡಿಸಿದೋನುಂ ಕೇಡು ವೇಡಿದೋನುಂ ಓತೋನುಂ ಒದವಿದೋನುಂ.

- 12. Agara Inscription (Mysore District). © C. 750. ನಾಲ್ಪದಿನ್ಪರ್ಕ್ಯಾಂ ಒನ್ದು ನ್ನಿಂಗಳೆ ವಿಟ್ಟಿ ಇರ್ಲ್ಲೆ ಎಲ್ಲುಕೋಳ್ ಇಲ್ಲೆ. ಇರ್ಪದಿನ್ವರಾ ಎಲ್ಲುಕೋಳ್ ಇಲ್ಲೆ. ತರೆಪ್ಪೂ ಆಯರ್ಕ್ನ ಸುಂಕಂ.
 - 13. Pāvagada 11 (Tumkur District). 754.

ಇತ್ತಾರಕಳೆನಮುದ್ರದುಳ್. ಪಕ್ರವಪ್ಟೋ೯ ಪಞ್ಯಮಹಾಪಾತಕನಕ್ಕುಂ, ರಕ್ಷಿಪ್ಟೋ೯ ವೈಕೊನ್ನವಾಸಿಯಕ್ಕುಂ, ಲಿಖಿಚ್ಚಿರ್ದೋ ದಿವ್ಯನಾಮಾಕುಲ೯.

14. Heggadadēvankōṭe 87 (Mysore District). C. 800.

ಸ್ಪಸ್ತಿ ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಶಿವಮಾರಂ ಪ್ರಿಥುವೀರಾಜ್ಯಂಕೆಯೆ ವೆದಿರುಕ ಸ್ಪರ್ಗತ್ತೆ ಆಬೆಯ ಮರಿಯಾದಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಾಕ. ಸೇಬೆಗೆ ವಿಟ್ವಾರ್. ಇದಾನಚಿತ್ತೋಕ ಪಭ್ಚ ಮಹಾಪಾತಕಸಂಯುಕ್ತನಪ್ಪೋಕ. ಅನ್ದಿನಾ ತೆಟ್ ಎ ಕೊಳ್ಗ ಅನ್ದಿ ನಾಕೆಯ್ಬೆಸನೆ ಕೊಳ್ಗ. ದೇವಮಣ್ಣು ವಾಂದಾರ ಎಂಟತ್ತನವು. ಅದಾನಚಿತ್ತೋಕನಾಸಿರ ಕವಿ ಲೆಯುಮಾಕ ಕೊನ್ನೋಕ ಅಪ್ಪೋಕ. ಒಕ್ಕಲುಳ್ಳೊತ್ತಿನ್ನ ಕ್ಕಳ್ ಪುಟ್ಟೆಲ್ಕ. ಪುಟ್ಟಿ ದೊಪುಂ ಪುರಿಗ.

† Indian Antiquary x, 104.

§ *Ibid.* for 1917, 31.

^{*} Mysore Archæological Report for 1908, 6.

[‡] Mysore Archæological Report for 1916, 37.

15. Sorab 10 (Shimoga District). C. 800. ಆಳುವೆಬೇಡಮುಖನಾಸಿರನುಮಾಕ ಚಿತ್ರವಾಹನನಾಳುತ್ತುಂ ಬಾಯ್ಕೇ ಳದಿರೆ ಮುನಿದು ನೊಬಮ್ಮ ರಾದಿತ್ಯನಕ ವೆಸನರ್ ಹೆಗ್ಗು ಸ್ಟ್ರ್ಯಾಯ ಕೋಚೆಯಾಕ ರೋಹಿಸಿ ಕಿಟ್ಟಿ ಕಾದಿ ಮೆಜುವಕ್ಕ ದವರ ಮೆಯ್ಮೆ ಯ್ಯಂಬಾಗೆ ಎಚ್ಚೋಡಿಸಿ ತಾನುಂ ಪಲವುಂ ಏನುವೆತ್ತು ಕಣೆಪಪ್ಪುರದೊಳೆಱಗಿ ಭೀಷ್ಮಕ ವಿಬ್ದನ್ನೆ ನೆಲಮುಟ್ಟದೆ ಬಿದ್ದೋನಾಕ ದೇವಗಣಿಕ್ಕೆಯರ್ ಅರ್ಗ್ಯಮ್ಟಿ ಡಿದು ಬನ್ನಿ ದಿರ್ಗ್ಗೊಣ್ಣು ಯೆ ವೀರಲೋ ಕಕ್ಕೆ ಸನ್ಪೋರ್ಕ. ಇನ್ತೀ ಎನೆಬ್ಬರುಂ ಶ್ರೀಕರಣಸಹಿತಂ ಬಿಟ್ಟಾರ್. ಇದಕ ಕೆಡಿ ಪೋನುಂ ಕೆಡೆಬಾಡ್ಸೋನುಂ ಪಫ್ಚಾಮಹಾಪಾತಕನೆಯುಕ್ತನಕ್ಕುಂ. ವಾರ ಹಾಸಿಯೊಳ್ ಸಾಸಿರಕವಿಲೆಯಕ ಕೊನ್ನೋನ ಲೋಕಕ್ಕೆ ಸನ್ಪೋನುಮಕ್ಕುಂ.

Now, the forms ಸಂದಾಕ, ಬಂದ್ಯೋಕ, ಒಕ್ಕಲುಳ್, ತಪ್ಪಾದೆ, ಎಟ್ಟದು, ನ್ನೋನ್ಪಿ, ಕೆಡುಗ, ವರೆದ್ಯೆಕ್, ಎಜು, ತಡಕ್ಕಾಡು, which are not found in Halagannada, are identical or nearly so with the forms used in Tamil. It may be stated that as we go farther back to the early period of Kannada literature we shall find the forms approximate more and more to the Tamil ones.

A few notes may be added on certain additional forms contained in the inscriptions quoted above. The numbers denote those of the inscriptions.

- 2. ದೇವರ್ಕ್ಕೆ. The suffix ke occurs where we should expect ge. Compare also ಮುತ್ತರನರ್ಕ್ಕೆ in another inscription of C. 750. ಪೂನಿಹುವ. ನಿಹು as a verb is obsolete in Halagannada, though the word ನಿಹುಗೆ occurs.
- 3. ಅಚಿಮೆ೯, the 2nd person plural of the imperative mood, is identical with the Tamil ಅಚಿಮಿ೯. The Halagannada form is ಅಚಿಯಿಂ. The form ಕೊಡು occurs, which is identical with the Tamil ಕೊಡು, the Halagannada form being ಕುಡು. It is interesting to note the passive forms ಎಂಜುವೆಪ್ಪಡುಪೂರ್ and ಎಯ್ಯಪ್ಪಡುಪಾರ್ in about 675.
- 8. పటున్ ఎ. The particle of emphasis e is not combined with the previous word as in Halagannada ాళ్ళ answers to ఒళ of Halagannada.
- 10. ಕಟ್ಟಿ೯ಕೆಬಗೆ Cp. Tamil ಕಟ್ಟಿ೯ಕೀಡ್. The Halagannada form would be ಕಟ್ಟನಕೆಬ $ec{r}$.
- 11. ಆಗ Cp. Tamil ಆಗ. In Halagannada it would be ಆಗುಗೆ. ಕಡು is identical with the Tamil ಕಡು, the Halagannada form being ಕಡು. 9*

12. අදු Cp. Tamil අදු $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{F}}$. In Halagannada it is generally අදු.

13. ವೈಕೊನ್ನ, the tadbhava of ವೈಕುಂಠ, may be compared with the Tamil ವೈಗುನ್ನಂ. ಲಖಚ್ಚಿದ್ಯೋ may be compared with the Telugu ಲಖಂಚಿನವಾಂಡು and the Tamil ಲಕಿಕ್ಕೋ. The Halagannada form would be ಲಖಸಿದಂ.

14. అచిక్కూ is identical with the Tamil అచిక్కూ . It would be అచిదం in Halagannada. పుక్కల్ఫ (let them not be born) is the same as the Tamil negative verb పిజవజ్ఞ, the Tamil root corresponding to పుట్ట being పిజ. ఆలో is the negative particle in both the languages—పుగలో మాడలం; కోయ్యజ్ఞ. In Halagannada it would be పుట్టదిక్కా.

As stated before, Kittel omits Primitive Old Kannada altogether, and mentions Halagannada. Classical or Ancient Kannada answering to Halagannada and Modern Kannada with an intervening stage called Mediæval Kannada. According to him Halagannada is quite uniform and shows an extraordinary amount of polish and refinement. It has to the present time been preserved in several works written mostly by Jaina scholars, and appears to have been in common use for literary purposes from at least the 10th to the middle of the 13th century. Its principal characteristics are the elaborate and highly artificial champu composition, strict adherance to the use of now more or less disused case-and tense-signs and to the rules of syntax, the use of Sanskrit words in their unaltered form whenever desirable or necessary as an aid in composition and that of a conventionally received number of tadbhavas, the proper distinction between the letters $\omega \otimes \sigma$ and σ —alliteration carefully based also on this distinction—and lastly pleasing euphonic junction of letters.

If the term Modern Kannada is limited to the Mediæval Kannada present Kannada of prose writings and common conversation, as is done by Dr. Kittel, an intermediate stage between

Halagannada and Modern Kannada, styled by him Mediæval Kannada, is quite necessary. This, as contained in the poetry of Viraśaiva authors, is written mostly in *shatpadi* metre, is negligent as to the use of suffixes and the rules of syntax, uses a few new suffixes, contains a number of tadbhavas not sanctioned by previous authors, has entirely lost the letter ω and frequently changes the letter p of the present or future verbal suffix and an initial p into h. Its period terminates at about the end of the 15th century.

From about the 16th century, according to Kittel, Mediæval Kannada got the character of the language of the present day or of Modern Kannada, which transition is seen especially in the poetry of the Vaishnavas.

Several ancient verbs and nouns fell into disuse, the letter & began to be discarded, words borrowed from Mahrāthi and Hindustāni came into use, and more

frequent omission of suffixes took place.

Modern Kannada, comprising the present Kannada of prose writings and common conversation, is less particular in the choice of words, arbitrary about the use of suffixes and not sparing in the use of vulgarisms especially in ordinary talk. Many words are Sanskrit especially such as are abstract, religious or scientific terms, the ancient form of the present tense has been changed, most verbal suffixes have been somewhat altered, a few of the suffixes of nouns and pronouns have ceased to be used, many verbs, nouns and particles have become obsolete, and other verbs and nouns have been formed mostly based on existing roots. It is not uniform but varies more or less according to localities.

Some scholars are of opinion that only two stages need be recognised. A scholar says: "The language changed gradually, and no useful purpose is served by dividing its history into clearly defined periods. About A.D. 1100 Nayasēna spoke of a Hosagannada, and it was extensively used 50 years later. That is the only dividing line which deserves recognition."

In this connection, it may be mentioned that

Forms once condemned have become current. what were condemned as ungrammatical and unidiomatic in one stage of the language have become approved forms in the next stage.

As instances may be mentioned, certain specific statements of Kesirāja in his Sabdamaņidarpaņa about the use of certain forms and words which were not strictly followed by writers who came after him. (1) He lays down the rule that l ought not to be used in place of l in words such as ಬೆರರ್ (sūtra 28). (2) He condemns the use, as *sithila*, of an initial letter compounded with $r\bar{e}pha$ following a short letter (sūtras 59 and 60); e.g., ಮಿಹುಗುತ್ತಿರ್ಷ ತ್ರಿಶೂಲದಿಂ. (3) He says that verbs in the present tense ought not to be used as declinable krillingas (sūtra 73)*, e.g., ಮಾಡಿದಪನಂ (4) He states that nouns ending in consonants, except enty, ಈಗಳ್, ಪಗರ್ and ಇರುಳ್, do not add the augment in before the genitive suffix a (sūtra 109); e.g., ಬೆಮರಿನ. (5) He disapproves of the use of the suffix ike after roots ending in consonants, e.g., ಕಾಣಕ್ಕೆ and of the suffix tana after Sanskrit words, e.g., ಆರೋಹಕತನ (sūtra 211)†. sati-saptami, which refers to two subjects or nominatives he prescribes the use of the suffix e and does not allow the use of al (sūtra 249). (7) Finally, he states that when both the words forming a compound are capable of being used as tadbhavas, it is wrong to use only one of them as a tadbhava and leave the other in its Sanskrit form; e.g., ಮಾಣಕ್ಕ ಭಂಡಾರ (sūtra 289). Most of the forms disallowed by Kēsirāja have subsequently been looked upon as correct.

A word in explanation of sūtra 60 in which Kēsirāja condemns the use as śithila of an Kēsirāja's 60th sūtra.

Explanation of Kēsirāja's 60th sūtra.

rēpha (see 2 above), may be said

^{*} Bhaṭṭākalanka allows this. See his sūtras 447, 448 and 544. † Bhaṭṭākalanka allows forms like ಉನ್ನತವನ, See his sūtra 431.

here, as the terms ನತ್ಯಜಾಧ್ಯವಹಾರಿ and ಅರೋಚ used by him in it do not appear to have been properly understood. Kittel wrongly translates the sūtra. I give below the sūtra and his translation:—

ಬಗೆಯದೆ ಸತೃಣಾಭ್ಯವಹಾ । ರಿಗಳುಸಿರ್ವರ್ ಗದ್ಯಕಾವ್ಯದೊಳ್ ನಿರ್ಬಂಧಂ । ಪುಗದೆಂದರೋಚಿಗಳದಂ । ತೆಗಪೆಂದಾಗದ್ಯಪದ್ಯಕಾವ್ಯದೊಳಕ್ಕರ್ ॥

Some (grammarians or poets) who are fond of a practice that is connected with grass (satrinābhyavahārigaļ), do not consider that abnormity does not enter in poetical prose (and use a short letter followed by an initial letter which is a compound with rēpha, as šithila); such disgustful persons (aröchigal) do put it in poetical prose without calling it a blame (tegaļ)*.

The terms ಅರೋಚ and ਨਤ੍ਹਲਾਤਸ਼੍ਰਹੱਲਤਾਰ are taken by Kēsirāja from Vāmana's Kāvyālankārasūtra (I, 2, 1-3) where they are used in the sense of "the wise" and "the

foolish":--

ಅಧಿಕರಣ I, ಅಧ್ಯಾಯ 2.

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ನಿರೂಪಣಾರ್ಥಮಾಹ —

ನೂತ್ರಂ ॥ ಅರೋಚಕಿನಃ ಸತೃಣಾಭ್ಯವಹಾರಿಣಶ್ಚ ಕವಯಃ ॥ ೧ ॥ ಇಹ ಖಲು ದ್ವಯೇ ಕವಯಃ ಸಂಭವಂತಿ....ಅರೋಚಕಿನಃ ಸತೃಣಾಧ್ಯವಹಾರಿ ಣಶ್ಚೇತಿ. ಅರೋಚಕಿ ಸತೃಣಾಧ್ಯವಹಾರಿ ಶಬ್ದಾ ಗೌಣಾರ್ಥೌ. ಕೋನಾವರ್ಥಃವಿವೇಕಿತ್ವ ಮವಿವೇಕಿತ್ವಂ ಚೇತಿ. ತದಾಹ....

ಸೂತ್ರಂ ॥ ಪೂರ್ವೇ ಶಿಷ್ಯಾಃ । ವಿವೇಕಿತ್ತಾತ್ ॥ ೨ ॥ ಪೂರ್ವೇ ಖಲ್ಬರೋಚಕಿನಃ ಶಿಕ್ಕಾಃ ಶಾಸನೀಯಾಃ....ವಿವೇಕಿತ್ವಾತ್ ವಿವೇ

ಚನಶೀಲತ್ಯಾತ್.

ಸೂತ್ರಂ | ನೇತರೇ | ತದ್ದಿಪರ್ನಯಾತ್ || ೩ ||

ಇತರೇ ಸತ್ಯಣಾಭ್ಯವಹಾರಿಣೀ ನ ಶಿಪ್ಯಾಃ ಪಡ್ಡಿಪರ್ಯಯಾತ್ ಅವಿವೇಚನ ಶೀಲತ್ಯಾತ್ ಎ ಶೀಲಮಪಾಕರ್ತುಂ ಶಕ್ತಂ.

ಸತ್ಯಣಾಭ್ಯವಹಾರಿ would be a man who eats food mixed with straw, i.e., a man devoid of the power of discrimination, in other words, a fool. Similarly ಅರೋಚ or ಅರೋಚಕಿ would be a man who does not relish food until

^{*} A Grammar of the Kannada Language (1903), preface IV.

he has completely examined it, i.e., a man endowed with the power of discrimination.

The correct rendering of the sūtra would be-

Some fools, without reflection, use such a sithila thinking that no restriction applies to prose; but the wise, looking upon it as a blemish, will use it neither in prose nor in poetry.

CHAPTER V.

Changes in the language. Changes in form. Phonetic decay. Emphasis. Prosthesis. Compensation. Analogy. Assimilation. Metathesis. Changes in meaning. Extension. Contraction. Amelioration. Deterioration. Euphemism. Freemasonry in words. Extension by metaphor. Changes in form of Old Kannada words when becoming Modern Kannada. Mistakes committed by authors, Some general remarks on the language. Disappearance of old and entry of new words. Words not found in classical literature but current in common speech. Some lost words that deserve restoration. Some words which are seemingly correct. False etymology. Forms given in Kannada grammars. Tamil rules of euphonic combination adopted in Kannada. Help of Tamil in the derivation of some Kannada words. Words about whose derivation Grammarians differ. Reform of the language. Advancement of the language.

CHANGES IN THE LANGUAGE.

If there can exist a fixed and stable society, cut off from close intercourse with its neighbours and handing down unchanged its customs and institutions, it is likely to have a more or less fixed and stable language. language is the mirror of the society that uses it, and where the society alters but little, the language, too, will alter but little. But this state of things is very rare Language requires uninterrupted intercourse between the whole body of speakers to keep it uniform; this is not, however, possible beyond a certain area, and consequently language tends to split up into dialects. Differences have also arisen from difference in climate. food and education. A language which has no manner of contact with any other resembles a stagnant pool of water and is liable to decay, while a language which does not refuse help from other languages as necessity arises resembles a flowing river and is capable of attain-

ing a high development.

Changes are constantly going on in a language. They are so gradual that the speakers of the language are unconscious of them. As civilisation increases language changes somewhat rapidly and words become corrupt; but grammar affords a check to this variability. In languages which have no grammar the changes go on unchecked, till they become almost unintelligible to the speakers themselves.

There are certain principles regulating the changes in a language which are of two kinds, namely, changes

in form and changes in meaning. They are:-

Laziness (or Phonetic decay) which means economy of effort, i.e., a desire to Changes in form. do what is to be done with the Phonetic decay. least expenditure of energy. due to this principle that words become clipped and shortened in the course of time, until it may happen that nothing is left of the original. Rapid speaking, an imperfect ear or pronunciation, and the common desire to save time and trouble are the causes of the wearing away of words in daily use. As instances may be mentioned ಹೊಸಲು corrupted from the Old Kannada ಫೊಸಂತಿಲ್; ಚಾಟ from ಚಮ್ಮಟಿಗೆ, $a\ tadbhava$ of ಚರ್ಮಪಟ್ಟಿಕಾ; ತಂಗಳು from ತಣ್ಣೂ ಆ್. Cp. ಅರುಮಂದಪಿಳೈ for ಅರುಮರುಂದನ್ನ ಪಿಳ್ಳೈ, ಶೀಮಾರಾವ for ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸರಾಘವ in Tamil. Cp. also ಬಂತು for ಬಂದಿತ್ತು ನಿಂತು for ನಿಂತಿತು in Kannada, and ಆಚ್ಚು for ಆಯಿಜ್ಞು in Tamil.

2. Emphasis is the striving after clearness and distinctness. It works in the contrary direction to phonetic decay and counterbalances it. It is according to this principle that new letters are added to words. Cp. the words sound and lend in English with the additional letter d; the Tamil word sous for ser and the Kannada word sous

Prosthesis or the insertion of a vowel before a word beginning with a double consonant, or as is specially the case in

Tamil, before a word beginning with y, r, l, also comes under this head. ಇಸ್ಕ್ರೇಲು, ಇಸ್ತಿರಿಗಳ್; ಇಯಕ್ಕರ್, ಆರಂಗಶಾಮಿ, ಆರಶ೯ ಅರಸಂ, ಇಲಕ್ಕಣಂ, ಉಲ್ಲೋಕಂ.

What are now suffixes were once independent words with a definite meaning. But owing to carelessness, forgetfulness, laziness, economy of effort and wrong pronunciation on the part of the speakers of the language, in other words, owing to phonetic decay, they have now become mostly meaningless particles. In a literary language every syllable is watched with jealous care; but in spoken dialects phonetic decay reigns supreme. It is owing to changes such as these that the earliest written documents of a language become obscure in course of time and at last unintelligible.

In compensation, which means that the loss of a sound is compensated by the Compensation. lengthening of the adjoining syllable, both phonetic decay and emphasis are at work; e.g., మయుర మేంగ్ర, బదిర క్యేర్; బ్యేటుదు భ్యేదు, బేయర్ జేంగ్ర్, ఆదీస్త దాని, ఇదిని ద్విస్త, కేజేజు కోటు, కేజేపు కోంబు; అగురు గ్యూరు, ఒరర్ ర్యూలు.

Analogy means the mistaken application of a rule to cases to which it does not Analogy. apply. According to Sayce, it is a main element of change in the signification as well as in the outward form of words; and just as phonetic decay wastes and destroys, so analogy repairs and re-The one is the agent of destruction, the constructs. other of construction, though they both spring from the same root of human laziness. The principle of analogy may be ultimately traced partly to the desire of saving trouble, partly to the natural instinct of imitation. It is easier for the vocal organs to repeat the same sound than to attempt a new one, while the repetition of the same idea or the expression of an analogous one, involves less exertion on the part of the mind. Habit is a ruling power in life, and sounds or ideas to which we are accustomed rise uncalled for to the intelligence and

the lips. A few instances of false analogy may now be given. ಹೊದ್ದು is the colloquial past participle of the root ಹೊದ (more correctly ಹೊದೆ). Similarly ಕದ್ದು is taken to be the past participle of a root #a which has no existence in literary Kannada, the correct root being ಕಳ್. ಕದ್ದು is only a corrupt form of ಕಳ್ಳು, just as ಬಿದ್ದು is of සාසා Again, on the analogy of නිරු a curious form ಕುಂತು is made use of in place of the correct form ಕುಳಿತು. Roots ending in a double consonant such as ಕಟ್ಟು, ಕುಟ್ಟು, ಮುಕ್ಕು, ನಕ್ಕು, ಕಚ್ಚು, ಮುಚ್ಚು add the suffix itu to form the neuter singular of the past tense : ಕಟ್ಟಿತು, ಕುಟ್ಟಿತು, ಕಚ್ಚಿತು, The same suffix is also added to the past participles of verbs, which end in a double consonant: ಕೊಟ್ಟಿತು (from ಕೊಡು), ಬಿಟ್ಟಿತು (from ಬಿಡು), ಮಿಕ್ಕಿತು (from ಮಿಗು), The suffix in these cases ought to be udu. Cp. ಪೌರ್ವಾತ್ಯ on the analogy of ಪಾಶ್ಚಾತ್ಯ ; ವನಸ್ಪತಿ on the analogy of ದಿವಸ್ತತಿ etc.

Among other causes of changes in form may be mentioned assimilation and metathesis. In assimilation a vowel or consonant in a word becomes similar to another vowel or consonant in the same word; e.g., కళుడు for కడిప్పు అందు for అందు, ఎమ్మ for ఎమ్మ for బిట్ను. Metathesis is the euphonic displacement of consonants and vowels; e.g., అలరా అందు, ఎలరా ఎందు, మందు మందు మందు; కేప్యే కేద్యే, కేఎప్పుళ్ పుంక్కళ్ పుంక్కల, పడంగా ఉందు.

Generally speaking, there are four ways in which the meanings of words undergo change, namely, extension, contraction, amelioration and deterioration. By extension is meant that words which once denoted only a few special objects have their meaning extended so that they now denote more things than they did before; e.g., ಎಣ್ಣ, ಒರೆ, ಜೆಂಬು, ಯಜಮಾನ. ಎಣ್ಣೆ (ಎಳ್+

ವೆಯ್) once denoted the oil derived from ಎಳ್, the sesamum seed, i.e., gingely oil. But now it is applied not only to oils derived from various other seeds, but also to those derived from wood, etc., e.g., ಬೇವಿನೆಣ್ಣ, ಕೈಯೆಣ್ಣ್ or ಹರಳೆಣ್ಣಿ, ಹಿಪ್ಪೆಯೆಣ್ಣಿ, ಹೊಂಗೆಯೆಣ್ಣಿ, ಸಾಸವೆಯೆಣ್ಣಿ, ಗಂಧದೆಣ್ಣೆ, ಕೊಪರಿ ಯುಣ್ಣ, and so forth. The derivation of ಎಣ್ಣ was forgotten and we now have ಅಚ್ಚೆಳೆಣ್ಣೆ and ಹುಚ್ಚೆಳೆಣ್ಣೆ. ಓರೆ once meant an ear ornament made of palm leaf, but now it means not merely an ear ornament made of palm leaf, but also one made of gold or any other material; e.g., ಚನ್ನದ ಓರೆ, ವಜ್ಯದ ಓಲೆ, ಕೆಂಪಿನ ಓಲೆ, etc. ಚೆಂಬು was once applied to a copper vessel, but now it denotes in addition a vessel made of any metal or even of wood or stone; e.g., ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಯ ಚೆಂಬು, ಚಿನ್ನದ ಚೆಂಬು, ಹಿತ್ತಾಳೆಯ ಚೆಂಬು, ಮರದ ಚೆಂಬು, etc. ಯಜಮಾನ once meant a man who performed and bore the expenses of a sacrifice, but now it means besides a head of a family, a respectable or elderly person. ಮರಕ್ಕಾರ್ originally a measure made of wood; but now applied to measures made of metal, etc., also. Cp. also ಯತ್ನ ಅಗ್ನೇ.

On the other hand, contraction limits the use of words which were once of a wider application to some special things, e.g., నేయ్. This word which was formerly applied even to the oils extracted from the margosa and hippe seeds, as also to honey (జే(నేయ్), is now restricted in its use to the ghee of cows and buffaloes. ఆడు in Old Tamil meant both victory and sheep, but now it means only sheep.

Words which once conveyed a bad sense come to be used in a good sense according to the process known as amelioration; e.g., కేందు, ఉందు. కేందు once meant to cohabit; it now means to develop. The meaning of ఉందు was to die; it now means to obtain. In the latter sense the word is probably a corruption of ఉందు. Cp. the Tamil word కళిళ్ళు which once denoted the pleasure

derived from drinking, but is now used in the sense of

pleasure in a general way.

On the other hand, deterioration tends to make Deterioration. words which once conveyed a good sense convey a bad sense; e.g., ಓಜ, ಕಂಪು, ದೆವ್ಪ, ದೇಗುಲ. ಓಜ once denoted a teacher; it now means a carpenter. ಕಂಪು which once meant fragrance now means bad smell. ದೆವ್ಪ meant at one time a god, but now means an evil spirit. ದೇಗುಲ once denoted a temple; now, however, it means an unwieldy deserted house. As additional instances may be given ಹಾರುವ, ಕವಳ, ನಾತ, ತೀಟೆ, ಬಸ್ತಿ, ಅಮ್ಮ, ಬಿನ್ಮಾಣ, etc.

Decency and euphemism also contribute to change in the meaning of words. Decency. society, whatever civilised indelicate is expressed in some disguised form, e.g., to go to stool is denoted by such expressions as washing the feet and going to the backyard. This is known as ಇಡಕ್ಕರಡಕ್ಕರ್ in Tamil. ಪವ್ಸೀ is usually given as an example. It means $\bar{\imath}$ added to p and denotes fæces. Similarly, whatever is considered inauspicious is expressed in such a way that the in-Euphemism. auspiciousness does not obtrude itself on one's mind; e.g., death is called ದೀರ್ಘನಿದ್ದೆ (long sleep); a widow is styled a ದೀರ್ಘ ಸುಮಂಗಲ; ಅವಲಕ್ಷಣ is denoted by the Tamil expression ಎಚ್ಚೇಕಾಲ್ ಲಕ್ಷಣಂ where ಎಟ್ಟೇಕಾಲ್ indicates the symbols $\mathcal{A}(8)$ and $\mathcal{A}(8)$; the ephemeral body is called ಮೆಯ್ as if it were a permanent thing; ಅಮಂಗಲವಾರ is known as ಮಂಗಲವಾರ. the year ಕ್ರಯ is named ಅಕ್ಷಯ. There is also a sort of freemasonry in words, i.e., a secret meaning attached to some words by certain communi-Freemansonry ties or societies, which is not underwords. stood by others; e.g., ದ್ವಯಾಕ್ಷರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ means 25 among the Srīvaishnavas; ಗುಣಪೆಕ್ಷ್ಮ means 3 rupees among merchants; and ಶೊಲ್ಏಳಂಬ means ಕಳ್

This is called **ಕುಬೂಉಕ್ಕು** in Tamil.

We spoke of extension of meaning, and this extension by metaphor and transference of meaning. Such transference is known as lakshanā in Sanskrit—an indirect application of a word as distinguished from its literary meaning or the substitution of the name of one thing for that of another connected with it, answering in a manner to the figure metonymy. This transference is known as amount of the figure synecdochy and metonymy. To give a few examples:—

(1) The part is put for the whole or the whole for the part,—ವೀಳೆಯದೆಲೆ ನಟ್ಟನು, the name of the leaf is put for the creeper; ತಾವರೆಮೊಗ, the name of the creeper

is used for that of the flower.

(2) The container for the contained and vice versa,— ಉದ್ ಬಂದು ಸೇರಿಯಿಟ್ಟಿತು, the village for the inhabitants; ದೀಪ ಒಡೆದು ಹೋಯಿತು, the flame for the lamp.

- (3) The cause for the effect and vice versa,— ಕುਲਥਾ ಮುಗಿಯುತು, the metre ಕುਲਥਾ for the work composed of it; ਛੋਨਤਾ ਛਾਮਮੂਤਾ, ਭਰਸਥਾ ಮುಡಿತು, time and month for their cause the Sun and Moon.
- (4) Measure for the thing measured,—ಒಂದು ಸೇರು ಕೊಡು, a measure of grain, etc.; ಒಂದುಮೊಳ ಬೇಕು, a cubit of cloth, etc.; ಕಾಲು ನೋಯುತ್ತದೆ, ¼ for the limb which forms that much of the body. Cp. also ನಡು; the Sanskrit ಪಾದ and ಮಧ್ಯ, and the Tamil ಅರೆತ್ರ and ಇಡೆತ್ತಿ.
- (5) Season for the crop of the season, ಕಾರು ಕೊಯ್ಯತು, the rainy season for its crop.
- (6) Quality for the thing possessed of it—బణ్ణ లుట్లెరు, colour for cloth possessed of it.
- (7) Word for its meaning,—మాతు తిళియితు, the meaning of the word.
- (8) Author for his work,—ಮಾಘವನ್ನು, ಅಮರವನ್ನು, ಓದು, the work of ಮಾಘ, of ಅಮರ.

(9) One thing for another resembling it,—ದೆಪ್ಪ, means a piece of wood which is flat like the darbha

grass.

Here also may be mentioned a few of the Sanskrit words which are used in Kannada in a sense quite different from the one they bear in Sanskrit. For instance, कुट्टा which means emaciated in Sanskrit means famine in Kannada. Similarly—

ಅಭಿಮಾನ means in Sanskrit pride and in Kannada

affection.

ಅವಸರ means in Sanskrit opportunity and in Kannada haste.

ಅನಹ್ಯ means in Sanskrit unbearable and in Kan-

nada disgustful.

ಭದ್ರ means in Sanskrit auspicious and in Kannada safe.

ವಿಪರೀತ means in Sanskrit contrary and in Kannada excessive.

As further examples may be given ಜಾಳೇಸ, ಸಭ್ಯ, ವ್ಯವಹಾರ, ಪ್ರಾಯ, ಖಂಡಿತ, ಬುದ್ಧಿ, ದಾಸೋಹ, ಆಗ್ರಹ, ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣಾರ್ಥ, ಬ್ರಹ್ಮಾಂಡ, ಆತುರ, ಸಂಭ್ರಮ, ವರ್ತಮಾನ, ಸಮಾಚಾರ, ಅಪರೂಪ, ನಿಜ, ಮರ್ಾ್ಯದೆ, ನಿದಾನ, ವಿಶ್ವಾಸ, ಲೋಧ, ಸರಾಗ, ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ, and so forth.

As further instances of changes in form dealt with

Changes in form of Old Kannada words when becoming Modern Kannada. before may be mentioned some of the changes which Old Kannada words undergo when becoming Modern Kannada. These changes are somewhat similar to those

which Sanskrit words undergo when becoming tadbhava.

(1) I becomes e—

| Sanskrit. | Tadbhava. | Old Kannada. | Modern Kannaḍa. |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| ವೆಹಿ೨ | ಎಳೆ | ಬಿಸು | ಬೆಸೆ |
| ವಿನಾಯಕ | ಬೆನಕ | ಇಸು | ಎಸೆ |
| ಬಿಲ್ಪಪತ್ರ | ಬೆ ಲ್ಲವತ್ತ | ನಿನ್ನೆ | ನೆನ್ನೆ |

(2) U becomes o—

| Sanskrit. | Tadbhava. | Old Kannada. | Modern Kunnada. |
|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|
| ತುಲಸಿ | ತೊಳಸಿ | ಕುಡು | ಕೊಡು |
| ಮುಖ | ಮೊಗ | ತುಡು | ತೊಡು |
| ಸುಧಾ | ಸೊದೆ | ಪುಗು | ಪೊ ಗು |

(3) Conjunct letters are separated by the insertion of a vowel:—

| Sanskrit. | Tadbhava. | Old Kannada. | Modern Kannada. |
|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|
| ರತ್ನ | ರತುನ | ನುಣ್ಟು | ನುಣುಪು |
| ಹರ್ಚ್ | ಹರುಪ | అళ్ను | ಅಳುಕು |
| ಯತ್ನ | ಜತನ | ಪ್ರೇಬ್ರ | ಹೇಳಿದ |
| ವರ್ಷ | ಬರಿಸ | నిళ్ళు | ನಿಲುಕು |

(4) In conjunct consonants the first letter is assimilated to the second:—

```
Sanskrit. Tadbhava. Old Kannada. Modern Kannada.
වාදු හාමු ඕපො සියමා
යාු සියක් ඩසා ඩසා
පත් පත් ස්වාද සිහා
සියල් සියල් නීම්
```

(5) A bindu is added in some cases:—

| Sanskrit. | Tadbhava. | Old Kannaḍa. | Modern Kannada. |
|-----------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| ದಾಡಿಮ | ದಾಳಿಂಬ | ಪ್ರೇಅಮಟ್ಟ | ಹೊರವಂಟ* |
| ಕ್ರಮುಕ | ಕವುಂಗು | ಎಲಹಕ್ಡ | ಎಲಹಂಕ |
| _ | | (ಇಲೈಪ್ಪಾಕ್ಕ | ·o) |
| ವ್ಯೇಥಿ | ಪ್ರೇ ಂಟಿ | યેક્ટ્રી 🖁 🤻 | ່ ພວຜ້ |
| ಕೂಪ್ರಾ ೧ | ಡ ಕು ುಬ ಳ | ಸುಟ್ಟು ರೆ | ಸುಂಟುರೆ |
| 8 | | ಬಾಸುಳ್ | ಬಾಸುಂಡೆ |
| | | ಪಡಿಸಣಿಗ | ಹಡಿಸಂಡಿ |

Among other changes which old Kannada words undergo when becoming Modern Kannada are:—

(1) Words ending in consonants add u.— $\pm cv$ $\pm cv$, $\pm cv$

10

^{*} Cp. Also the words ಎಂಟು, ಹೇಂಟೆ, ಬೇಂಟೆ, ಕೋಂಟೆ, ತೋಂಟ with the corresponding Tamil words ಎಟ್ಟು, ಪೆಟ್ಟಿತ್ರ, ಪೇಟ್ಟಿತ್ರ, ಕೋಟ್ಟಿತ್ರ, ತೋಟ್ಟಂ

(2) Change of p into h.—ಪಂಜರ ಹಂಜರ, ಪಾದುಕೆ ಹಾವುಗೆ, ಪಿಪ್ಪಲಿ ಹಿಪ್ಪಲಿ, ಪಾಲ್ ಹಾಲು, ಪಾವು ಹಾವು, ಪೆಸರ್ ಹೆಸರು, ಬರ್ಪ ಬಹ, ಅಪ್ಪ ಅಹ, ಇರ್ಪ ಇಪ.

It may be mentioned here that the aspirate was unknown in the original Dravidian languages.

- (3) Omission of bindu.—ಅಹಂಗು ಅಹಗು, ಹಾಂಟು ಹಾಟು, ಹೇಂಟೆ ಬೇಟೆ, ತುಹುಂಬು ತುರುಬು, ಕರದಿಂದಂ ಕರದಿಂದ, ಮಾಡುತ್ತಂ ಮಾಡುತ್ತ, ಮಧುವಿಂಗೆ ಮಧುವಿಗೆ, ಥಯದಿಂ ಥಯದಿ, ನೋಡಿಂ ನೋಡಿ, ರಾಮಂ ರಾಮ, ಬಂದೆಂ ಬಂದೆ, ವನಂ ವನ.
- (4) Bindu is changed into nu or vu.—ಅವಂ ಅವನು, ರಾಮಂ ರಾಮನು, ಬಂದಂ ಬಂದನು, ತಂದೆಂ ತಂದೆನು, ತಾಂ ತಾವು, ನೀಂ ನೀವು, ನೆಲಂ ನೆಲವು, ಗುಣಂ ಗುಣವು.
- (5) Change of the suffix chu into isu.—ಅಮರ್ಚು ಅಮರಿಸು, ಸಡಿಲ್ಲು ನಡಿಲಿಸು, ಉರುಳ್ಟು ಉರುಳಿಸು, ಬಿಟಲ್ಟು ಬಳಲಿಸು, ತೀರ್ಚು ತೀರಿಸು.
- (6) Change of r and l into r and l.—ಅසා ಅರಿ, ಪೊಹುೆ ಹೊರೆ, ಮಾಹು ಮಾರು, ಕಾಹು ಕಾರು, ಸುಪಿ ಸುಳಿ, ಬಾದ ಬಾಳೆ, ಸೊಗಡ್ ಹೊಗಳು, ಬಾಡ್ ಬಾಳು.

Even in Tamil Buddhamitra, the author of the Tamil Grammar $V\bar{\imath}ra\dot{\imath}\bar{o}liyam$, who flourished in the 11th century, wanted to do away with the distinction between l and l like Harisvara and Bhatṭākalanka in Kannaḍa. See his examples ಪ್ರಗಡ್ + ದೀಪಂ =ಪ್ರಗಡೀಪಂ, ಪಾಹ್ + ನರಗು =ಪಾಹರಗು, where the combination applying to l is prescribed for l also.

There are a few instances of words acquiring a wrong meaning owing to the by authors. Wrong meaning owing to the carelessness of authors. Decipherment of old writing in palm leaf manuscripts is a rather difficult matter not only because the forms of letters are different but also because there is no distinction made between short and long e and o, and especially in Tamil, between a consonant and the same combined with a $[e.g., \dot{*}(k) \neq (ka) \dot{*}(r) \neq (ra)]$ owing to the absence of the upper dot, and between ra and the sign for the long a (r and r). The Telugu method of marking the short and long e and o does not appear till the 17th century. The same is the case

with Kannada also even till much later. The famous Jesuit C. J. Beschi (1704–1744) is credited with the introduction into Modern Tamil orthography of the distinction between the short and long e and o. Maṇḍalapurusha, the author of a Tamil lexicon called Chādāmaṇi, mistook the Sanskrit word salalam, a porcupine or its quill, for sallam and saragam a bee* for sāgam—হুলাফ্ড ఓని చిర్రామ కేర్కి నుం కరువు కేర్ని. In the first instance la was taken for l and in the second ra was taken for the sign of long a. So much for Tamil.

Tirumalārya, author of the Chikadēvarājavijaya and other works, has wrongly taken the word లుక్కేవే in the sense of లుక్కవే (a festival), supposing లుక్కివే to be a tadbhava of లుక్కవే. But లుక్కివే, as found in the works of Nāgachandra and other old Jaina authors, means deceit. The tadbhava of లుక్షవే is లుక్షవే. In Old Kannada writing it requires some experience to distinguish between the letters bha and cha and the lack of this experience on the part of some writers of the close of the last century accounts for the form కాలధుల్య for కాలడుల్లో found in some books on Indian History.

Language may aptly be compared to the sea owing to the ceaseless change that it general reundergoes, though the speakers marks on the language. may not be quite conscious of it. The sea is constantly losing water by evaporation but is at the same time being supplied with it by rivers, springs and clouds. In the same Disappearance of old way, language gets rid of old and entry of new words. words and is supplied with new Tamil grammar One of the sūtras in the $Nann\bar{u}l$ lays down the rule that obsolete forms have to be rejected and new ones received in consonance with the progress of the times. It is not possible to say

^{*} ಸರಘಾ ಮಧುಮಕ್ಷಿಕಾ

[†] ಪದ್ಯೆಯನ ಕಡಿದಲು ಪ್ರದಿಯನ ಪ್ರಗು ದಲುಂ. ವಟುವಲ ಕಾಲವಗ್ನೆಯನಾನೇ—Sūtra 462.

exactly when old words disappear and when new ones enter the language. Just as coins worn out by use lose currency, so do words in a language. Nripatunga says in his Kavirājamārga * that Old Kannada words were appropriate in old works (ಪುರಾಣಕಾವ್ಯ), but were insipid in works of his own time. He compares their use in contemporary works to an unnatural union with an old woman. Words with which old people were familiar in their boyhood have gone out of use and words of which they had not heard then have now become current. Words not found in lexicons are creeping even into literary works. Such being the case, nothing need be said about their free entry into ordinary speech. The following are some of the words that are not found in classical literature but are current in speech:—

ಅಕರಾಯ, ಅಂಚು, ಅಡವು, ಅರ್ಲ್ಷಾಡು, ಉಗ್ರಾಣ, ಊಟೆ, ಏರ್ಪಾಡು,

Words not found in classical literature but current in common speech.

ಒತ್ತಾಸೆ, ಕುಳ್ಳ, ಕೆನ್ನೆ, ಕೊಸರು, ಗಿಡ್ಡ, ಗೀಟು, ಗೋಂದು, ಚಟುವಟಕೆ, ಚಾಳಿ, ಚಿಲುಕ, ಚೀಲ, ಜವಳಿ, ಜಿಪುಣ, ಜುಟ್ಟು, ತುಂಟ, ತೊಂದರೆ, ದಪ್ಪ, ದವಸ, ದನ, ದುಡುಕು, ನೀಸು, ಪಿಕ್ಕಲಾಟ, ಬೊಂಬೆ, ಮುಂಜಾನೆ, ಮಷ್ಟು, ಮೂತಿ, ಮೋರೆ,

ಯೋಚನೆ, ವಟ್ಟ, ವಾಡಿಕೆ, ಬಾಡಿಗೆ, ಪೆಚ್ಚ, ಸಪ್ಪೆ, ಹಾವಳಿ, ಹೀಚು.

Some of the lost forms and words such as the follow-Some lost words that deserve restoration. ing, which are terse and expressive, may with advantage be restored:—ಸುಖಮಿರ್ದಂ, ಆಯಿರ್ಪಗಿಳಿ, ಬೆನ್ನನೆ, ಏವುದು, ಕದಪು, ನಿಟ್ಟಿಸು, ನರುಗಂಪು, ಸೂಳು, ತೀಡು, ಅಲಂಪು, ಅದಟು, ನೀರ, ಪಾಂಗು, ಪೆಂಪು, ಬಿಣ್ಪು, ತನಿ, ನಿಡು, ನೆಗಳ್ತೆ, ಸೈಪು, ಕೂರ್ಮೆ, ಕಡುಪು, ತರಿ ಸಲ್ಲು, ಬಿಸವಂದ.

There is, however, a sort of natural selection in language according to which whatever is useless is got rid of and whatever is conducive to the advancement of the language is freely admitted.

^{*} I, 50.

[†] In my introduction to the revised edition of Karnālaka-Kavicharite Vol. I (p. XVII) I have wrongly given this comparison in connection with the mixture of Sanskrit and Kannada words.

Some words have the appearance of being correct forms, but are not really so, though Some words which they can claim the authority of are seemingly correct. long usage. As instances may be mentioned ವಾಯ್ ಕ್ಯಾರ್ for ಕಾಲ್ಫಾಯ್, ವಾಯಿಲ್ for ಇಲ್ಪಾಯ್, ಮುನ್ಲಿಲ್ for ಇಲ್ಮುಕ್, ತುದಿಮರಂ for ಮರದುದಿ, ಪುಅನಗರ್ for ನಗರ್ ಪ್ರುಆಂ. ಬಾಯ್ಕಾರ್ is met with in Kannada inscriptions in the sense of ಕಾಲ್ಪಾಯ್, now corrupted into ಕಾಲ್ಯೆ ಕಾ ರ್ನಾಯ್ means the mouth (ಬಾಯ್) of a stream (ಕಾಲ್), i.e., a channel from a river. Similarly, ചായാല് (മായാല്), corrupted into (ಬಾಗಲ್) stands for ಇಲ್ಪಾಯ್, the mouth (ಭಾಯ್ಯ್) of a house (ಇಲ್), i.e., the door of a house. forms are given in Tamil grammar as examples of ಇಲಕ್ಕಣ ಪ್ಪೋಲಿ.

A word may be said here about the etymology of some words. In all these langu-False etymology. ages mistakes are committed with regard to the derivation of words, mistakes which are mostly attributable to a lack of knowledge of the sister languages and ignorance of the science of language. In Tamil the word ਨਾਵ੍ਹਾਂ (tongue) is derived from the root ನಕ್ಕು to lick. This is plausible enough; but when we consider the words used in cognate languages for the tongue, namely, ਨਾਹਮ in Kannada, ਨਾਹਰ in Telugu and నాలయ in Tulu and Kodagu, we cannot but feel a doubt as to the correctness of the Tamil derivation. The Tamil word seems to have lost the letter l which forms an integral part of the words in the other languages. We may therefore presume that the word is not derived from the root nakku to lick but from the root nal or nēl to hang. Again, the word ಅಮೈಚ್ಯ, a minister, is derived by some Tamil scholars from the root ಅವೆಸ್ಟ to arrange, but the word is clearly a tadbhava of the Sanskrit word ಅಮಾತ್ಯ, a minister. Some derive the Kannada word ಮಾತು from the Sanskrit ವಾರ್ತಾ but ಮಾತು is a pure Kannada word answering to ಮಾಹ್ಞಮ್ in Tamil and ಮಾಟ in Telugu. It is derived from ಮಾಹು and meant originally something said in reply.* ಕಡಮೆ is written by some as ಕಡಮೆ on the supposition, perhaps, that the word is derived from the root ಕಡಿ. But compare the Telugu ಕಡಮ remainder, and ಕೊಡಮೆ deficiency or remainder (ಕಡಿಮಿ in Telugu means valour or increase). The correct form ಕಡಮೆ occurs in verse 89 of the Jaina work ರತ್ನಾಕರಾಧೀಶ್ವರ ಶತಕ (ಸು. 1600).

We shall now consider some of the forms given in Kannada grammars. In the compound worker the first word wo is stated to be a substitute for wa.;

But it is more likely that so in a contraction of sno (inner portion) as stated in Tamil grammars. nouns ಏತಂ and ಓತಂ are derived from ಏಱುತಂ and ಓದುತಂ by the elision of the syllables ru and du. The real explanation of these forms is this: the ru of the root and and the du of the root $\omega \omega$ are doubled and the suffix amis added. Compare the Tamil equivalents పటం and ఓతు.. ఒకు in Tamil for the Kannada ఓకం may be compared with ಮಾತು in Kannada for the Tamil ಮಾರ್ಱ್ಸ In ಅಡುಂ ಹೊಲಂ and ಇಡಿಯುಂದೊಟು, bindu is laid down for the final portion of the first words ಆಡುವ and ಇಡಿವ, and it is further stated that in the second instance u has crept in as an increment.§ The proper explanation appears to be that in both cases um, the Tamil future relative participle suffix, is used. In all Kannada grammars there is a special rule enunciated for the formation of the compound ಜೇನೆಯ್ in which it is presumed that ಜೇಕ has become ze by the elision of its final letter. According

^{*} ಮಾಹ್ಣಿಮುಂ ತಾರಾರೋ ಪಾಶಲ್ ತಿಂದಾದಾರ್—Tiruppāvai.

[†] Šabdamanidarpana, sūtra 166 : Šabdānušāsana, sūtra 348.

[‡] Sabdamaņidarpaņā sūtra 209.

[§] Ibid., sūtra 170; Šabdānušāsana, sūtra 333.

^{||} Ibid., sūtra 176; Šabdānušāsana, sūtra 332; Kāvyāvalōkana, sūtra 54; Bhāshābhūshana, sūtra 148.

to the rules of euphonic combination in Tamil, this is a very ordinary change. When n following a long letter

Tamil rules of euphonic combination adopted in Kannada.

ಲ೯+ನಲ್ಲದು = ಕಲನಲ್ಲದು; ಪರ್ದಿ+ನಾನ್ಗು = ಪದಿನಾನ್ಗು. The Kannada ಪದಿನಾಲ್ಕು is formed on the same plan as the Timil ಪದಿನಾನ್ನು, though the Kannada grammars say that the first word here is and not and Another rule in Tamil says that when l following a long letter or two short letters is combined with a word beginning with n, the l disappears. ಕಾಲ್+ನೆಡಿದು \pm ಕಾನೆಡಿದು; ನಾಲ್+ನೂಹು ನಾನೂಜು in Kannada is formed on the same As other instances of compounds formed principle. according to the Tamil rules of combination may be mentioned ಕತ್ತಾದ and ಚಿಪ್ಪೋಡು. A Tamil rule states that when l following a short letter is combined with t of the following word, both the letters are changed into rr (ttra); e.g., ಕರ್+ತೀಡು = ಕಟ್ಟಾಡು; ಕರ್+ ತಾದ್ಯ=ಕ^ಅಾದ್ಯ. The sound corresponding to rra being tta in Kannada, we have the form ಕತ್ತಾದೆ. Another rule in Tamil enjoins the change of a sonant preceded by its nasal into a double surd in composition; e.g., ಕರುಂಬು 1 ವಿರ್=ಕರುಪ್ಪುವಿರ್; ಮರುಂದು + ಪೈ = ಮರುತ್ತಪ್ಪೆ ಕ್ರ; ಕೆಂಬು + ಏಡು = ಶಪ್ಪ್ರೇಡು. The Kannada word ಚೆಪ್ಪ್ರೋಡು is a corruption of ಚಿಪ್ಪೇಡು corresponding to the Tamil ಶಪ್ಪೇಡು which means a copper plate—ಶೆಂಬು copper and ಏಡು a plate. There are also some other forms in Kannada such as ಕ್ವಿಡ್ನು ಏವಾನುಂ

Help of Tamil in the derivation of some Kannada words.

which require the help of Tamil to be properly understood. ಕೈದು a weapon is ಕೈಯದು in Tamil, i.e., what is held in the hand (for de-

fence). ಅನುಂ in ಏನಾನುಂ, ಎತ್ತಾನುಂ, ಎಂದಾನುಂ, ಎಲ್ಲಯಾನುಂ is only the Tamil ಆಯನುಂ (ಅದೊಡಂ) from the root ಆಗು. The modern equivalent of ಅನುಂ is ಅವರೂ. Again, ನೇರೆ in

Kannada seems to be a corruption of the Tamil ද්ලාල් which explains itself. There are likewise some other Kannada words which require some explanation as regards their etymology, e.g., පඩායා, ස්ද්යාාන් and ගත්. පඩාංධා as a past participle is frequently met with in literature in the sense of having chased. Its root, which is not generally known, is පඩල් *. The form ස්ද්යාාන් has puzzled some scholars. It is made up of the two words ස්ද්යා සහාපාස්, and හන්, the past participle of හළා (compare ස්ථා ස්ථා, නියා නියා) to feel, and means having become surprised. ගත් is said to be derived from ගත්ත්, a name of ගත්ත්, and is styled ගත්ත්ත් by Kanakadāsa in his Rāmadhānyacharitre†. The spelling, ගත්ත given in inscription 172 (l, 11) of the Mulbāgal Tāluk, dated 1496, lends some support to this derivation.

I shall close this section by giving two examples of words about the derivation of which the grammarians Kēsirāja and Bhaṭṭākalanka differ. These are হল and অফেলে Kēsirāja derives হল easily enough from the Sanskrit হল by postulating the elision of the final syllable ha of the Sanskrit word ‡. The first syllable loses the rēpha (compare হেম্ম from হ, হল) and in the second syllable the rēpha is assimilated to the letter of the other hand, Bhaṭṭākalanka's way of getting হল

Words about whose derivation Grammarians differ.

from ಪ್ರಗ್ರಹ is rather clumsy. He says under sūtra 160 that in ಪ್ರಗ್ರಹ the first syllable disappears, the $r\bar{e}pha$ of the second syllable is

assimilated to n and then the third syllable and the second interchange their places! Kēširāja derives ದಮ್ಮಾಣ from ಧರ್ಮವಾನೀಯ s which probably means water

^{*} Pampa-Bhārata, xii, 18 Pr.—ಆಟರರೆಂದು; Mallinātha-purāṇa, i,-ಅರೆದಾಟರ್ಕ; Shikārpur Taluk Inscription 136, l. 3-ಅಟರ್ವರ್; Do-Inscription 149, l. 43-ಅಟರ್ಪಂಗೆ

[†] Karnataka-Kavicharite, ii, 239.

[‡] Šabdamaņidarpaņa, sūtra 280.

[§] Šabdamaņidarpaņa, sūtra 293.

supplied as an act of charity. Bhattākalanka, however, derives it from a word a which, according to him, means a mint, dramma being the name of a coin. But no explanation is forthcoming of est, the second part of the word.

REFORM OF THE LANGUAGE.

The development of Kannada has not kept pace with the growth of civilisation among the Kannadigas. When the language is properly developed, there will be no difficulty in finding words for expressing new ideas. These words have to be got either by suitably altering existing words, or by coining new ones or by borrowing from other languages. Change in ideas is reflected in the words used. The language of authors must not be allowed to differ greatly from that of polite conversation. Otherwise, the two are likely to become two different languages altogether. Authors must be prevailed upon to avoid archaic forms and constructions and to use sandhi or euphonic combination as sparingly as possible. Special attention has to be paid to perspicuity of style which must never be allowed to become either pedantic or enigmatic. Writers will do well to bear in mind that their works are not intended for Pandits alone but for ordinary people who have neither time nor inclination to solve riddles as they go on reading. The style must be simple and easily intelligible, characteristics which are denoted by the term perspicuity. By perspicuity, according to Quintillian, care is taken, not that the hearer may understand, if he will, but that he must understand, whether he will or not. The marks of punctuation used in English may with advantage be adopted in Kannada as far as they are deemed necessary. Present day writers must see that in giving expression to new thoughts they do no violence to the genius of the language. Some writings of the present time, which abound in foreign words and idioms needlessly introduced, cannot but tend to denationalise and deprave the language. From this it is not to be understood that

foreign words are to be tabooed altogether. When found absolutely necessary, it is nothing but wisdom to welcome them. If foreign terms are more easily understood than any Kannada or Sanskrit equivalent, it would be mere affectation not to use them. But every attempt should be made to maintain the purity of the language. I cannot do better than quote a writer in the New York "Round Table" in this connection. He says, "The corrupter of a language stabs straight at the heart of his country. He commits a crime against every individual of the nation, for he throws a poison into a stream from which all must drink. He wrongs himself first, and afterwards every man and woman whose native speech he mars. It is the duty of every educated man to guard zealously the purity of his native tongue. who hunts down and pillories a slang phrase, a vulgarism, a corruption of any kind, is a public benefactor. In the fulfilment of the sacred trust which rests on him as an educated man, he adds a stone to the bulwark of his nation's safety and greatness."

I must also utter a word of caution. The growth of a language being natural and unconscious, reforms ought not to be forced but must be introduced with care at the proper time.

ADVANCEMENT OF THE LANGUAGE.

The advancement of Modern Kannada began in the first half of the 19th century during the reign of the Mysore king, Krishnarāja Odeyar III, who was a munificent patron of all kinds of literary merit and afforded special encouragement to the production of Kannada versions of all the more important Sanskrit works. The last quarter of the century may be said to have witnessed a revival of Kannada learning. Under the late Chāmarājēndra Odeyar of Mysore, encouragement was given to the production of dramatic works of a high order, which supplanted the rude dramas known as Yakshagānas. In 1889 a school called the Karnāṭaka-

Bhāshōjjīvini was started for imparting a high standard of education in Kannada. It was subsequently converted into a Government Normal School, and has now become the Training College. The Trustees of the Dēvarāja Bahadur Charities have been encouraging the higher study of Kannada by giving suitable rewards to authors of good works. The Karnātaka-Vidyāvardhaka-Sangha of Dharwar has likewise done good service The first Kannada monthly journal in in this line. Mysore, the Hitabōdhini, was started in 1881. It contained articles on scientific and other subjects of general interest and did important service to the Kannadigas during its career of about 10 years. Of the journals subsequently started, the most important from the point of view of the advancement of the language are the Kāvyamañjari, styled later on the Kāvyakalānidhi, and the Granthamāle, devoted, respectively, to the publication of old and modern Kannada works. The former did excellent service to the country by bringing to light rare Kannada works of great literary merit; it is however to be deplored that it has ceased to exist owing chiefly to the withdrawal of Government support. The latter affords facilities for authors to publish their works and brings within the reach of the Kannada public works in English or Sanskrit by means of translations and adaptations. Even this journal is in a moribund condition owing mostly to the same cause mentioned in connection with the other. Under the fostering care of our beloved sovereign, Śrī Krishnarāja Odeyar Bahādur, considerable literary activity is observable on all sides. Educated men have begun in earnest to enrich Kannada literature and promote the study of the language by supplying dainty pabulum in the shape of original works, translations and adaptations. In 1915 an association named the "Kannada Sāhitya Parishat" or "Kannada Academy" including representatives from all parts of the Kannada country, was formed, having for its objects not only the study of past literature and the encouragement of present writers of merit, but also the cultivation

and improvement of the language by the unification of dialects, the fixing of scientific terminology and the formation of a common literary style. The Parishat publishes a quarterly journal which contains useful articles bearing on the language and its literature. The Mysore University, which came into existence in 1916, has been doing something in the way of encouragement to Kannada studies and authors. The Karṇāṭaka Sanghas attached to the constituent colleges of the University and other similar ones have also been trying to do some thing in this line. Finally, H. H. the Maharaja, with his keen interest in the revival of Kannada learning, has graciously instituted an annual poetical competition in Kannada with suitable prizes to the first three of the

successful competitors.

With all this it has to be stated that the encouragement afforded to the advancement of the language of the country is very inadequate. Some years ago, there used to be a decent sum in the educational budget earmarked for the encouragement of authors. We do not The interest of the University, too, in hear of it now. the promotion of the language appears to have diminished in some degree, judging from the continual decrease in the budget allotments made for this purpose. Encouragement of students and authors is a sine qua non for the advancement of the language. It is therefore absolutely necessary that liberal sums should be allotted in the budgets of the University and the Education Department for the encouragement of authors. It is, indeed, a curious anomaly that the business of the courts and offices in Mysore should all be mainly transacted in a foreign tongue and not in the language of the country. The sooner this anomaly is got rid of the better. State of Mysore has a special mission to discharge in relation to the learned world of Orientalists in general, and to Karnātaka and South India in particular, in vindicating the claims and promoting a healthy revival. of the culture of its pithy and expressive language.

WORKS CONSULTED.

Bhattakalanka: Šabdānušāsana. Brown, C. P.: Telugu Grammar. Buddhamitra: Vīrašoļiyam.

BURNELL: South Indian Palaeography.

CALDWELL: Comparative Grammar of the Dravidian Languages.

CHELVAKESAVARAYA MUDALIAR: Tamil. COWELL: Short Introduction to Prakrit.

Cust: The Modern Languages of the East Indies.

" Linguistic and Oriental Essays. FLEET: Dynasties of the Kanarese Districts.

GRIERSON AND STEN KONOW: Linguistic Survey of India, Vol. IV.

KITTEL: Kannada Grammar.

" Kannada-English Dictionary, Introduction.

Kesiraja: Sabdamaņidarpaņa.

MAX MULLER: Science of Language.
.. Selected Essays.

Nagavarma II: Kāvyāvalōkana. Bhāshābhūshaṇa.

NANNAYYA BHATTA: Andhrasabdachintánani. NARAYANA RAO, H.: A Short Story of Kanarese.

NRIPATUNGA: Kavirājamārga.

OPPERT: Classification of Languages.

PAVANANDI: Nannül. Piele: Philology.

RAGHUNATHA RAO: Vyākaraņopanyāsa-manjari. SAYCE: Principles of Comparative Philology.

" Introduction to the Science of Language.

SESHAGIRI SASTRI: Aryan and Dravidian Philology.

Tamil Philology. Telugu Philology.

SRINIVASA IVENGAR, M.: Tamil Studies.

STEN KONOW: The Aryan Gods of the Mitāni People (Royal Frederick University Publications of the Indian Institute) Kristiania 1921.

Subba Rao: Telugu Literature.

SURYANABAYANA SASTRY: History of the Tamil Language.

SWEET: The History of Language.

157.

VAMANA: Kāvyālankāra-sūtra.

WHITNEY: Language and the Study of Language.
Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society.

Indian Antiquary.

Journal of the American Oriental Society.

Modern Review.

Mysore Archæological Series.
Travancore Archæological Series.
Cambridge History of India.
Prākritašabda-pradīpikā.
Šambhurahasya, etc.

INDEX.

| | PAGE | | PAGE |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Annual Report Archa | |
| A | _ | cal Survey of Indi | |
| Aborigines | 9 | Anyadëŝya | 108, 119 |
| Abstract nouns | 106 | ${f Apabhrm}$ ša | 31 |
| Accad | 13 | Appellative verbs | 99 |
| Accadian | 13 | ${f Arabia}$ | 2 |
| Accadians | 9, 10 | Arabic | 119 |
| Adi-Pampa | 46 | Arabitæ | 17n |
| Adittanallur | 11 | Arava | 17n |
| Accadians Adi-Pampa Adjittanallur Adjectives Advancement of the | 19, 75, 109 | Aravam | 30 |
| | | Archaic Kannada | 46 |
| age | 154 | Archbishop Trench | |
| Aegean | 13 | Arōchigal | 135 |
| Affiliation of the Dra | avidian | Arsha | 31 |
| Languages | 4-6 | | |
| | | Aruņagirinādar Aryan Brāhmaņas | 2 |
| Aganānūru | 38, 48 | Aryan Gods of the M | itani |
| Aganānūru Agara Inscription | 130 | People | 15 |
| Agastva | 31-33 | Aryan languages 1, 3 | 3. 6. 10. 15. |
| Agastya Agglutination | 4-6 13 | 21, 22 | ., 0, 20, 20, |
| Agglutinative langua | oes 3 6 | Aryans 10. | , 12, 24, 30 |
| A Grammar of the K | annada | Aryan Vernaculars | 19. 22-24. |
| Language | 135n | 26-28, 97 | 10,, |
| Agupeyar | 143 | Asia | 6 |
| Aitarēya-Brāhmaņa | | Asia Minor | 9, 12 |
| Algonquin | 19n | Aśóka | 33 47 |
| Allophylian | 6 | Ašõka alphabet | 33, 47 51-54 52, 55 |
| | V-1 | Ašöka characters | 52 55 |
| Ambalakkāḍu Amelioration | 141 | Ašoka characters Ašoka script | 53-55 |
| America | | | |
| | | Ašöka symbol | 140 |
| Amōghavarsha I | 44 $ 	 139$ | | 13 |
| Analogy | | Atharvaņāchārya | 33 |
| Anantapur | | Attitudi | 115 |
| Ancient Kannada | 102, 102 | Attišūdi Aundh State | 16 |
| Andaræ | 33 115 | Aupavibhaktikas | 73 |
| Andayya | | | 115 |
| Andhra | 31, 33 | | 56 |
| Andhrachintāmaņi | $ \begin{array}{ccc} & 126 \\ & 33 \end{array} $ | Aydam | 00 |
| Andhrarāya | 55 | В | |
| Andhras | 8 | - | 11 |
| Anglo-Saxon | 22, 23 | | 2 13 20- |
| Anmolittogai | 107 | | TO TO HOT |
| 1000年,1000年春日 | 15 | | |
| | - 1 # 1 | 4.3 | |
| | | | |

| | PAGE | | PAGE |
|--|----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Badaga 14, 16, 30, | | Brāhūī 9, 14, 17, 1 | |
| | | 29, 83, 84 | ,, |
| Badagas | 37 38 48 | Brigel | 44 |
| Bādāmi | 37, 38, 48 9, 130 | Brihaspati | 33 |
| TOT 1- 1 () T | . 100 | Bribateamhitā | 9, 34 |
| | 17 | Brihatsamhitā British Districts | 46 |
| Bālāghāt Ballāla II | 38 | British Museum | |
| Dalana II | 23 | Brown | 44 |
| Balūchi Balūchistān 9, 11, 1 | 40 La 17 | Brown's Grammar | |
| | L46, 1.4, | Buddhamitra | 146 |
| 17n | 40 | Buddhism | |
| Bāṇa | 48 | Buddhist Missionary | |
| Banavāsi | 47 | | |
| Bangalore District | 130 | Burnell | 51 |
| Bāsim | 17 | С | |
| Basque | 4 | C | |
| Bay of Bengal Behistun tablets | 15 | C 11 11 4 7 0 00 6 | N 05 "00 |
| Behistun tablets | 9, 20, 75 | Caldwell 4-7, 9, 22, 2 | 24, 25, 52, |
| Belgaum | 16, 45 | 34, 44, 76, 115, 110 | |
| Bellary | 16 | Campbell | 44 |
| Bēlūr | 49 | Canara District | 16 |
| Belvola | 46 | Cape Comorin | 13, 15 |
| Bengal Presidency | 16 | Carey | 116 |
| Bengali dialect 8, | 14, 15, 97 | Carnāṭic | 34 |
| Berar | 16, 17 | Case | 18, 72–75 |
| Berchi | 43, 147 | Caucasus | 6 |
| Bettarasa | 38 | Causative verbs | 98 |
| Bezwada | 46, 124 | Cave characters | 51-53 |
| $Bh\bar{a}rata$ | \dots 46 | Cave numerals | \dots 52 |
| Bhāshābhūshaṇa | 56 , 150n | Cave symbol | 52 |
| Bhaṭṭākalanka 106 | , 121, 123, | Ceded Districts | 15 |
| 134n, 146, 152, 15 | 3 | Celtic | 2, 4 |
| Bhīli | 14, 17, 14 | Celts | 2, 5 |
| Bhēmēsyara | 33 | Central Asia | 13 |
| Bidar | 16 | Central India | 33 |
| Bīhārī | \dots 26 | Central Provinces | 14, 16, 17 |
| Bijāpur | 45 | | 14, 15, 48 |
| Bijjavara | 49 | Ceylonese Buddhist | . , |
| Bindu | 72, 74 | Chronicle | 47 |
| Bolan pass | 9 | Cevlonese chronicles | |
| Bombay Presidency | 16 | Chaldea | 11 |
| Books of Kings | 10 | Chāļukya | 49 |
| Bowringnet 13 | 130 | Chāmarājanagar. | 37 |
| Books of Kings Bowringpet 13 Brāhmaņas | 115 | Champu composition | 132 |
| Brahmanical adaptat | | Chanda | 17 |
| Brahmasútrās | 9 | Chandragiri | 15, 16 |
| Brāhmi | 36, 51, 52 | Changālva king | 38, 49 |
| | OR OTHER | OHOME WIND TITE | 00, m |

| | PAGE | • | PAGE |
|--|---------------|---|------------|
| Changes in form | 138 | Damirice | 9 |
| Changes in meaning | 38. 140 | Dandi | 121, 122 |
| Changes in Old Kanna | da | Dāntidurga | 48 |
| when becoming Mode | יייט פייני | Daphlapur | 16 |
| Kannada | 144ff | Darius Hystaunes | 204 |
| Changes in the languag | | Darius Hystaupes Darve Gönds | 17 |
| Chennapattana | 49 | Davida | 8, 9 |
| Chēra | 48 | Davila | 8 |
| Chēra king | 48 | Decency | 142 |
| Chicăcole | 15 | Declension of nouns | 69 |
| Chikadēvarājavijaya | | Dalahan | 49, 55 |
| Chikkanāyakanahaļļi | 49 | Deoghar Fort | 36 |
| China | 6 | Derivations about w | |
| Chinese Language | 1, 3 | grammarians differ | 152 |
| Chitaldrug | 49 | Derivative nouns | 107 |
| Chālo | 48 | Dēšināmamālā | 117 |
| Chôla king | 48 | Dēšva 108, | 109, 115 |
| Chōlās | 51 | Derivative nouns $D\bar{e}sin\bar{a}mam\bar{a}l\bar{a}$ Desya 108, Deterioration | 142 |
| Chota Nagnur | 14 | Dēvalāpura Inscription | 130 |
| Choia king Choias Chota Nagpur Christian era 10 | . 34, 35 | Döyanāgari | 51-55 |
| Chronicles | 10 | Dēvarāja Bahadur Char | rities 155 |
| Chūdàmani | 147 | Dharmarāja | 33 |
| Classical Kannada 1 | 28, 132 | Dharmarāja Dharwar | 45, 155 |
| Chronicles Chūdāmaņi Classical Kannada 1 Classical Tamil Coimbatore Colebrooke | 95 | Dialects of Kannada 1 | 4, 36, 38, |
| Coimbatore | 16 | 40, 44 | |
| Colebrooke | 116 | Dialectic change | 58 |
| Committee of Publication | on 7 | Dialectic interchange | \dots 62 |
| Comparative Grammar | 44 | Disappearance of old | and |
| Compensation | 139 | entry of new words | 147 |
| Compensation Compound nouns | 107 | Dokri | 11 |
| Condemned forms have | be- | Dramida | 9, 30 |
| come current Contraction Coorg 16 Coorgs Cornwall | 134 | Dramidöpanishatsanga | ıti 9 |
| Contraction | 141 | ${f Dramils}$ | 8, 9 |
| Coorg 16 | 5, 38, 49 | Dramiļaa | 9 |
| Coorgs | 38 | Draviḍa | 9, 30 |
| Cornwall | 2 | Drāviḍa | 8 |
| Coromandel coast | 34 | Dramidā Dramidāpanishatsangs Dramilas Dravida Drāvida Drāvidāehāra Drāvidas | 8 |
| Cretans | 12 | Drāvidas | 19n |
| Crete | 11, 12 | Dravidian alphabets | 50, 51 |
| Cust | 5, 20 | Dravidian dialects 1 | 4, 44, 90, |
| | | 116 | 0.0.10 |
| D . | | Dravidian languages | 2-8, 10, |
| | | 13-15, 17, 20-23, 2 | 0-20, 50, |
| Dakshina-Mahārāshtra | 45 | 32, 36, 42-44, 52, 5 | 10,01,09, |
| Damila | 8, 9 | 72, 75, 79, 81, 83, | 101, 102, |
| Damirica | 9 | 107, 114–116, 119, | |
| | | | 11 |

| PAGE | PAGE |
|---|---|
| Dravidian numerals 52 | Forms in Kannada Gram- |
| Dravidian philology 42, 43, 57 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| Dravidian race 8, 9, 38 | Fourth case 74 |
| Dravidians 9, 10, 12, 44, 50 | Freemasonry in words 142 |
| Dravidian South 10 | , |
| Dravidian South 10 Dravila-Gaṇa 9 | G |
| Dvandva 61 | |
| Dvi-trišatam 47 | Ganges 14 |
| Dvandva61Dvi-trišatam47 | Ganges 14 Gauda 8, 14 Gauda school 120, 123 Gaudian Languages 27, 42 Cautama 23 |
| | Ganda cahool 120 123 |
| E | Candian Languages 27 49 |
| | Gautama 33 |
| Eastern Chāļukya king 46, 124 | Gender and number 69-72 |
| Education department 156 | |
| Egypt 10, 36 | General remarks on the |
| Elahanka 49 | language 147 |
| Egypt 10, 36 Elahanka 49 Elala 48 | George Grierson 6 |
| Elamites 12 | Ghāts 15, 16, 34 |
| Flim 11 | Ghaurjara 31 |
| Flini 48 | language |
| Tellio 42 | Gondi 14, 10, 18, 25, 24, 28, |
| Elala 48 Elamites 12 Elimi 11 Elini 48 Ellis 48 Emphasis 138, 139 Emphasis 138, 139 | 29, 84 |
| Emphasis 133, 135 English 2, 22, 29, 34, 39, 40, | Gondvāna 16 |
| 76, 120, 153, 155 | Gover 5 Grantha 51–54 |
| This was his Tudies ACD 475 | Gondvāna 16 Gover 5 Grantha 51–54 Grantha 10ttors 51 |
| Epigraphia Indica 46n, 47n | Grantina retters Or |
| Erad-aru-nūru 47 Eranian6, 12 Erumai-nādu 48 Erumaiyūrān 38, 48 Euphemism 142 Euphonic addition 70 | |
| Eranian0, 12 | Grantha script 51 |
| Erumai-nadu 48 | Grantha script 51 Greek Empire 10 Greek girl 36 Greek points |
| Erumaiyuran 38, 48 | Greek girl 36 |
| Euphemism 142 | Greek origin 25 Greek play 36 Greeks 13 |
| Euphonic addition 70 | Greek play 36 |
| Eudnouic combination 50, 107 | Greeks 13 |
| Euphonic variation 70, 71 | Greek writers 6 |
| Europe 2, 5, 6, 31 | Guiarāt 15 49 |
| Europe 2, 5, 6, 31 Europeans 32, 43, 44 Exclusive pronoun 84 Extension 140 | Greek writers 6 Gujarāt 15, 49 Gujarāti 14, 15, 84 Gujarātis 8 Gunadhya 34 |
| Exclusive pronoun 84 | Guiarâtic 8 |
| Extension 140 | Gunadhya 34 |
| Extension by metaphor 143 | Gunaga Vijayāditya 46 |
| | Gunake-nalla 46 |
| F | Gunake-nalla 46 Gundert 34, 44 |
| • . | Culture 34, 44 |
| False stymology 149 | н |
| Finnish 3, 5, 18n | . 11 |
| Finus 6 | Hadinādu 49 |
| False etymology 149 Finnish 3, 5, 18n Finus 6 Feet 47 | Halagannada 128, 131–133 |
| Formation of words 104 | Hall, Dr. 11, 12 |
| Lormanon of words 104 | Hall, Dr. 11, 12 |
| | |

| PAGE | PAGE |
|---|---|
| Harappa 11 | Indian culture 13 |
| Harīšvara 146 | Indian History 147 |
| Harappa 11 Harīšvara 146 Harmonic sequence of | Indian ocean 9 |
| vowels 0, 0, 17, 18, 61 | Indian culture 13 Indian History 147 Indian ocean 9 Indian pandits 8, 14, 42 Indian States 46 |
| Hebrew 10 | Indian States 46 |
| Hebrew 10 Heggadadēvankõte 87, 130 | Indo-Aryan Vernaculars 14, 15 |
| Help of Tamil in deriving | Indo-European languages 1, |
| some Kannada words 151 | 4-7, 21, 24, 26, 72, 81 |
| Hēmachandra 33, 117 | Indrakilaparvata 46 |
| Hēmachandra 33, 117 Hindi 26 Hinduism 10 Hindus 33 Hindustani 120, 133 History of Buddhism in | Indrakilaparvata 46 Indus 11-13 Infinitive mood 100 Inflexional languages 3 |
| Hinduism 10 | Infinitive mood 100 |
| Hindus 33 | Inflexional languages 3 |
| Hindustani 120, 133 | Innexion 4 |
| History of Buddhism in | Inflexional increments 73 |
| India 9 | Inflexion of nouns 69 |
| India 9 History of Language 4n, 13n | Interchange of consonants 62, |
| History of the Dravidian | 63-68 |
| languages 44 | Interchange of vowels 62-63 |
| History of the Kannada | Introduction to the Science |
| language 41, 42 | of Language 2n Irāvati 11 Ireland 2 Iruļa 14, 16, 30, 38, 39 |
| $Hitab\bar{o}dhini$ 155 | Irāvati 11 |
| Hodgson 44 | Ireland 2 |
| Honārvar 16 | Iruļa 14, 16, 30, 38, 39 |
| language 41, 42 Hitabōdhini 155 Hodgson 44 Honarvar 16 Hosagannada 128, 133 Hosagannadanudigannadi 43 Hosbōta 86 130 | Irungövenmän 48 Isolating languages 3 |
| Hosayannadanudigannadi 43 | Isolating languages 3 |
| Hoskōte 86, 130 | |
| Hoysala king 37, 38, 49 | J |
| Hosayannadanudrgannadi 43 Hosköte 86, 130 Hoysala king 37, 38, 49 Hultzch, Dr. 36 Hungarian 5, 18n Hunsur 38 Hwen Thsang 33 | |
| Hungarian 5, 18n | |
| Hunsur 38 | Jayada-Göpāla 46 |
| Hwen Thsang 33 | Jayad-obba-yanda 46 |
| | Jaina authors 115, 147 |
| Į | Jaina Matha 45 |
| | Jainas 8, 9, 115 |
| Idakkaradakkal 142Ilakkanappöli 149Imperative mood 99Inclusive pronoun 84 | Jayad-obba-yanda 46 Jaina authors 115, 147 Jaina Matha 45 Jainas 8, 9, 115 Jaina scholars 132 Jāt 16 Istilararman Parāntaka I. 51 |
| Ilakkanappōli 149 | Jāt 16 |
| Imperative mood 99 | |
| Inclusive pronoun 84 | Jayasangraha 130 Jesuit 147 Jews 2, 13 Jhalawār 17 Sir John Marshall 12 |
| incorporating languages 3, 4 | Jesuit 147 |
| Incorporation 4 Indeclinables 103 | Jews2, 13 |
| Indeclinables 103 | Jhalawär 17 |
| India 7, 9-13, 24, 36 | Sir John Marshall 12 |
| Indian Alphabets 51 | Journal of American Orientan |
| Indian Antiquary 48n, 129n, | Society 7 |
| 130n | Journal of the Royal Asiatio |
| Indian civilisation 12 | Society 11n, 49n |
| | |

| PAGE K | Kanya 33 |
|---|--|
| K . | Karahāṭaka-prānta 45 |
| Kabbigarakāva 93, 115 | Karayira Ilakha 45 |
| Kadamba 49 | Karhāda 45 |
| Kadur District 129 | Karhāḍa 45 Karhaṭāka 45 Kar-nādu 34, 35 Karņāṭa 31, 34, 35, 49 Karņāṭaka 34, 48, 49, 156 |
| Kalabhras 48 | Karnādu 34. 35 |
| Kalachurya 49 | Karnāta 31. 34. 35. 49 |
| Kalale 49 | Karnataka 34, 48, 49, 156 |
| Kalās inscription 47 | Karņātaka-Bhāshöjjī vini |
| | 154-5 |
| Kālēšvara 33 Kalyāņapuri 16 Kamba-Bāmāyaņam 101 | Karnātaka-Kavicharite I 148n |
| Kamba-Pāmāyanam 101 | Karnataka-Kavicharite II 121, |
| Kanakadāsa 152 | 152n |
| Kanakadasa 152 Kanarese 16, 36, 37 | Karņāṭaka-prānta 45 |
| Kanchi 48 | Karnataka-Sanghas 156 |
| Kannada 14, 17-19, 23, 24, | Karnātaka-Vidyāvardhaka- |
| 28-30, 32, 34-47, 50, 52-90, | Sangha 155 |
| 92-116, 118, 119, 120n, 122- | Karņāţas 8, 34, 48 |
| 128, 132, 133, 138, 144, 146, | Womniil 15 |
| 147, 148n, 149-156 | Karunādagan 34 |
| Kannada Academy 155 | Karunādar 34 |
| Kannada alphabet 51, 55-58 | Karunādagan 34 Karunādar 34 Karunādar 34 Karunādu 35 |
| Kannada characters 55 | Kāši 31 |
| Kannada characters 55 Kannada country 35, 71 Kannada districts 45 | Kathāsaritsāgara 34 |
| Kannada districts 45 | Kattala 111 |
| Kannada grammar 43, 56, 150, | Kāvēri 44 |
| 151 | Kavirājamūrga 44, 121, 148 |
| Kannada Inscriptions 45, 46, | Kāvyādarša 121, 122 Kāvyakalānidhi 155 Kāvyālankārasūtra 135 |
| 149 | Kāvyakalānidhi 155 |
| Kannada Language 16, 35, 41, | Kāvyālankārasūtra 135 |
| 44, 46, 56, 127, 128 | Kāvyamanjari 155 Kāvyamīmāmsa 34 |
| Kannada Literature 123, 131, | Kāvyamīmāmsa 34 |
| 155 | Kāvyāvalōkana 121, 122, 150n |
| Kannada manuscripts 45 | Keladi 49 Kerala king 48 |
| Kannada people 46 Kannada poets 35 | Kerala king 48 |
| Kannada poets 35 | Kern o |
| Kannada Sahitya Parishat 155 | Kēširāja 106, 134, 135, 152 |
| Kannada-Telugu 53 | Kēširāja's 60th sūtra 134 |
| Kannada-Telugu alphabet 50 | Khond 14, 16 Kisuvolal 44, 47 Kittel 43, 132, 133, 135 |
| Kannada-Telugu group 52 | Kisuvolal 44, 47 |
| Kannada versions 154 | Kittel 43, 132, 133, 135 |
| Kannada works 155 Kannadiga 108 | Kittel's Dictionary 120n, 128 |
| Kannadiga 108 | Kodagu 14, 16, 30, 36, 38, 40, |
| Kannadigas 17n, 30, 35, 43, | 44, 78, 149 |
| 47-51, 153, 155 | Kodagas 38 Kolāmi 14, 17, 18, 24 |
| Kannādu 35 | Kolāmi 14, 17, 18, 24 |

| | PAGE | | PAGE |
|--|-------------------|--|-----------|
| I alon District | 130 | Madras Epigraphical R | eport |
| Kolar District | 15 | 46n | |
| Kalarian | 16, 45 | Madras Presidency | 43 |
| | 49 | Madura | 16, 51 |
| Kongāļva | 49 | Māgadi | 49 |
| Konkan | 44, 47 | Magyārs | 7 |
| Kopaņa | 490 490 | Mahäbhārata | 8, 33 |
| Koppa 37 | $\frac{129}{129}$ | Mahādēva | 38, 47 |
| Koppa 38 | | Mahākūta | 9 |
| Kōšar | 49 | Mahākūta Inscription | 130 |
| Kōta 14, 16, 36 | | Mahālakshmi Temple | 45 |
| C. C. Otto | 37 | Mahārāshtra | 15, 45 |
| Köte | 49 | Mahat | 69 |
| Krillingas . | 134 | Manah | 8, 47 |
| Krishnamacharya, Pane | au S 43 | Mahāvamša Nakiska | 48 |
| Krishnarāja Odeyar III | 104 | <i>Mahisha</i> Mahisha-Maṇḍala | 47 |
| Krishņarāja Odeyar Ba | hadur | Manisha-mauqara | 38, 48 |
| 155 | | Mahishāpura Mahisha-rāshtra | 48 |
| Kūī 14, 16, 18, 19, 28 | 3, 24, 28 | Manisha-rashira | |
| Kulūkkuri | 142 | Mahrāthi 14, 15, 26, | 40, 40, |
| Kumarilabhatta | 8, 17n | 84, 119, 133 | 46 |
| Kulükkuri Kumarilabhatta Kuntala country | 47 | Mahrāthi people | |
| Kurukn 14, 10, 16, 15 | , 23, 28, | Mahrāṭṭa | 45 |
| 29 | 0.00.00 | Mahratta country 15 Mahratta district | 45 |
| Kurumba 14, 16, 18, 3 | 0, 38, 39 | N. M. T. T. A. A. C. Company Company | 45 |
| | | Mahrattas Maisa | 8, 46 |
| L | | Manrayas | 10,40 |
| | 4.0 | Maisa | 48 |
| Lakshaņā | 143 | Maisa-nagu | 4.4 |
| Lakshmisena Matha | 45 | Maisa-nāḍu Major Cole Majors Malabar Malabar coast Maladhāridēva | 44 |
| Lanka | 33 | Majors | 93 |
| Lapps | 18n | Malabar 15 | (21 51 |
| Larkhana | 11 | Malabar coast 10 | 1110 |
| Lasthiyavva | 46 | Malayalam 14, 15, 18 | -20 26 |
| Lanka Lapps Larkhana Lasthiyavva Latin Lemuria Limurisia | 2, 23, 52 | 28-32, 51, 57, 62, | 79 73 |
| Lemuria | 9 | 75, 78, 83, 84, 94, 10 | 12, 10, |
| Linguistic Survey of In 16. 44 | ıdıa | 125. | |
| Lost Cities of Ceylon | 48n | Malayāļi | 108 |
| Lost words deserving | g of | Malayāļis | 44 |
| restoration | 148 | Malavar | 31 |
| | | Mallināthapurāņa | 152n |
| M . | | Målto 14, 16, 18, 25 Måmülanär Mandalapurusha Mandla | 5, 28, 29 |
| · | | Māmūlanār | 48 |
| Māchēnahaļļi Plates | 130 | Mandalapurusha | 14% |
| Maddagiri | \dots 49 | Mandla Mangalēša | If |
| Madras Districts | 16 | Mangalēša | 9 |
| | | | |

| PAGE | PAGE |
|--|---|
| Mangalore 15 | Mysore University 156 |
| | Mysore Outvisies 200 |
| Manujaradēva 46 | N |
| | 14 |
| Mārgavibhāga 122 | Nādus 38 |
| Maurya Emperor 47 Mauryas 49 Max Muller 4n, 3, 3n, 5 | |
| Mauryas 49 | |
| Max Muller 411, 5, 511, 15 | 212001111111111111111111111111111111111 |
| Mediæval Kannada 128, 132, | |
| 133 | Năiki 14, 17, 24 Nakkīrar 38, 48 |
| Mediterranean 12, 13 Medo-Persian 20n Mercury 10 Mesopotamia 12, 13 Metathesis 140 | |
| Medo-Persian 20n | |
| Mercury 10 Mesopotamia 12, 13 | Narmada 13, 48 Narundogai 115 |
| Mesopotamia 12, 13 | |
| Metathesis 140 | Nayaséna 135 |
| Metonymy 143 | Neduńjeliyan 48, 49 |
| Minors 69 | |
| Mirāj 45 | Nellore 34 |
| Mistakes committed by | Nēpāl 49 |
| authors 146 | New York 154 |
| authors 146 Mistakes of authors 146 | New York 154 Nidugal 49 Nilgiris 16, 24, 37, 48 |
| Modern Kannada 55, 56, 58, | Nilgiris 16, 24, 37, 48 |
| 62-64, 66, 68, 68n, 70, 71, | Nilgunda inscription 47 |
| 74, 84, 86, 87, 90n, 93, 96, | Nizam's Dominions 16 |
| 97, 105, 106, 121, 123-128, | Nolamba 49 |
| 132, 133, 144, 145, 154. | Nominal inflexions 69 Nominative 72 Non-Aryan 2 Non-Description Languages 123 |
| Modern Review 12n | Nominative 72 |
| Modern Review 12n Modern Tamil 54, 56, 74, 80, | Non-Aryan 2 |
| 83, 99, 100, 147 | Non-Dravidian Languages 123 |
| Modern Tamil character 51 | Non-Sanskritic 27 |
| Mohanjo-Daro 11 | Non-Sauskritic 27Non-Semitic 13Normal school 155North American 4 |
| Môhũr 49 | Normal school 155 |
| Mongols 2 | North American 4 |
| Moore 33 | Northern and Southern |
| Moriyar 48 | Schools in Kannada 12ff, |
| Môriyar 48 Mudigere 49 | 121-124 |
| Markenning dana 99 94 | Northern India 23, 44 |
| Mulhammanans 00, 04 Mulhammanans 179 159 | Northern School in Kan- |
| Mulbagal 172, 152 Munda 18, 19n | nada 123, 124 |
| Mysore 15, 16, 34, 35, 37, 38, | North Kanara 16 |
| 47-49, 155, 156. | North Kanara 16 North-West India 11 Nouns 109 |
| Mercana Anaharahariaal Dunaut | Nouns 109 |
| Mysore Archæological Report | Nouns 109 Nripatunga 44-47, 121-124, |
| 36n, 129n, 130n | 100 149 44-47, 121-124, |
| Mysore country 48 Mysore district 130 | |
| | |
| mysore king 104 | Nuggehalli 49 |
| Mysore State 47 | Numerals 77ff, 109 |

| PAGE | PAGE Diversida 11 120 |
|--|---|
| U | Pāvagada 11, 130 Pemma Vīrappa 38 |
| Old Kanarese 36-37 | Pemma Vîrappa 38 |
| Old Kanarese 36-37 Old Kanada 38, 55, 64, 66, | Peninsula 8, 15 Peninsular India 13 |
| Old Kannada 38, 33, 64, 66, | Peninsular India 13 |
| 71, 84, 86, 87, 93, 94, 96, 97, | Pēragattiyam 31 |
| 106, 125–128, 138, 144, 145, | |
| 147, 148 | Persia 9, 13 |
| Old Kannada alphabet 57 | Persian 23, 119 |
| Old Malayālam 9, 50 Old Persian 20n Old Tamil 54, 74, 78, 83, 98, | Phonetic decay 125, 138, 139 |
| Old Persian 2011 | Phonetic system 56, 57 Phonology 50 |
| Uld Tamil 94, 74, 75, 55, 95, | Phonotogy 50 |
| 101, 141 | Timeday Ini |
| Old Telugu 46 | Pingalandal 50n |
| Old Vatteluttu 51 | Piriyahaitana 49 |
| Onkunda 44, 47 Ophir 10 | Dime 22 |
| Opinr 10 | Phonology 50 Pietographic writing 12 Pingalandai 30n Piriyapattana 49 Pithy Kannada 46 Pliny 33 Polavira 129 |
| Orāyon 14, 16 | Polaruthetia lauguagea 3 4 |
| Orientalists 156 | Polysynthetic languages 3, 4 Ponnaganti Telaganna 115 |
| Orissa 13, 16 Oritæ 17n | Pana Da 4-6 42 44 |
| Old Telugu 46 Old Vatteluttu 51 Onkunda 44, 47 Ophir 10 Orāyon 14, 16 Orientalists 156 Orissa 13, 16 Oritæ 17n Oriya 15 Orvahymans 36 | Portnerses 31 39 110 |
| Oxyrhyncus 36 | Pope, Dr. 4-6, 43, 44 Portuguese 31, 32, 119 Porunan 48 Porunarāṭṭruppadai 78 |
| | Tordinari |
| | Porumaratirummadas 78 |
| P | - Porunar ^{aț} truppadai 78 - Prăkrit 8. 33, 116, 117 |
| P | Prākrit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākrita-bhava 116 117 |
| Paišachi Brihatkatha 34 | Prākrit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākrita-bhava 116 117 |
| Paišachi Brihathatha 34 Palagannada 128 | Prākrit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākrita-bhava 116 117 |
| Paišachi Brihatkatha 34 Palagannada 128 Pālegārs 49 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Arvan civilisation 9 |
| Paisachi Brihathatha 34 Palagannada 128 Pālegārs 49 Pāli 8, 117 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Arvan civilisation 9 |
| P Paišachi Brihatkatha 34 Palagannada 128 Pālegārs 49 Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Arvan civilisation 9 |
| P Paišachi Bṛihatkatha 34 Palagannada 128 Pālegārs 49 Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Arvan civilisation 9 |
| P Paišachi Bṛihatkatha 34 Palagannada 128 Pālegārs 49 Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyana 197 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Arvan civilisation 9 |
| Paišachi Bṛihatkatha 34 Palagannada 128 Pālegārs 49 Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyaṇa 197 Pancha-Drāvida 8, 14, 15 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Arvan civilisation 9 |
| Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyana 197 Pancha-Drāvida 8, 14, 15 Pancha Grada 8 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Aryan civilisation 9 Present tense 96ff Primitive Old Kannada 128, 132 Principles of Comparative Philology 3n Presential terminations 93 |
| Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyana 197 Pancha-Drāvida 8, 14, 15 Pancha Grada 8 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Aryan civilisation 9 Present tense 96ff Primitive Old Kannada 128, 132 Principles of Comparative Philology 3n Presential terminations 93 |
| Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyana 197 Pancha-Drāvida 8, 14, 15 Pancha Grada 8 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Aryan civilisation 9 Present tense 96ff Primitive Old Kannada 128, 132 Principles of Comparative Philology 3n Presential terminations 93 |
| Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyana 197 Pancha-Drāvida 8, 14, 15 Pancha Grada 8 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Aryan civilisation 9 Present tense 96ff Primitive Old Kannada 128, 132 Principles of Comparative Philology 3n Presential terminations 93 |
| Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyana 197 Pancha-Drāvida 8, 14, 15 Pancha Gauda 8 Pandits 158 Pandya country 48 Pandya king 34, 48, 49, 51 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Aryan civilisation 9 Present tense 96ff Primitive Old Kannada 128, 132 Principles of Comparative Philology 3n Pronominal terminations 93 Pronouns 81ff Pronunciation 17 Prosthesis 138 |
| Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyana 197 Pancha-Drāvida 8, 14, 15 Pancha Gauda 8 Pandits 158 Pandya country 48 Pandya king 34, 48, 49, 51 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Aryan civilisation 9 Present tense 96ff Primitive Old Kannada 128, 132 Principles of Comparative Philology 3n Pronominal terminations 93 Pronouns 81ff Pronunciation 17 Prosthesis 138 |
| Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyana 197 Pancha-Drāvida 8, 14, 15 Pancha Gauda 8 Pandits 158 Pandya country 48 Pandya king 34, 48, 49, 51 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Aryan civilisation 9 Present tense 96ff Primitive Old Kannada 128, 132 Principles of Comparative Philology 3n Pronominal terminations 93 Pronouns 81ff Pronunciation 17 Prosthesis 138 |
| Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyana 197 Pancha-Drāvida 8, 14, 15 Pancha Gauda 8 Pandits 158 Pandya country 48 Pandya king 34, 48, 49, 51 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Aryan civilisation 9 Present tense 96ff Primitive Old Kannada 128, 132 Principles of Comparative Philology 3n Pronominal terminations 93 Pronouns 81ff Pronunciation 17 Prosthesis 138 |
| Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyana 197 Pancha-Drāvida 8, 14, 15 Pancha Gauda 8 Pandits 158 Pandya country 48 Pandya king 34, 48, 49, 51 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Aryan civilisation 9 Present tense 96ff Primitive Old Kannada 128, 132 Principles of Comparative Philology 3n Pronominal terminations 93 Pronouns 81ff Pronunciation 17 Prosthesis 138 |
| Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyana 197 Pancha-Drāvida 8, 14, 15 Pancha Gauda 8 Pandits 158 Pandya country 48 Pandya king 34, 48, 49, 51 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Aryan civilisation 9 Present tense 96ff Primitive Old Kannada 128, 132 Principles of Comparative Philology 3n Pronominal terminations 93 Pronouns 81ff Pronunciation 17 Prosthesis 138 |
| Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyana 197 Pancha-Drāvida 8, 14, 15 Pancha Gauda 8 Pandits 158 Pandya country 48 Pandya king 34, 48, 49, 51 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Aryan civilisation 9 Present tense 96ff Primitive Old Kannada 128, 132 Principles of Comparative Philology 3n Pronominal terminations 93 Pronouns 81ff Pronunciation 17 Prosthesis 138 |
| Pāli 8, 117 Pallava king 48 Pampa Bhārata 152n Pampa Rāmāyana 197 Pancha-Drāvida 8, 14, 15 Pancha Gauda 8 Pandits 158 Pandya country 48 Pandya king 34, 48, 49, 51 | Prākṛit 8, 33, 116, 117 Prākṛita-bhava 116, 117 Prākṛits 26 Prākṛita-sama 116, 117 Pre-Aryan civilisation 9 Present tense 96ff Primitive Old Kannada 128, 132 Principles of Comparative Philology 3n Presential terminations 93 |

| PAGE | PAGE |
|--|--|
| Punnāṭa 35 | Sabdānušāsana 56, 106, 121, |
| Purānas 9 | 123, 150n |
| Punața 9 Purănas 9 Pure Kannada 46, 47, 55 | Sabdaratnākara 115 |
| Pūryada Haļagannada 128 | Šadaiyan Parāntaka 34, 48 |
| Pusad Taluqa 17 | Salem 16 |
| Pushpadanta 33 | Sāļuva 49 |
| Q | Sambhurahasya 31 |
| | Samskrita-bhava 116 |
| Quintillian 153 | Samskrita-sama 116 |
| R | Samvatsaradul 46 |
| | Sanskrit 3, 5, 8, 9, 14, 20-27, |
| Raghunatha Rao 123, 124, | 31-34, 42, 43, 45, 50, 51, |
| 126-128 | 55 56 80 108 114-119. |
| Railway train 108 | 121, 123, 127, 132, 133, |
| Rājamahāl 14 | 143-145, 147, 148n, 149, |
| Rājamahāl Hills 16 | 152 |
| Rājamahāli 14, 16 | Sanskrit Avyayas 121 |
| Rājašēkhara 34 | Sanskrit derivatives 55 |
| Rakkhita 47 | Sanskritic language 52 |
| Rāmadhānyacharitre 152 | Sanskrit pandits 31 |
| Rāmānuja 9 | Sanskrit works 154 |
| Rāmāyaņa 33 | Sāntara 49 |
| Ranna 46 | Santebennür 49 |
| Rāshtrakūta king 44, 48, 49 | Sarawān 17 |
| Ratnākarādhī svara Sataka 150 | Sargon I 13 |
| Ratta 49 | Satara 16 |
| Rāvana 33 | Satara district 45 |
| Rāvi 11 | Sathakōna 9 |
| Rāvi 11 Reddi chiefs 46 | Sathakōpa 9 Sati-saptami 134 Satriṇābhyavahārigal 135 |
| Reform of the language 153 | Satrināhhvavahārigal 135 |
| Relative participle 101 | Saturn 10 |
| Report on the Hindu and | |
| Buddhist Monuments 36n | Saxon 2 Sayce 2n, 3n, 11, 139 |
| | Science of Comparative |
| Rice 45 Rigyēdie 11 Rishabhadēva 36 | 731 11 1 |
| Rishabhadeva 36 | Saimon of Language 2n |
| Roman 10, 25 | Seythian 1, 4-7, 20m |
| Roots 88ff | Second case 73 |
| Roman 10, 25 Roots 88ff Round Table 154 Royal Asiatic Society of | Second case 73 Semite 13 Semitic 1, 6, 7, 52 |
| Royal Asiatic Society of | Semitic 1, 6, 7, 52 |
| Great Britain and Ire- | Sēnavāra 49 |
| land 11 | Senguttuvan 48 |
| S | Senguttuvan 48 Seshagiri Sastri 5, 43 |
| 2 | Seventh case 75 |
| Sabdamanidarpana 84, 106, | Sēvuna 49 |
| 134, 150n, 152n | Shatpadi metre 133 |
| wing and it and it | omorphism more ison |

| | PAGE | PAGE |
|--|--|--|
| Shatpadi works | 127 | Sumerian 13 Sumerians 10, 12, 13 |
| Shikārpur 136 | 152n | Sumerians 10, 12, 13 |
| Shikārpur 149 | 152n | Survey of Languages 3n |
| Shimoga District | 131 | Sūsa 11 |
| Sholapur | 46 | Süsan placques 11 |
| Silāhāra | 49 | Svaminatha Iyer, R 5 |
| Silāhārās | \dots 46 | Svētāmbara Jainas 8 |
| $Silappadikar{a}ram$ | 34,48 | Sweet 4n, 13n |
| Sinda | 49 | Synecdoche 143 |
| Sindh 9 | 11-13 17 | |
| Sindhi | 14, 23 30n | T |
| Sintāmaņi | 3 0n | * |
| Sirivolal | 30n 45 134 31, 33, 107 75 | Tadbhava 48, 108, 114-119, |
| Sirol . | \dots 45 | 138, 144, 145, 147, 149 |
| Šithila | 134 | Taddharmamu 96 |
| Šiva | 31, 33, 107 | Taddhita suffixes 107 |
| Sixth case | 75 10 34 | 138, 144, 145, 147, 149 Taddharmamu 96 Taddhita suffixes 107 Tagare Plates 129 Tailinga 33 Tallinga 48 |
| Solomon | 10 | Tailinga 33 |
| Sōmadêva | 34 | Talaiyālangānam 48 |
| Some seemingly (| orrect | Talaiyālangānam 48 Talaiyālangānam 16 Talujua Tāsgaon 16 |
| words | 149 | Tamii 0, 9, 14, 11-24, 20-04, |
| Sonthal parganas | 16 | 36, 38, 43, 48, 50-90, 92-119, |
| Sorab | 10, 131 | 120n, 123-127, 131, 132, 138, |
| words Sonthal parganas Sorab South Aṣōka Southern Dekhan | 52 | 139, 141-143, 145-147, 149- |
| Southern Dekhan | 34, 35 | 152. |
| Southern India 2,8 | 3, 9, 14, 22, | Tamil 9, 30 |
| 30, 34, 42, 51, 107 | , 114, 116 | Tamil alphabet 50, 51, 55-57 |
| Southern Mahratta | ountry 45 | Tamil Anthology Tamil country Tamil characters 33, 34, 51 31, 55 |
| Southern Mesopotan | ia 12 | Tamil country 33, 34, 51 |
| Southern School in | Kan- | Tamil characters 31, 55 Tamil grammar 31, 147, 149, |
| nada | 124 | Tamil grammar 31, 147, 149, |
| South India | $\dots 156$ | 150 |
| South Indian script | 51 | Tamil grantha 52 |
| Court Vancor | 16 | Tamilians 9, 50, 56 |
| Sravanabelgola 27 Sravanabelgola 88 | 129 | Tamil grantha Tamilians Tamil-Kannada Tamil language Tamil lexicon Tamil literature Tamil Malazalam 10 52 7, 50, 56 17n, 31, 56 30n 30n 9 |
| Šravanabelgola 88 | 129 | Tamil language 17n, 31, 56 |
| Šravaņabelgola I | iscrip- | Tamil lexicon 30n |
| tion | 111 n | Tamil literature 9 |
| Šrišaila | 33 | Tamil-Malayāļam 10 |
| Šrivaishņavas | $ \begin{array}{ccc} & 33 \\ & 142 \end{array} $ | Tamil man 108 |
| Stages of the Ka | | Tamil-Malayālam 10 Tamil man 108 Tamil pandits 30 |
| Language | 128ff | Tamil rilles of sanani in |
| Sten Konow, Dr. | 6, 15, 44 | Kannada 151 Tamils 8, 35, 44 |
| Subrahmanya | 51 | Tamils 8, 35, 44 |
| α . 45. | . 10 | Tamil Sangam Literature 48 |
| Sumer | 11, 13 | Tamul 31 |
| | | |

| PAG | TC. | | PAGE |
|---|------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Tantravārtika 17 | | Todas | 37 |
| | | Tödavar | 87 |
| Tārānātha | Λ | $Tolk ar{a} ppi yam$ | 31, 96 |
| Tatpurusha 6 Tatsama 108, 114-11 | u C | Turkuppriyani | 155 |
| Tatsama 108, 114-11 | O | Training College | 155 |
| Tatsama and Tadbhava 114 | Ц | Travancore | 15 |
| Telinga 8 Telingana 33, 3 | 3 | Triennial Gold Medal | |
| Telingana 33, 3 | 4 | Trikalinga | 33 |
| Telugu 6, 14, 15, 17-20, 28 | 3, | Trilinga | 33 |
| 24, 26, 28-30, 32-34, 36, 46 | 3, | Trilingašabdānušāsana | 33 |
| 50, 52-54, 57, 60-90, 92-106 | 3. | Trivandrum | , 15 |
| 108-111, 114-117, 119, 123 | | Tulu 14, 16, 17, 19, 30 | 0, 36-38, |
| 127, 132, 146, 149, 150. | | 40, 44, 57, 68, 75, 78, | 88, 125, |
| Tolyan alphabet 51.5 | 7 | 149 | |
| Telugu alphabet Telugu author Telugu country 51, 5 3 Telugu country 53, 34, 4 | 2 | Tulus | 38 |
| m.l. action 99 94 4 | e | Tumkur District | 130 |
| Telugu country 55, 54, 4 | .U | Tumkur District | |
| Telugu grammar 33, 7 Telugu influence 127, 12 | 9 | Tuñjattu Eluttachchau | 1 0 2 |
| Telugu influence 127, 12 | 8 | Turanian | 1, 3-5 |
| Telugu Inscription 46, 50, 5 | 7 | Turkish | 18n |
| Telugu kings 4 | 6 | Turks | \dots 2 |
| Telugu kings 4 Telugu Language 5 | | | |
| Telugu man 10 | 8 | Ŭ. | |
| Telugu man 10 Telugu pandits 3 | 3 | | |
| Telugus 17n, 30, 33, 35, 44 | 4. | Ummattūr | 49 |
| 50, 51 | -, | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{r}}$ | 11 |
| Telugu Vaidumba Inscription 4 | .6 | Ural-Altaic | 6, 18 |
| | 3 | 0 141 1110010 | ., |
| | 3 | · v | |
| | 3 | . | |
| | - | To In man | 33,48 |
| Teutonic | 4 | Vadugar | |
| | 6 | Vadugu | 33 |
| | | Vaidarbha School | 121, 123 |
| | 8 | Vaidumba | 49 |
| | 1 | Vaishņavas | 133 |
| Times Literary Supple- | | Vāmana | 135 |
| ment 13 | 11 | Vappuvanna | 46 |
| Tinnevelly District 11, 5 | 1 | Varāhamihira | 8, 9, 34 |
| | 9 | Vatteluttu | 51 - 54 |
| | 6 | Vatteluttu Script | 52 |
| Tiruchchandaviruttam 8 | 3 | Velvikudi Plates | 34, 48 |
| Tirumalārya 14 | 7 | | 104, 105 |
| Tiruppāvai 150 | ייו | Verbal participle | 102 |
| Tiruttakkadévar 30 | 11 | Verbal roots | 109 |
| Tiruvāymoļi 50 | 9 | Verbs | 88ff |
| | 9 | | |
| Tivula-gaņa | | Vijayanagar | 49 |
| Tōda 14, 16, 24, 30, 36–39 | <i>9</i> 1 | Vikramārjuna Vijaya | |
| 44,74 | - | Vindhya | 13 |
| | | | |

| Virašaiva authors Virašoliyam Visarga Vishņuvardhana Vogabulary Vocalic harmony Vocative case | ••• | 133 146 56 37 08ff 6 75 | Western Asiatic Western Media Whitney Wilkins Words not found ir ture but current mon speech | i litera- in com- | 13 20n 5, 6 116 |
|---|-----|--|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| W | | Y | | | |
| Wales Wārdha West Coast Western Asia | | $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 17 \\ 51 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | Yakshagānas Yayāticharitra Yuddhamalla | | 154 115 124 |